ARAȘTIRMA MAKALESI / REASERCH ARTICLE

Üniversite Öğrencilerinin Sosyal Kaygı Düzeyleri Ve Bunun Depresyon, Anksiyete ve Demografik, Sosyo-Kültürel Özelliklerle İlişkisi

The Social Anxiety Levels of University Students and Their Relationship With Depression, Anxiety And Demographic And Socio-Cultural Characteristics

Demet Ünalan¹, Ferhan Soyuer², Mustafa Baştürk¹, Ferhan Elmalı³

¹Erciyes Üniversitesi, Sağlık Hizmetleri Meslek Yüksekokulu; ²Nuh Nacı Yazgan Üniversitesi, Sağlık Hizmetleri Meslek Yüksekokulu; ³İzmir Katip Çelebi Üniversitesi, Sağlık Hizmetleri Meslek Yüksekokulu, İzmir, Türkiye

ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of this study is to establish the levels of social anxiety of university students and investigate the relationship between social anxiety and depression, anxiety, and demograhic and socio-cultural characteristics.

Material and Method: This cross-sectional study was conducted upon 264 (83.8%) students studying at 10 different programs at Erciyes University Health Services Vocational School in 2011-2012 academic year. Personal form including the demographicsociocultural characteristics of the students together with Social Anxiety Scale, Beck Depression Scale (BDS) and Beck Anxiety Scale (BAS) were used as data collection tool in the research. Mann-Whitney U Test was used to compare two groups, and one-way analysis of variance and/or Kruskal Wallis Test for comparison of more than two groups. Spearman's correlation coefficient was calculated to evaluate the relationship between variables. Results: The "social anxiety" median score of the students

Results: The "social anxiety" median score of the students was found as 33 (0-109), and the subdimension median scores were as follows "social avoidance" as 12.0 (6.0-

Demet Ünalan, Erciyes Üniversitesi Sağlık Hizmetleri Meslek Yüksekokulu, Tel. 05066270946, Email.unalandemet@gmail.com

Geliş Tarihi: 05.02.2016 Kabul Tarihi: 10.05.2017

20.75), "anxietyof negative critism" as 14.0 (8.0-20.75), and "self-depreciation" as 6.0 (2.0-10.0). The "social avoidance" score of the students living in a detached house was found significantly high (p<0.05). The "social avoidence" score of those spending most of their lives in a village was found significantly higher when comparing with the score of those spending most of their lives in a county, and the "self-depreciation" score of them was found significantly higher when comparing with those spending most of their lives in a city and county (p<0.05). The "anxiety of negative criticism" and "selfdepreciation" subdimensions scores of the students studying at the Oral and Dental Health Technician Programme were found significantly high (p<0.05). There was a significant negative relationship between the income level of the students and the scores of the "social avoidance" (rho=-0.129, p=0.037), "anxiety of negative criticism" (rho = -0.123,p=0.046)and depreciation" (rho=-0.155, p=0.012). There was a significant positive relationship between the depression and anxiety scores of the students and their "social avoidance", "anxietyof negative criticism", and "selfdepreciation" subdimensionscores (p<0.001).

Conclusion: It would be appropriate to produce attitudes that make "feeling of adequacy" developand become stronger and to establish policies necessary to increase

socialising instead of the attitudes causing "feeling of inadequacy" to be developed in children and the young during the process of education as well as in the family in order to prevent the social anxiety which has also a cultural dimension to be formed.

Key words: socialanxiety, university students, depression, anxiety

ÖZET

Amaç: Bu çalışmanın amacı, üniversite öğrencilerinde sosyal kaygı düzeylerinin belirlenmesi, bunun depresyon, anksiyete ve demografik, sosyo-kültürel özelliklerle ilişkisini araştırmaktır.

Materyal ve Metot: Kesitsel tipteki bu çalışmada, 2011-2012 eğitim- öğretim yılında, Erciyes Üniversitesi Sağlık hizmetleri Meslek Yüksekokulu'nda 10 farklı programda öğrenim gören 264 (%83.8) öğrenci üzerinde yürütülmüştür. Araştırmada veri toplama aracı olarak, öğrencilerin demografik-sosyokültürel özelliklerini içeren kişisel bilgi formu ile Sosyal Kaygı Ölçeği, Beck depresyon Ölçeği (BDÖ) ve Beck Anksiyete Ölçeği (BAÖ) kullanılmıştır. İki grubun ortalamalarının karşılaştırılmasında Mann-Whitney U testi, iki den fazla grup ortalamalarının karşılaştırılmasında tek yönlü varyans analizi ve/veya Kruskal Wallis testi uygulanmıştır. Değişkenler arasındaki ilişkinin değerlendirilmesinde sperman korelasyon katsayısı hesaplanmıştır.

Bulgular: Öğrencilerin "sosyal kaygı" puan ortancası 33 (0-109), alt boyut puanı ortancaları ise, "sosyal kaçınma" 12.0 (6.0-20.75), "eleştirilme kaygısı" 14.0 (8.0-20.75), "bireysel değersizlik" ise 6.0 (2.0-10.0) olarak bulunmuştur. Konut tipi müstakil olan öğrencilerin "sosyal kaçınma" puanı anlamlı düzeyde yüksek bulunmuştur (p<0.05). Yaşamının uzun bir süresini köyde geçirenlerin "sosyal kaçınma" puanları ilçede geçirenlere göre, "bireysel değersizlik" puanları ise il ve ilçede yasayanlara göre anlamlı düzeyde yüksek bulunmustur (p<0.05). Araştırmamızda öğrencilerin gelir düzeyleri ile "sosyal kaçınma" (rho=-0.129, p=0.037) eleştirilme kaygısı" (rho=-0.123, p=0.046) ve bireysel değersizlik" (rho=-0.155, p=0.012) alt boyut puanları arasında negatif yönde anlamlı bir ilişki bulunmuştur. Öğrencilerin depresyon ve anksiyete puanları ile "sosyal kaçınma" eleştirilme kaygısı" ve bireysel değersizlik" alt boyut puanları arasında pozitif yönde anlamlı bir ilişki bulunmuştur (p<0.001).

Sonuç: Kültürel bir boyutu da bulunan sosyal kaygının oluşmaması için gerek aile içerisinde gerekse eğitim sürecinde çocuk ve gençlerin "yetersiz ben" duygusunun gelişmesine sebep olabilecek tutumlar yerine "yeterli ben" duygusunun gelişmesi ve pekişmesini sağlayacak tutumların geliştirilmesi ve sosyalleşmenin artırılması için gerekli politikaların oluşturulması uygun olacaktır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Sosyal kaygı, üniversite öğrencisi, depresyon, anksiyete

Introduction

Social phobia is one of the most common psychiatric disorders¹. It is an anxiety disorderalso known as social anxiety, in which fear from certain situations and tendency to avoid them is seen, with a substantially important prevalence (2-6%)especially in terms of public health²⁻⁴. Social phobia is defined in DSM-IV as a distinct and persistent fear appearing in social environments or in situations needed to reveal a performance or in front of strangers⁵. Social phobiais an anxiety disorder that progresses together with extreme fear, lack of self-confidence and feelings of inadequacy, and people having social phobia avoid from the situations having the potential of being criticised negatively by others, and when they face such situations they have anxiety and stress. Besides, feelings of inadequacy and the fear of being criticisedby other people lie behind the social anxiety. There are differences between patients havingsocial phobia especially with regard to the types and number of social fears, the frequency avoidance of behaviour, functionality levels in daily life, sociofactors, self confidence and demographic therapeutic needs⁶⁻¹⁰. Due to these differences, the topic of subtypes of social phobia is still controversial. The most common approaches

about subtypesarespesific and generalized subtypes.

The major risk factors for social phobia are; low socio-economic level, not having been married, unemployment, low educational level, loss of social support during the onset of the disease, trauma, and genetic susceptibility. It is important to identify the high risk groups and situations for early intervention. Also, the probability of diagnosing other comorbid psychiatric disorders such as depression, other anxiety disorders and substance disordersin social phobic peopleduring their lifetime is substantially high 12,13. Social phobia causes considerable inadequacyin interpersonal communication and in professional and social environments. The intensity of this inadequacy can increase upto the extent of that chronic disorders such as severe depression reach 14. Social phobia is an anxiety disorder usually seen in early adolescence and early adulthood² in different intensities, effective inrelations with other people, development of the careerand determination of social relationships, and causingsocial isolation¹⁵. Social phobia arising with negative states such as fears of becoming a shamed, not being approved, unacceptance-rejection, and not being liked also comprises sensitivity to other people's expectations, inability to say no, and selfcriticism¹⁶. Its basic characteristic isan extreme continousfear frombeing and criticised negatively in front of other people, humiliation and being disgraced. Cognitive behavioural approaches reveal the fear of negative criticismas the core of social phobia in examining the social phobia cognitively¹⁷.

In general, agoraphobia, specific phobia, disorder, major somatization depression, obsessive compulsive disorder, dysthymic disorder and bipolar disorder are stated among theother behavioural disorders accompanying (comorbidity) social phobia 18,19. Social phobia generally accompaniesother psychiatric disorders, and most frequentlyanxiety disorders depressive disorders. Howeverthis association is more significant forthe more common sub-type 18,20. Social phobia is a common public health problem with a significantpsycho-social inadequacyand lifelong chronic existence. Its onset is in early childhood or adolescence, and usually shows comorbidity with depression, other anxiety disorders, alcohol and substance abuse or eating disorders¹⁵. Major depression is seen frequently in social phobia, and its rate of incidenceis high in the family members of those with social phobia. The reason of this relationship is not clear. Depression can cause social avoidance and vice versa. association can be related to genetic factors, and upbringing in childhood. Even if depressive patients usually express that social phobia started first, this is rarely true, and is usually due to the misperception of the memmories²¹. In people with social phobia, the inadequacy especially in interpersonal communicationleads to depressive symptoms to be arisen. On the other hand, social phobia and depression can occurdue to excessive vulnerability. Atypical depression is associated with vulnerability against criticism and rejection which is similar to the situation in social phobia. As a matter of fact, both

to treatment with disoders respond monoamine oxidase¹⁵. There are studies investigating the factors effective in the prevalence and occurence of social phobia in university students²²⁻³⁷. Gultekin et al.²² have reported a year long social phobia prevalence in university studentsas20.9%, and a lifelong prevalence as21.7%, Izgicet al. 25 reported a year long prevalence as 7.9%, and lifelong prevalence as 9.6%, Furmark et al.²⁶ reported point prevalence of social phobia as 15.6%, Bella et al.²⁷ reported the lifelong prevalence of social phobia as 9.4% and the prevalence in the recent year as 8.5%.

The aim of this study was to establish the social anxiety levels in university students and investigate its relationship with depression, anxiety and demographic and socio-cultural characteristics.

Material and Method

students by half simple coincidental sampling method and studying at 10 different programs at Erciyes University Health Services Vocational Schoolduring 2011-2012 academic year were included in this cross sectional study. Due to reasons such as not being at school at the dates the the research was conducted, suspending study or not accepting to participate in the study, the study was conducted upon 264 (83.8%) students.

Data collection tools; A personal information or including demographic and socio-cultural characteristics of the students with Social Anxiety Scale, Beck Depression Scale (BDS) and Beck Anxiety Scale (BAS) were used as data collection tool.

Social anxiety scale has been developed by Ozbay and Palancı in order to establish the issues regarding social anxiety students.²⁸ by university experienced Thescaledeveloped to be used for student population has been structured to measure students' skillsappropriate to their social circumstances and anxieties that might develop in these circumstances. Following the factor analysis evaluating structural validity, the scale was structured as a three factor test with 30 items. These three factors were defined as social avoidance, fear of negative evaluation and self-deprecation. The scale is a five point likert scale, between 0-4. The higher the scores are, the higher is the social anxiety level. The Cronbach-alpha internal consistency coefficient of the social anxiety scale was found to be 0.930, the reliability coefficients of the subscales were; "social avoidance" as 0.86, "fear of negative evaluation" as 0.84 and "self evaluation" as 0.89.

Beck Depression Scale (BDS); It was developed by Beck et al. (1961) to measure the behavioural findings of the depression in adolescents and adults. The Turkish validity and reliability study was done by Hisli (1989)²⁹. It evaluates the physical, emotional and cognitive symptoms seen in depression. It is a likert type scale with four self evaluation items comprising 21 symptom categories with points between 0-3. The highest obtainable scoreis 63. The height of total score show the severity of depression. The Cronbach-alpha internal consistency coefficient was found to be 0.91 in our study.

Beck Anxiety Scale (BAS); It was developed by Beck et al. (1988). The Turkish validity and reliability study was done by Ulusoy et al.³⁰ It is a likert type scale with four self evaluation itemscomprising 21 categories with points between 0-3, evaluating the frequency of anxiety symptoms the person experiences. The higher the scores are anxiety.31 correlated with severe The Cronbach-alpha internal consistency coefficient of BAS was found to be 0.88 in our study.

In the studyparametric and non-parametric analysis were performed by testing the coherence of the variables to the normal distribution in order to compare the constant variables. Mann-whitney U was used for the comparison of the means of two groups and one way variance analysis and/or Kruskal Wallis test (KW) was used forthe comparison of the means of more than two groups, and in order to establish which group was the source of the difference, Dunn's test, one of the multiple comparison tests (post hoc) was performed. To estabish the relationship between variables Spearman correlation coefficient was calculated. The data was evaluated using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. program. The median (25-75 percentile) was given with the values, and p<0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

Results

The mean age of the students in the study was 20.5±3.6 years, 73.1% were female, 87.9% had a nuclear family structure, 62.5% lived in apartment houses, 51.5% lived in the

city center, 40.9% were living with their family, 94.7% were non-smokers.

The median of the "social anxiety" score of the students was 33 (0-109), and the median scores of the subdimensionwere; "social avoidance" was 12.0 (6.0-20.75), "fear of negativecriticism" was 14.0 (8.0-20.75), and "self-depreciation" was 6.0 (2.0-10.0).

The comparison of the social anxiety subscale scores of the students according to their dwelling type shows that the "social avoidance" scores of the students living ina detached house was significantly higher (p<0.05) (Table 1).

The comparison of the social anxiety subscale scores of the students according to their settlement shows that the "social avoidance" scores of the students who hadlived most of their lives in a village were found significantly higher when compared with the ones who lived in a county, and the "self-depreciation" scores were significantly higher when compared withthose whohad lived in the county and city (p<0.05) (Table 1).

The comparison of social anxiety subscale scores according to the educational programshowed that the "fear of negative criticism" subscale scores of the students in Oral and DentalHealth (ODH) Programme were significantly higher than the students in the Audiometry (ADIO)Programme, and their subscale scores were "self-depreciation" significantly higher when compared to the students in Emergency and Fist Aid (EFA), Anaesthesia (ANE), and Electroneurophysiology (ENP) Programmes (p<0.05) (Table 1).

The BDS score median of the students was 10.0 (0-44), and the BAS score median was 14.0 (0-58).

In our study there was a significant negative relationship between the income level of the students and "social avoidance" (rho=0.129, p=0.037),"fear of negative criticism" (rho=-0.123, p=0.046) and "self-depreciation" (rho=-0.155, p=0.012) subscale scores (Table 2).

There was a significant positive relationship between the students' depression scores and "social avoidance" (rho=0.331, p<0.001), "fear of negative criticism" (rho=0.303, p<0.001) and "self-depreciation" (rho=0.387, p<0.001) subscale scores (Table 2).

There was a significant positive relationship between the students' anxiety scores and "social avoidance" (rho=0.255, p<0.001), "fear of negative criticism" (rho=0.241, p<0.001), and "self-depreciation" (rho=0.287, p<0.001) subscale scores (Table 2).

Discussion

In our study, the "social anxiety" score median of the students' was found as 33 (0-109), "social avoidance" as 12.0 (6.0-20.75), "fear of negative evaluation" as 14.0 (8.0-20.75), and "self worthelessness" as 6.0 (2.0-10.0). Increasing the scores obtained from the social anxiety scale show that social anxiety level increases. The university education is an education period that a young is sometimes away from his/her family and in different rules from the secondary education as well as it is a period covering the end of adolescense or late

adolescence periodincludingthe process of completing one'sprocess of personality development and transition to adulthood. In a sense, it is a period that a young is in a larger environment than he/she is familiar with and shows a tendency to the opposite sex. Thisis also a preparatory process in which the young person chooses a profession, is worried about getting a job and tries to get prepared for the future. All these conditions are stressors and challenging factors affecting the young person's relationships with himself and the surrounding people, and can lead conflictsregarding such as selfconfidence, expressing himself, being able to communicate with others and feelings of adequacy, and thus feelings of inadequacy (inferiority complex) and the belief that other people are superior, and afterwards social phobia.

Some studies report a higher rate of social phobia in females some others in males, and some studies report no difference. 2,25,26,32-36 In our study there is no difference in the social anxiety scores in terms of gender. The high rate of social anxiety in women can be explained by the different social role imposed upon women and the fact that in some societies there is more social pressure upon women. On the other hand, another explanation can be the psychological factors and the roles they embrace due to their gender within their social and personal relationships. Also, psychological problems are more common in women due to hormonal changes, fertility period menopausal period, and thus, being parallel to all these, it can be expected to find a higher social anxiety rate in women².

In our study the "social avoidance" scores of the students living in a detached house was found to be significantly higher. If living in detached houses means living in the slums, this also meansbelonging to a lower socioeconomic level, so a high "social avoidance" score is an expected result. Hence, in our study we found a significant negative relationship between income levels and "social avoidance" scores.

The "social avoidance" scores of the students that had lived most of their lives in a village was found significantly higher when compared to those that lived in the county, and their "self worthlessness" scores was again significantly higher when compared to those that had spent their lives in the county and city. It has been reported that being born and having lived in thecountrysidehas an effect upon the frequency of social phobia^{22,25}. Izgic et al. have reported a higher lifelong prevalence of social phobia in people born in the countryside when compared to those born in counties and cities.²⁵ In a study conductedupon students in Sweden, it is stated thateven if it isnot statistically significant, social phobia isseen frequently in those living in small places³⁷. It is an expected fact that social avoidance and feelings of self-depreciationare higher in those spending most of their lives in the countryside, it is advantageous to grow up and live in crowded social environments for socialization.

In our study we found a significant negative relationship between income level and "social avoidance", "fear of negative evaluation", and "self-depreciation" subscale scores.

There are studies reporting a higher frequency of social phobia in people with a low income level^{22,25,38,39}. Low socio-economic level is listed among the major risk factors for social phobia¹¹. Turan et al. have reported a higher rate of social phobia in those with a low income level when compared to those with a high income level, but the difference was not statistically significant⁴⁰. Izgic et al., though statistically not significant, have reported a higher lifelong and past one year prevalence of social phobia in people with a low socioeconomic level.²⁵ In a study performed by Erozkan it was reported that the "social avoidance" and "self-depreciation" scores of those with a low socio-economic level were significantly higher when compared to those with a medium and high socio-economic level, and the "fear of negative criticism" scores of those with a high socio-economic level were significanty higher when compared to those with a medium and lowsocioeconomical level.41 Negative relationship between social anxiety and income leveland many opportunities provided by financial possibilities plays role in having self confidence and socializing.

In our study, the "fear of negative criticism" scores of the students in ODH were significantly higher than the students in ADIO program, and the "self-depreciation" scores were significantly higher in students in the ODHprogram when compared to the students in EFA, ANE, and ENP. In our opinion, the probable cause of this can be the fact that these students are working as technical helpers to dentists which are the main leaders in the

faculties, and their work needs special sensitivity, and they can be critisized by both patients and the dentist in charge. This situation can actually have a negative effect upon their self confidence.

In our study we found a positive significant relationship between the students' depression and anxiety scores and "social avoidance", "fear of negative criticism", and "self-depreciation" scores. Social phobia is usually seen together with psychiatric diseases, it it stated that the depression levelsin adolescents having social phobia 18,22,27,38,42,43. In a study performed in Malaysia upon university students, the prevalence of anxiety was found to be 53.9%². Sayar et al. reported in their study that the most common disorder accompanying social phobia was depression, and that depression affected 70% of the patients with early onset social phobia.⁴⁴ Since depression causes feelings of unworthiness and depersonalization (loss of ego)most of the time, itcan lead to social anxiety increase. In other words, both situations will cause beign affected negatively in the cause and effect relation. On the other hand, difficulties in social interactions will cause anxiety as well as anxiety is a process that can increase one's social anxiety levels.

In this study aiming to determine the social anxiety levels of the university students and investigate their relation with depression, anxiety and demographic and socio-cultural characteristics we found that the "social avoidance" scores of those living in detached houses, the "social avoidance" and "self-depreciation" scores of those that had lived

most of their lives in the countryside, and the "fear of negativecriticism" and "self-depreciation" scores of the students in Oral and Dental Health program were significantly high.

Socio-cultural environment, family dinamics, educational programs, and educational consciousness are very important factors in the development of social anxiety. Studies are necessary to make the young gain their self confidence in educational institutions and within the family, and bring out the talents and abilities of the young.

References

- **1-** Pietrini F, Lelli L, Lo Sauro C, Faravelli C. Epidemiology of social phobia. Riv Psichiatr 2009;44:203-13.
- **2-** Al-Naggar RA. Prevalence and associated factors of phobia and social anxiety among university students. ASEAN Journal of Psychiatry 2012;13:112-9.
- **3-** Last CG, Perrin S, Hersen M et al. DSM-III-R anxiety disorder in children; sociodemographic and clinical characteristics. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1992; 31: 1070-6.
- **4-** Kessler RC, McGonagle KA, Zhao S et al. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in the United States, Arch Gen Psych 1994;51:8-11.
- 5- American Psychological Association.
 DSM-IV-TR Diagnosis Criteria Reference
 Manual, Washington, DC: American
 Psychological Association. 2001.
- **6-** Purdon C, Antony M, Monteiro S, Swinson RP. Social anxiety in college students. Anxiety Disorders 2001;15:203-12.

- **7-** Geen, GR. **Social Motivation. Annual Review**of **Psychology**. 1991;42:377-22.
- **8-** Heimberg RG, Holt CS, Schneier FR, Spitzer RL. The issue of subtypes in the diagnosis of social phobia. J Anxiety Disord 1993;7:249-20.
- **9-** Boone ML, McNeil DW, Masia CL et al. Multimodal comparison of social phobia subtypes and avoidant personality disorders. J Anxiety Disord 1999;13:271-21.
- **10-** Eng W, Heimberg RG, Coles ME et al. An empirical approach to subtype identification in individuals with social phobia. Psychol Med 2000;30:1345-12.
- **11-** Wittchen HU, Fehm L. Epidemiology, patterns of comorbidity, and associated disabilities of social phobia. Psychiatr Clin N Am, 2001;24: 617-24.
- **12-** Kessler RC, Stang P, Wittchen HU et al. Lifetime comorbidities between social phobia and mood disorders in the US National Comorbidity Survey Psycol Med. 1999;29:555-12.
- **13** Mennin DS, Heimberg RG, Jack MS. Comorbid generalized anxiety disorder in primary social phobia: symptom severity, functional impairment and treatment response. J Anxiety Disord 2000;14:325-18.
- **14-** Montgomery SA. Social phobia: diagnosis, severity and implications for treatment. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 1999;249:1-6.
- **15-** Brunello N, Boer den JA, Judd LL, Kasper S et al. Social phobia: diagnosis and epidemiology, neurobiology and pharmacology, comorbidity and treatment. Journal of Affective Disorders 2000;60:61-13.

- **16-** Segrin C. Social skills, stressful life events and the development of psychosocial problems, Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 1999;18:14-18.
- 17- Weeks JW, Heimberg RG, Rodebaugh TL et al. Exploring the relationship between fear of positive evaluation and social anxiety. Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 2008;22: 386-14.

 18- Schneider FR, Johnson J, Hornig CD et al. Social phobia: Comorbidity and mobidity in an epidemiologic sample, Archives of General Psychiatry,1992;49: 282-6.
- **19-** Ozdemir S, Ersoy MA, Kayahan B. The Comparison of Alcohol Dependents with Soical Drinkers in Terms of Social Phobic Features. Turkish journal of Psychiatry 2005; 7:25-13.
- **20** Maggee WJ, Eaton WW, Wittchen HU, McGonagle KA, Kessler RC. Agoraphobia, simple phobia, and social phobia in the National Comorbidity Survey. Archives of General Psychiatry 1996;53:159-9.
- **21-** Lecrubier Y, Weiller E. Comorbidities in social phobia. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 1997;12:17-4.
- **22-** Gultekin BK, Dereboy IF. The prevalence of social phobia, and impact on quality of life, academic achievement, and identity formation in university students. Turkish Journal of Psychiatry 2011;22:150-8.
- **23-** Dilbaz N. The prevelance of social phobia among the Turkish university students. XII. World Congress of Psychiatry, Yokohama, August 24-29,2002.
- **24**-Kırpınar İ, Ozer H, Coşkun İ et al. The life long and 12-month prevelance ofpsychological disorders in university students in Erzurum

- CIDI (DSM-III-R). 3P Journal, 1997;4:253-12.
- **25** Izgic F, Akyuz G, Dogan O, Kugu N. The prevalence of social phobia in university student population. Anatolian Journal of Psychiatry 2000; 1:207-7.
- **26-** Furmark T, Tillfors M, Everez P. Social phobia in the general population: prevalence and sociodemographic profile. <u>Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology</u>. 1999;34:416-8.
- **27-** Bella TT, Omigbodun OO. Social phobia in Nigerian university students: Prevalence, correlates and co-morbidity. Soc Psych Psych Epid, 2009; 44:458-5.
- **28-** Ozbay Y, Palancı M. Social Anxiety Scale. A study of validity and reliability. 4th National Congress of Psychological Counselling and Guidance, Ankara, METU, 5-7 September 2001.
- **29-** Hisli N. The validity and reliability of Beck Depression Inventory in university student. J Psychol 1989;23:3-10.
- **30 -** Ulusoy M, Sahin N.H, Erkmen H. Turkish version of the Beck Anxiety Inventory: Psychometric properties. Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy, 1998;12:163-9.
- **31-** Beck AT, Epstein N, Brown G et al. An inventory for measuring clinical anxiety: Psychometric properties. J Consult Clin Psychol 1988;56:893-4.
- **32-** Tukel R, Kızıltan E, Demir T et al. The effect of sub-types and unsocial personality disorder on psychopathology in social phobia. 3P Journal, 1998;6:15-5.
- **33.** Subasi G. Some variables for social anxiety prediction in college students. Education and Science.2007;32:3-12.

- **34-** Palancı, P. Ve Özbay, Y. The control sense of social anxiety in university students and its predictability by social adequecy and vulnerability and coping behaviours. VIIth National Psychological Consulting and Guidance Assembly. Proceedings Book2003:58.
- **35** Erkan Z, Güçray S, Çam S. Investigating the social anxiety levels of adolescent in terms of parents attitudes and gender. Institute of Social Sciences Journal, 2002; 10:64-11.
- **36-** Feingold, A. Gender differences in personality: A meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 1994;116: 429-27.
- **37**-Tillfors M, Furmark T. Social phobia in Swedish university students: prevalence, subgroups and avoidant behavior. Soc Psych Psych Epid, 2007;42:79–7.
- **38-** Dilbaz N. Social phobia. The world of Psychiatry 1997;1:18-6.
- **39-**Kaya N,Savas Cilli A, Askın R et al.The prevalence of social phobia among high school and university students. **Journal** of **General Medicine**1997;7:133-7.
- **40-**Turan M, Cilli, AS, Askın R et al. Comorbidity of Social Phobia and Other PsychiatricIllnesses. Journal of clinical Psychiatry 2000;3:170-5.
- **41**-Erozkan A. An investigation into the levels of social anxiety and sensitivity to rejection among university students according to certain variables. Selçuk University, Journal of the Intitute of Social Science 2007;17:225-15.
- **42-**Kendler KS, Neale M C, Kessler RC, et al. The genetic epidemiology of phobias in Women: The interrelationship of agoraphobia, social phobia, situational phobia, and simple

phobia. Archives of General Psychiatry, 1992; 49:273-8.

43-Cunha M, Gouveia JP, Ceu Salvador M. Social fears in adolescence: The social anxiety and avoidance scale for adolescents. European Psychologist, 2008;13:197-16.

44-Sayar K, Solmaz M, Ozturk M, et al. Avoidant Personality Disorder in Generalized SocialPhobia and its Impact on Psychopathology. Journal of clinical Psychiatry2000; 3:163-6.

Table 1. Social anxiety scores of the students according to their socio-demographic characteristics

	n (%)	Social anxiety subscales			
Characteristics		Social avoidance Median (25p- 75p)§	Fear of negative criticism <i>Median</i> (25 <i>p</i> -75 <i>p</i>)	Self-deprecation Median (25p-75p)	
Gender					
Female	193 (73.1)	12 (6.0-20.0)	13 (8.0-20.0)	6 (3.0-10.0)	
Male	71 (26.9)	15 (6.3-22.0)	16 (8.0-21.0)	6 (2.0-11.8)	
p		0.378	0.328	0.985	
Family type					
Extended	17 (6.4)	12 (6.3-22.5)	16 (8.0-20.3)	8 (2.8-10.0)	
Nuclear	232 (87.9)	12 (6.0-20.0)	14 (8.0-20.0)	5.5 (2.0-10.0)	
Other	15 (5.7)	17 (5.0 -22.0)	15 (11.3-23.5)	9 (5.0-12.8)	
p	, ,	0.908	0.466	0.219	
Dwelling type					
Apartment	165 (62.5)	10 (5.0-19.5)	13 (8.0-20.0)	5 (2.0-10.5)	
Detached	99 (37.5)	14 (8.0-22.0)	16 (8.0-21.0)	16 (3.0-10.0)	
p	(4,1,1,7)	0.034	0.294	0.383	
Settlement		0,0001	0,20	0.000	
City	136 (51.5)	11.5 (6.0-19.0) ^{ab}	15.0±9.0	5 (2.0-10.0) ^b	
County	84 (31.8)	10 (5.0 -20.0) ^b	13.3±8.4	5 (2.0-9.5) b	
Village	44 (16.7)	18 (10.0-24.5) ^a	16.7±8.8	8 (5.0 -14) ^a	
p	44 (10.7)	0.022	0.103	0.028	
Residence		0.022	0.103	0.020	
House with a friend	73 (28.7)	14 (6.8-19.3)	15.9±9.1	6(2.0-11.0)	
Government student	43 (16.9)	10 (4.0-19.0)	13.9±9.1 12.4±7.8	5 (3.0-8.0)	
residence	43 (10.7)	10 (4.0-17.0)	12.7-7.0	3 (3.0-0.0)	
With family	104 (40.9)	11.5 (6.5-20.0)	14.7±9.4	5.5 (3.0-12.0)	
Private student	16 (6.3)	15.5 (8.0-22)	16.8±6.5	7.5 (2.5-14.5)	
residence	18 (7.2)	16.5 (6.5-23.5)	14.3±7.9	6.5 (1.5-9.5)	
Other	16 (7.2)	0.418	0.275	0.3 (1.3-9.3)	
		0.418	0.273	0.708	
<i>p</i>					
Working status	51 (10.2)	10/5 0 10 0)	15/7 2 10 0)	5(2 0 12 0)	
Yes	51 (19.3)	10(5.0-19.8)	15(7.3-19.8)	5(2.0-12.0)	
No	213(80.7)	13 (7.0-21.0)	14 (8.0-21.0)	6(2.8-10.0)	
<u>p</u>		0.254	0.423	0.865	
Smoker	14 (7.0)	12 (5 0 20 0)	4.5 (4.2.0.22.0)	0 (4 0 4 4 0)	
Yes	14 (5.3)	12 (6.0-20.0)	16 (13.0-22.0)	8 (4.0-14.0)	
No	250 (94.7)	17.5 (15.0-24.0)	14 (8.0-20.0)	6 (2.0-10.0)	
<u>p</u>		0.092	0.099	0.146	
Program*			,	ab.	
ADIO	19 (7.2)	18 (7.0-25.5)	$17 (15.3-24.0)^b$	9 (5.3-11.5) ^{ab}	
RAT	29 (11.0)	18 (8.8-23.3)	13 (7.8-19.5) ^{ab}	6 (1.8-12.8) ^{ab}	
EFA	17 (6.4)	7 (0.8-13.0)	12 (0.8-15.3) ^{ab}	$4(0.0-6.8)^b$	
ODH	$10(3.8)^{a}$	19.5 (12.0-29.0)	24 (22.0-27.0) ^a	$13.5 (10.0-16.0)^a$	
ANE	23 (8.7)	11 (6.3-17.8)	11 (8.3-19.3) ^{ab}	4 (2.3-9.8) ^b	

MDS	87 (33.0)	13 (6.3-19.0)	14 (8.0-20.8) ^{ab}	$6(2.3-9.0)^{ab}$
MIT	29 (11.0)	10 (6.0-21.0)	14 (8.8-22.0) ^{ab}	5 (2.0-11.8) ^{ab}
MLT	19 (7.2)	13 (7.5-20.3)	16 (8.5-19.0) ^{ab}	6 (4.0-12.5) ^{ab}
ENP	15 (5.7)	10 (3.8-16.5)	$10(3.5-14.0)^{ab}$	$3(1.3-6.5)^b$
OPS	16 (6.1)	12 (7.0-21.0)	12.5 (10.0-18.0) ^{ab}	5.5 (3.5-8.5) ^{ab}
p		0.064	0.002	0.019

^{*}ADIO: Audiometry, RAT: Radiotherapy, EFA: Emergency and Fist Aid, ODH: Oral and Dental Health, ANE: Anaesthesia, MDS: Medical Documentation and Secretaryship, MIT:Medical Imaging Techniques, MLT: Medical Laboratory Techniques, ENP: Electroneurophysiology, OPS: Operation Room Services. §: (25.p-75.p): showsthe 25and 75 percentilevalues.

a.b.: There is no difference between groups having the same alphabetical superscripts

Table 2. The relationship between the social anxiety scale and age, income, depression and anxiety scores

	Social anxiety subscales					
Variables	Social avoidance	Fear of negative criticism	Self-deprecation			
Age	rho=-0.012, p=0.840	rho=-0.005, p=0.937	rho=0.006, p=0.922			
Income	rho=-0.129, p=0.037	rho=-0.123, p=0.046	rho=-0.155, p=0.012			
BDS score	rho=0.331, p<0.001	rho=0.303, p<0.001	rho=0.387, p<0.001			
BAS score	rho=0.255, p<0.001	rho=0.241, p<0.001	rho=0.287, p<0.001			

rho: Spearman Correlation Coefficient; BDS:Beck Depression Scale; BAS; Beck Anxiety Scale