

Renal stenting: still alive after ASTRAL and STAR publications?

Renal stent uygulaması: ASTRAL ve STAR yayınlarından sonra hala canlı mı?

Renal artery stenosis may cause systemic hypertension and renal failure (1, 2) and is associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (3, 4). Renal obstruction is a relatively frequent finding in patients with diffuse atherosclerosis. Indeed, among patients undergoing coronary angiography, the prevalence of renal stenosis is reported to be between 11% and 23% (7). Renal stenting has been associated with improved blood pressure control and stabilized or improved renal function (5, 6), thus it represents an accepted treatment for patients with renal artery stenosis and severe hypertension and/or renal insufficiency (8).

In the retrospective study published in the current issue of the journal, Dervişoğlu et al. (9) report the effects of renal stenting on both renal function and blood pressure control in 36 patients treated on 43 arteries at 9.3±8.6 months follow-up. Despite the adoption of a relatively outdated technique (7 Fr guiding catheters, 0.018" hand-crimped stents), the authors achieved excellent immediate results with no major procedural complications. They found a significant improvement in blood pressure control with a reduction of both the mean arterial blood pressure (MABP) (from 123±22 mmHg pre-procedure to 101±14 mmHg at follow-up ($p<0.001$)) and the number of antihypertensive medications (from 2.1±1.0 (range, 0-4) pre-procedure to 1.3±1.0 (range, 0-4) at follow-up ($p<0.001$)). Moreover, regarding renal function, although no significant change in estimated glomerular filtration rate (EGFR) from pre-procedure to follow-up (71.4±40.2 mL/min vs. 73.3±39.0 mL/min; $p=0.483$) was documented, in the group of patients with renal impairment (EGFR \leq 59 mL/min), 41% showed improvement, 29% showed no change and 29% demonstrated deterioration in EGFR.

The results of this study, although not completely innovative, contribute to the discussion on the safety and the efficacy of renal stenting. However, there are some specific points that need to be discussed. First of all, the number of patients included ($n=36$) is rather small and the inclusion of patients with both atherosclerotic disease and fibromuscular dysplasia may be confounding. The observational design of the study does not allow conclusions about the incremental value of renal stenting over optimal medical therapy on blood pressure control, renal function and clinical

outcome. No data about the occurrence of adverse events (death, MI, stroke, end-stage renal failure) during follow-up is reported making hard to understand the risk profile of the studied population. The statement "PTRAS preserves and even improves renal function..." is inaccurate since control group is lacking and in 29% of the patients EGFR indeed deteriorated. Finally, the assumption "...this beneficial effect was accompanied by renal function preservation...particularly in patients with impaired renal function.." is equivocal. Indeed, the authors found a deterioration of EGFR in 29% of patients with reduced baseline EGFR compared to 10% of patients with EGFR >60 ml/min.

The scientific data supporting stenting versus conservative management in renovascular disease are so far inconsistent. In the DRASTIC, one of the largest prospective randomized studies (10) published to date, renal angioplasty, compared to medical therapy, was associated to an early reduction of blood pressure which was no longer significant after 9 months. However, these findings are difficult to interpret as, in this study, the cross-over rate in the medical group was as high as 44%, angioplasty was performed almost exclusively by balloon (resulting in 48% restenosis rate) and 106 patients only were enrolled across 26 centers during the 5-year recruitment period (less than 1 patient per year per centre) (10). The more recently published STAR trial (11), which randomized to stent or medical therapy 140 patients with atherosclerotic renal stenosis and impaired renal function (eGFR less than 80 ml/min/1.73m²), similarly failed to demonstrate any difference in 2-year blood pressure control in stented compared to medically treated patients. Conversely, in the large "Multicenter Palmaz Stent renal artery stenosis revascularization registry" an improvement of blood pressure control was documented in the 1058 enrolled patients, during a 4-year follow up period (12). This is in agreement with the recently published data of the ASTRAL trial (13) in which the number of antihypertensive drugs at 1-year follow-up was significantly lower in the revascularization group compared to the medically treated group (2.77 vs 2.97, $p=0.03$).

With regard to renal function, the results of previous studies are also inconsistent. Although Arthurs et al. (14) found that

Address for Correspondence/Yazışma Adresi: Carlo Trani, MD, Antonella Tommasino, MD, Cardiology Department, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy
E-mail: carlotrani@rm.unicatt.it

©Telif Hakkı 2010 AVES Yayıncılık Ltd. Şti. - Makale metnine www.anakarder.com web sayfasından ulaşılabilir.
©Copyright 2010 by AVES Yayıncılık Ltd. - Available on-line at www.anakarder.com
doi:10.5152/akd.2010.015

stenting slows the rate of renal function decline and other studies reported a significant and prolonged decrease of creatinine levels after stenting (15-17), in the majority of the studies creatinine remained stable after angioplasty (12, 18-32). In the recently published data from the large ASTRAL (13) trial, in which 806 patients were randomized to stenting versus medical therapy based on uncertainty whether to revascularize or not, renal stenting failed to demonstrate significant benefits over medical therapy at up to 5 years. However, it must be noted that in the ASTRAL trial, although the patients enrolled were sicker than those usually included in the studies (mean baseline serum creatinine around 2 mg/dl), were not taking antiplatelet therapy at the time of stenting in a significant proportion (up to 24%) and exhibited a mild renal stenosis (<70%) in up to 40%, there was a strong trend toward a decreased rate of renal impairment in the revascularization group compared to the medically treated group (difference in the slope of the reciprocal of the serum creatinine level 0.06×10^{-3} liters per micromole per year, $p=0.06$).

Distal embolization has been advocated as the main cause of renal function deterioration after stenting. In a recent study, (33) ultrasound evaluation of intrarenal flow demonstrated that distal embolization may occur at any time during angioplasty, mostly during stent implantation and postdilation. Henry et al (34-35) showed that distal protection, with either distal occlusive balloons or filters, allows to retrieve embolized material in more than 80% of stenting procedures. They also recently reported a very low rate of postprocedural worsening of renal function in protected stenting procedures (1% immediate, 5% at 6 months). Finally, Cooper et al. (36) recently demonstrated in a randomized trial a beneficial interaction between glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors and filters on the glomerular filtration rate, suggesting a potential role of embolic protection in the prevention of renal function decline after stenting.

In conclusion, as frequently occurring in medicine, results coming from real life observational studies performed in experienced centers are sometimes conflicting with those derived from large randomized controlled trials (RCTs). This could be due to self-referral and underreporting of adverse events in non controlled studies, but could also reflect the unbalance of the trials. Indeed, in order to achieve the required patient population, trials often include low-volume centers with limited experience and these results in poor outcome and increased major complications undermining the results of the study. Although the evidence -based medicine must stay as the cornerstone of our practice, we should not exclusively rely on RCTs results, but we need to critically evaluate them in comparison with well conducted real life observational registries.

Carlo Trani, Antonella Tommasino
Cardiology Department, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart,
Rome, Italy

Conflict of interest: None declared

References

1. Uzu T, Inoue T, Fujii T, Nakamura S, Inenaga T, Yutani C, et al. Prevalence and predictors of renal artery stenosis in patients with myocardial infarction. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1997; 29: 733-8.
2. Pohl MA, Kaplan N. Renal artery stenosis, renal vascular hypertension, and ischemic nephropathy. In: Schrier RW, Gottschalk CW, editors. *Diseases of the Kidney and Urinary Tract*. 7th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001. p. 1399-457.
3. Wollenweber J, Sheps SG, Davis G. Clinical course of atherosclerotic renovascular disease. *Am J Cardiol* 1968; 21: 60-71.
4. Textor SC, Wilcox CS. Renal artery stenosis: A common treatable cause or renal failure? *Ann Rev Med* 2001; 52: 421-42.
5. Textor SC. Revascularization in atherosclerotic renal artery disease. *Kidney Int* 1998; 53: 799-811.
6. Bettmann MA, Dake MD, Hopkins LN, Katzen BT, White CJ, Eisenhauer AC, et al. American Heart Association. Atherosclerotic vascular disease conference. Wrintig Goup VI: Revascularisation. *Circulation* 2004; 109: 2643-50.
7. 2007 guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. The Task Force for the Management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *European Heart Journal* 2007; 28: 1462-537.
8. Isles CG, Robertson S, Hill D. Management of renovascular disease: a review of renal artery stenting in ten studies. *QJM* 1999; 92: 159-67.
9. Dervişoğlu E, Çiftçi E, Selek A, Sarısoy HT, Kalender B, Yılmaz A. Percutaneous renal artery stenting reduces arterial blood pressure, but what about renal function? A single-center experience. *Anadolu Kardiyol Derg* 2010; 10: 61-5.
10. Van Jaarsveld BC, Krijnen P, Pieterman H, Derckx FH, Deinum J, Postma CT, et al. The effect of balloon angioplasty on hypertension in atherosclerotic renal-artery stenosis. *N Engl J Med* 2000; 342: 1007-14.
11. Bax L, Mali WP, Buskens E, Koomans HA, Beutler JJ, Braam B, et al. The benefit of STent placement and blood pressure and lipid-lowering for the prevention of progression of renal dysfunction caused by Atherosclerotic ostial stenosis of the Renal artery. The STAR-study: rationale and study design. *J Nephrol* 2003; 16: 807-12.
12. Dorros G, Jaff M, Mathiak L, He T; Multicenter Registry Participants. Multicenter Registry Participants. Multicenter Palmaz Stent renal artery stenosis revascularization registry report: Four-year follow up of 1058 successful patients. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2002; 55: 182-8.
13. The ASTRAL Investigators. Revascularization versus medical therapy for renal-artery stenosis. *N Eng J Med* 2009; 361: 1953-61.
14. Arthurs Z, Starnes B, Cuadrado D, Sohn V, Cushner H, Andersen C, et al. Renal artery stenting slows the rate of renal function decline. *J Vasc Surg* 2007; 45: 726-3.
15. Zeller T, Frank U, Müller C, Bürgelin K, Sinn L, Horn B, et al. Stent-supported angioplasty of severe atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis preserves renal function and improves blood pressure control: Long-term results of a prospective registry with 456 lesions. *J Endovasc Ther* 2004; 11: 95-106.
16. Gonçalves JA, Amorim JE, Soares Neto MM, Ribeiro AB, Lima VC. Clinical efficacy of percutaneous renal revascularization with stent placement in atherosclerotic renovascular disease. *Arq Bras Cardiol* 2007; 88: 85-90.
17. Zeller T, Frank U, Müller C, Bürgelin K, Sinn L, Bestehorn HP, et al. Predictors of improved renal function after percutaneous stent-supported angioplasty of severe atherosclerotic ostial renal artery stenosis. *Circulation*. 2003; 108: 2244-9.
18. Baumgartner I, von Aesch K, Do DD, Triller J, Birrer M, Mahler F. Stent placement in ostial and non ostial atherosclerotic renal arterial stenoses: a prospective follow-up study. *Radiology* 2000; 216: 498-505.
19. Blum U, Krumme B, Flügel P, Gabelmann A, Lehnert T, Buitrago-Tellez C, et al. Treatment of ostial renal-artery stenoses with vascular endoprostheses after unsuccessful balloon angioplasty. *N Engl J Med* 1997; 336: 459-65.
20. Bucek RA, Puchner S, Reiter M, Dirisamer A, Minar E, Lammer J. Long term follow-up after renal artery stenting. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2003; 115: 788-92.

21. Dangas G, Laird JR Jr, Mehran R, Lansky AJ, Mintz GS, Leon MB. Intravascular ultrasound-guided renal artery stenting. *J Endovasc Ther* 2001; 8: 238-47.
22. Gill-Leertouwer TC, Gussenhoven EJ, Bosch JL, Deinum J, van Overhagen H, Derkx FH, et al. Predictors for clinical success at one year following renal artery stent placement. *J Endovasc Ther* 2002; 9: 495-502.
23. Gill KS, Fowler RC. Atherosclerotic renal arterial stenosis: clinical outcomes of stent placement for hypertension and renal failure. *Radiology* 2003; 226: 821-6.
24. Gray BH, Olin JW, Childs MB, Sullivan TM, Bacharach JM. Clinical benefit of renal artery angioplasty with stenting for the control of recurrent and refractory congestive heart failure. *Vasc Med* 2002; 7: 275-9.
25. Harden PN, MacLeod MJ, Rodger RS, Baxter GM, Connell JM, Dominiczak AF, et al. Effect of renal-artery stenting on progression of renovascular renal failure. *Lancet* 1997; 349: 1133-6.
26. Henry M, Henry I, Klonaris C, Polydorou A, Rath P, Lakshmi G, et al. Renal angioplasty and stenting under protection: the way for the future? *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2003; 60: 299-312.
27. Lederman RJ, Mendelsohn FO, Santos R, Phillips HR, Stack RS, Crowley JJ, et al. Primary renal artery stenting: characteristics and outcomes after 363 procedures. *Am Heart J* 2001; 142: 314-23.
28. Rivolta R, Bazzi C, Stradiotti P, Paparella M. Stenting of renal artery stenosis: is it beneficial in chronic renal failure? *J Nephrol* 2005; 18: 749-54.
29. Rocha-Singh KJ, Mishkel GJ, Katholi RE, Ligon RA, Armbruster JA, McShane KJ, et al. Clinical predictors of improved long-term blood pressure control after successful stenting of hypertensive patients with obstructive renal artery atherosclerosis. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 1999; 47: 167-72.
30. Ziakka S, Belli AM, Kong TK, MacGregor GA, Missouris CG. Percutaneous transluminal renal artery angioplasty: who benefits most? *Int J Clin Pract* 2002; 56: 649-54.
31. Dorros G, Jaff M, Mathiak L, He T, Minor R, Harner R, et al. Renal function and survival after renal artery stent revascularization may be influenced by embolic debris. *J Invasive Cardiol* 2004; 16: 189-95.
32. Guerrero M, Ahmed A, Siddiqui M, Khosla S. Stabilization of renal function following renal artery stent revascularization. *J Invasive Cardiol* 2004; 16: 703-6.
33. Kwarada O, Yokoi Y, Takemoto K. The characteristics of dissemination of embolic materials during renal artery stenting. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2007; 70: 784-8.
34. Henry M, Henry I, Polydorou A, Polydorou A, Hugel M. Endovascular treatment of a renal artery stenosis: techniques, indications, results. Role of embolic protection devices (part 1). *Angiol Sosud Khir* 2007; 13: 33-40.
35. Henry M, Henry I, Polydorou A, Polydorou A, Hugel M. Endovascular treatment of a renal artery stenosis: techniques, indications, results. Role of embolic protection devices (part 2). *Angiol Sosud Khir* 2007; 13: 35-40.
36. Cooper CJ, Haller ST, Colyer W, Steffes M, Burket MW, Thomas WJ, et al. Embolic protection and platelet inhibition during renal artery stenting. *Circulation* 2008; 117: 2752-60.