Importance of diagnostic laparoscopy in the assessment of the diaphragm after left thoracoabdominal stab wound: A prospective cohort study
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Stab wounds in the left thoracoabdominal region may cause diaphragmatic injury. The aim of the present study was to determine incidence of diaphragmatic injury and role of diagnostic laparoscopy in detection of injury in patients with left thoracoabdominal stab wound.

METHODS: Total of 81 patients (75 male, 6 female; mean age 27.5±9.8 years; range 14 to 60 years) who presented with left thoracoabdominal stab wound between April 2009 and September 2014 were evaluated. Laparotomy was performed on patients who had hemodynamic instability, signs of peritonitis, or organ evisceration. Remaining patients were followed conservatively. After 48 hours, diagnostic laparoscopy was performed on patients without laparotomy indication to examine the left diaphragm for injury. Follow-up and treatment findings were prospectively evaluated.

RESULTS: Thirteen patients underwent laparotomy while diagnostic laparoscopy was performed on remaining 68 patients. Left diaphragmatic injury was observed in 19 patients (23.5%) in the study group. Four injuries were diagnosed by laparotomy and 15 were diagnosed by laparoscopy. Presence of hemopneumothorax did not yield difference in incidence of diaphragmatic injury (p=0.131). No significant difference was detected in terms of diaphragmatic injury with respect to entry site of stab wound in the thoracoabdominal region (p=0.929).

CONCLUSION: It is important to evaluate the diaphragm in left thoracoabdominal stab injuries, and diagnostic laparoscopy is still the safest and most feasible method.
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ethics committee (21.04.2009–4749/261). Patients who were admitted to emergency department with left thoracoabdominal stab wound between April 2009 and September 2014 were evaluated prospectively.

Thoracoabdominal region was defined as the area between the sternum, the fourth intercostal space, and the arcus costa anteriorly, and as the area between the vertebra, the inferior margin of the scapula and the last costal margin posteriorly. Region was divided into 3 subgroups: anterior (between the sternum and the anterior axillary line), lateral (between the anterior and posterior axillary lines) and posterior (between the posterior axillary line and the sternum).

After initial evaluation and efficient resuscitation, patients who were hemodynamically unstable or showing signs of peritonitis were taken for emergency laparotomy. Remaining patients were followed conservatively according to an algorithm (Fig. 1). Hemodynamic instability was determined with hypovolemia finding, such as systolic blood pressure below 90 mmHg; tachycardia; dry, pale or cold skin; or signs of fatigue and dehydration after sufficient fluid resuscitation. Diagnosis of peritonitis was made based on physical examination findings, such as rigidity and/or rebound in the abdomen and severe pain in other regions of the abdomen far from the stab wound.

Conservative treatment constituted hospitalization of the patient and close follow-up for 48 hours. In addition to performing physical examination, hemogram, as well as chest and abdominal X-rays were obtained. Patients who did not require emergency surgery 48 hours after being admitted were offered option of undergoing diagnostic laparoscopy to evaluate the left diaphragm, even though they did not have symptoms. For those who provided consent, laparoscopy was performed under general anesthesia with single port inserted using open approach above the umbilicus. Additional ports were added if needed.

When hemopneumothorax was detected on chest X-ray, diagnostic laparoscopy was followed by tube thoracostomy. Tubes were removed after expansion of the lungs and termination of drainage. This study was fully compliant with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology criteria.[11]

**Statistical Analyses**

Patient data were collected prospectively and recorded in digital database. SPSS Statistics 22 program (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used to analyze the data. Normally distributed continuous variables were expressed as mean±SD. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Fisher’s exact test, chi-square test, and Fisher-Freeman-Halton exact test were used to compare continuous parametric variables. P value <.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Total of 104 patients were hospitalized with left thoracoabdominal stab wound during study period. Twenty-three patients who declined diagnostic laparoscopy were excluded. In all, 81 patients were included in the study; 75 patients (92.6%) were male and 6 patients (7.4%) were female with mean age in cohort of 27.5±9.8 years (range: 14–60 years).

Thirteen patients underwent therapeutic laparotomy due to hemodynamic instability or findings of peritonitis. Left diaphragm injury was found in 4 (30.8%) laparotomy patients. In addition to diaphragmatic injury, there was splenic injury in 1 patient and multiple small bowel injuries in another. There was no associated pathology in the remaining 2 patients.

Diagnostic laparoscopy was performed on the remaining 68 patients. Left diaphragm injury was observed in 15 (22.1%) of these patients; no additional pathology was detected (Table 1). Procedure of 2 patients with diaphragm injury was converted to laparotomy due to technical difficulties. Remaining patients with diaphragm injuries were treated laparoscopically (Fig. 2).

Four diaphragmatic injuries were in the central region and

**Figure 1.** Algorithm used for left thoracoabdominal stab injury.

**Figure 2.** Omental tissue in diaphragmatic defect (a), and polipropylene suture repair (b).
remaining 15 injuries were in the periphery. Average size of
defect was 2 cm (range: 1–3 cm) and separate 2/0 polypropyl-
ene sutures were used to repair diaphragmatic defect.
Relationship between entry site of the stab in the left tho-
racoabdominal region and presence of diaphragmatic injury
was examined. Left diaphragmatic injury was detected in 10
of 45 patients (22.2%) who had anterior area injuries, in 7 of
27 patients (25.9%) who had lateral area injuries, and in 2 of
9 patients (22.2%) who had posterior area injuries. No sig-
nificant difference was found related to location of stab entry
(p=0.929; Table 2).

Seven of the 19 patients (36.8%) who had hemopneumo-
thorax, and 12 of the 62 patients (19.4%) who did not have
hemopneumothorax had left diaphragm injuries. There was
no statistically significant difference between patients with or
without hemopneumothorax in terms of diaphragmatic injury
(p=0.131; Table 3).

Four of the patients who underwent emergent laparotomy
due to hemodynamic instability died; however, patient deaths
were not related to diaphragm injury. Mortality rate was
4.9%. Average 42-month (range: 6–79 months) follow-up re-
vealed no morbidity, recurrence or complications.

**DISCUSSION**

In the past, laparotomy was performed routinely in cases with
penetrating abdominal stab injuries to minimize risk of miss-
ing potential intraabdominal pathologies. With the guidance
of clinical research, it has been demonstrated that unneces-
sary laparotomy rate was high, as well as morbidity and mor-
tality. Therefore, routine laparotomy has largely been aban-
doned and more selective conservative treatments have been
adopted.[12–16]

Selective conservative approach consists of immediate sur-
gery for patients with hemodynamic instability or peritonitis,
while remaining patients are followed conservatively and
discharged from the hospital 48 hours after admission if no
need for surgical intervention is observed. The situation is
different for thoracoabdominal region stab wounds. In early
stage, diaphragm injury may not demonstrate any clinical
signs or symptoms. Presence of the liver on right side under
the diaphragm may reduce risk of developing herniation in
right diaphragm injuries but risk of herniation is high in left
diaphragm injuries.

Diaphragm injuries caused by left thoracoabdominal stab
wound can be small and asymptomatic in early hours after the
injury. Wound in the diaphragm may enlarge over time and
lead to herniation of intraabdominal organs into the thoracic
cavity, causing mortality in up to 48% of cases.[2,8–10,17,18] Early
diagnosis and treatment is essential.

Use of laparoscopy in trauma patients was first described by
Adamthwaite.[19] Application of procedure has become wide-
spread over time, and high sensitivity and specificity have con-
tributed to it becoming preferred method to diagnose and
treat diaphragmatic injuries.[8,19,20] Majority of routine lapa-
rotomies performed to evaluate the diaphragm in left tho-
racoabdominal stab injuries may be unnecessary and result
in high rates of morbidity and mortality.[14,15,21–23] Diagnostic
laparoscopy is minimally invasive procedure that offers advan-
tage of early detection and treatment of diaphragmatic inju-
ries.[4,6–8,17,21,24,25] Diaphragm injury rates have been reported
in range of 10% to 50% in several studies that investigated left
thoracoabdominal stab injuries using diagnostic laparoscopy.
[1–5,8,22,24,27] In the present study, diaphragm injury rate was
23.5% in all patients and 22.1% in patients who underwent
diagnostic laparoscopy.

---

**Table 1.** Rate of diaphragmatic injury in left thoracoabdomi-
nal stab wound

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diaphragmatic injury</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparotomy</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic laparoscopy</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Table 2. Diaphragmatic injury according to left thoracoabdominal area subgroup |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---|----|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diaphragmatic injury</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher Freeman Halton exact test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Diaphragmatic injury according to chest X-ray findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diaphragmatic injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemopneumothorax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher’s exact test.
Early diagnosis of diaphragm injuries in left thoracoabdominal stab injuries is challenging based on physical examination, chest X-ray, ultrasonography, and tomography.[1,2,5,28,29] Signs and symptoms may not be enough for diagnosis. In a study performed by Powell et al., 68% of patients with diaphragm injuries had normal chest X-ray.[20] Mjoli et al. reported that 10 of 26 patients (38.5%) who had abnormal radiological findings on plain chest radiography had diaphragmatic injury, and 12 of 28 patients (42.9%) with normal chest radiography had diaphragmatic injury.[8] Similar results have been reported in other studies.[1,3,5] In our study, 36.8% of patients with diagnosis of hemopneumothorax had diaphragmatic injury, and 19.4% of patients with normal chest X-ray had diaphragm injury. In light of these findings, we can assume that presence of hemopneumothorax is not determining factor for diaphragm injury.

In a study conducted by Bagheri et al., stab wounds at the eighth intercostal space were found to be most responsible for diaphragm injuries in comparison of left thoracoabdominal injuries by area subgroup.[31] Mjoli et al. found site of injury to thoracoabdominal area was anterior in 11 cases (20%), lateral in 23 (41.8%), and posterior in 20 (36.4%); anterior penetrating wounds had highest incidence of diaphragmatic injury. Anterior region was most common site of stab wound in our population; however, we found no significant difference between subgroups in terms of incidence of diaphragm injury. In contrast to studies reporting high morbidity and mortality in cases of diaphragm injury that were overlooked early on, other experimental studies have demonstrated spontaneous healing of injured diaphragm in time without any treatment. In a study performed by Shatney et al., diaphragm injury model was created in 16 pigs. Spontaneous healing was observed in 15 of the pigs (93.75%) in 6 weeks.[32] However, data are insufficient and there have been no studies indicating spontaneous healing of the diaphragm in humans. Early evaluation of the diaphragm for injury after left thoracoabdominal stab wound continues to be important at the present time.

Limitation of this study was size of study group. Therefore, larger future studies examining impact of routine diagnostic laparoscopy are needed to clarify this issue.

Conclusion

Shortly after left thoracoabdominal stab wound, patients with diaphragm injury may be asymptomatic. Physical examination and imaging modalities may not be adequate as result of low sensitivity and specificity for diagnosing diaphragm injury. Patients who do not require laparotomy should be informed about possible latent diaphragm injury. Diagnostic laparoscopy is safe and efficient method to evaluate the diaphragm for any injury and should be presented as an option before the patient is discharged. Well-conducted, randomized, controlled studies are needed to further investigate stab wounds.

Funding

The authors declare that this study was not funded by any company or person.

Informed Consent

Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

REFERENCES


