Intramedullary nail with integrated cephalocervical screws in the intertrochanteric fractures treatment: Position of screws in fracture stability
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Stable fracture fixation is important in the treatment of intertrochanteric femur (ITF) fractures in the elderly population to prevent the loss of reduction, achieve early mobility, and restore independence. The aim of this study was to present the results of surgical treatment of stable and unstable ITF fractures using a trochanteric antegrade intramedullary nail with two cephalocervical screws in an integrated mechanism (Intertan®; Smith & Nephew, Memphis, TN) and evaluate the relationship between the loss of reduction and screw position in the femoral neck in two planes.

METHODS: The authors investigated all varus misalignments and losses of reduction in 57 patients (22 males, 35 females) treated for ITF fractures with the Intertan® between 2010 and 2011. Two indices (screw alignment index in the frontal projection [SAIcoronal] and screw alignment index in the lateral projection [SAlsagittal]) were defined to evaluate the loss of reduction. Patients were also evaluated according to the Harris hip score and Barthel independence index.

RESULTS: The mean patient age was 77.1 years. The mean follow-up period was 21.7 months. All patients achieved complete union. We did not detect any varus collapse or loss of reduction. At the end of the follow-up period, the mean Barthel independence index was 90.7, and the mean Harris hip score was 83.7.

CONCLUSION: The use of a trochanteric antegrade intramedullary nail with two cephalocervical screws allows for linear intraoperative compression and rotational stability of the head/neck fragment, prevents reduction loss, and has a wide application area in the femoral head. Its inherent continuous stability permits early weight-bearing and mobilization. It is a safe and an efficient option for the treatment of ITF fractures.
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INTRODUCTION

Intertrochanteric femur (ITF) fractures are among the most common orthopedic injuries in the elderly population and are mainly treated surgically. The surgical treatment of ITF fractures aims to achieve early ambulation and to restore the patient’s walking capacity to the pre-injury level. Intramedullary (IM) nail-screw devices offer distinct biomechanical advantages over other types of fixation devices. IM nails are load-sharing devices, offer three-point fixation, and are located more closely to the axis of weight-bearing. The main problem with these devices is varus collapse due to loss of reduction.

There are a few studies on the trochanteric antegrade IM nails that use two cephalocervical screws in an integrated mechanism, allowing for linear intraoperative compression and rotational stability of the head/neck fragment.

The aim of this study was to present the results of the treatment of stable and unstable ITF fractures using a trochanteric...
antegrade IM nail with two cephalocervical screws in an integrated mechanism (Intertan®; Smith & Nephew, Memphis, TN) and evaluate the relationship between the loss of reduction and placement of the screws in the femoral neck in both the coronal and sagittal planes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Our Institutional Review Board approved the chart review for this study, and informed consent was obtained from all patients. From February 2010 to June 2011, a total of 71 patients with ITF fractures underwent operations at our institution. We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of all patients. Patients with ITF fractures who underwent closed reduction and internal fixation with IM nails and integrated cephalocervical screws (Intertan® nail; Smith & Nephew, Memphis, TN) were included in the study. Exclusion criteria were the pathological fractures, inadequate radiographs, incomplete data, and loss to follow-up.

In total, 57 patients (22 males and 35 females) matched these criteria. The mean age of the patients at the time of surgery was 77.1 years (range, 58–98 years) and the mean follow-up time was 21.7 months (range, 13–30 months). All fractures were classified according to the AO/OTA classification system[6] using preoperative radiographs; 18 of the patients were had stable fractures, whereas the remaining 39 had unstable fractures.

Surgical procedures were performed by an orthopedic surgeon of either registrar grade (unsupervised) or consultant grade (all are authors of this manuscript).

All patients gave written informed consent before any study-related procedure was conducted. The study was carried out in accordance with the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee.

Surgical Technique

General or regional anesthesia was used for all patients. All patients were placed on a radiolucent fracture table in the supine position and 1 g of cefazolin sodium was administered for surgical prophylaxis. After the satisfactory completion of closed reduction was verified by fluoroscopy (Fig. 1), standard skin preparation and sterilization was performed. A 5- to 7-cm longitudinal incision was used proximal to the tip of the greater trochanter. A threaded-tip guide wire was placed on the tip of the trochanter under fluoroscopic control and driven into the bone for up to 5 cm. The entry point was then drilled with a cannulated 16-mm double drill. Once the appropriate size and neck angle of the implant was determined, the nail was inserted into the shaft so that the entry point of the lag screw would be placed into the head/neck of the femur in the fluoroscopic anteroposterior view. The insertional sleeves were placed into the screw guide, and a guide wire was drilled into the proximal fragment for the proximal lag screw. The guide wire was advanced to the subchondral portion of the femoral head. The lag screw guide wire was measured, and a one-size-shorter screw was selected. The lag screw was then advanced, bringing its tip as close to the subchondral bone as possible. During the insertion of the lag screw, an antirotation blade was used to prevent the rotation of the proximal fragment. After the insertion of the lag screw, a compression screw was applied to achieve compression at the fracture site. When the interlocking screws were in appropriate places, the preassembled set screw was firmly tightened to prevent pull-out. A distal locking screw was then statically inserted into the nail through the screw guide via a stab wound incision of 1–2 cm in length, and the wounds were then closed.

Postoperative Rehabilitation

Full weight-bearing was allowed on the first postoperative day as tolerated by the patient. Vigorous mobilization, range-of-motion exercises, and respiratory physiotherapy were initiated immediately.

Postoperative Follow-up

Full weight-bearing without pain and callus formation on both anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of the affected hip were the criteria for fracture union.

Patients were evaluated clinically using the Harris hip score[7] and Barthel independence index[8] at the final follow-up.

The Harris hip score rates the patient’s complaints and functional status based on a severity-symptom scale and functional status. This scale contains four question categories (pain, function, functional activities, and physical exam results). The total score ranges from 0 to 100 points; a score of 100 is considered the best. A score of 90–100 is considered excellent, 80–89 is considered good, 70–79 is considered fair, and <70 is considered poor.

The Barthel independence index comprises 10 items that measure a person’s daily functioning, specifically the activities of daily living and mobility. The total score ranges from 0 to

Figure 1. Anteroposterior (a) and lateral (b) fluoroscopic views showing closed reduction of the right hip of a 77-year-old female. The criteria for satisfactory closed reduction were continuity of tensile and compressive force lines, continuity of the cortex in the coronal and sagittal planes, and adequate anteverision in the sagittal plane.
100 points; a score of 100 is considered best. The higher the score, the more “independent” the person. Independence means that the person needs no assistance with any part of the task. If a person performs approximately 50% of the task independently, then the middle score would apply.

Plain anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of the affected hip taken on the day of surgery and at the last follow-up were used for radiographic measurements. All radiographic measurements were performed uniformly by the same orthopedic surgeon (author of this manuscript).

Measuring the Screw Alignment in the Coronal Plane
The outer sides of the superior and inferior cortices of the femoral neck at its narrowest points were marked on the anteroposterior view. The distances from each point perpendicular to the midline of the compression and lag screw combination were measured. The lateral measurement was the “x” value, medial measurement was the “y” value, and the “x + y” value was calculated. We reproduced the screw alignment index in the coronal plane (SAIcoronal) by calculating \( y / (x + y) \times 100 \) (Fig. 2a). In this way, we could determine the location of the screws in the coronal plane. A ratio of 0 to 33 was accepted as inferior placement, 34 to 66 as central placement, and 67 to 100 as superior placement of the screws.

Measuring the Screw Alignment in the Sagittal Plane
We drew a line tangential to the proximal tip of the nail and perpendicular to the line drawn in the middle of the axis of the lag screw. We then measured the distances from the intersection point to each cortex. The anterior measurement was “a,” the posterior measurement was “b,” and the “a + b” value was calculated. We reproduced the screw alignment index in the sagittal plane (SAIsagittal) by calculating \( b / (a + b) \times 100 \) (Fig. 2b). In this way, we could determine the position of the screws in the sagittal plane. A ratio of 0 to 33 was accepted as posterior placement, 34 to 66 as central placement, and 67 to 100 as anterior placement of the screws.

Measuring the Varus Angle
The varus angle (VA) was measured on anteroposterior radiographs. A transverse line was drawn across the proximal femoral shaft, passing through the most distal part of the lesser trochanter and lateral projection of the tip of the cephalocervical screws. A line connecting the center of the femoral head and lateral projection of the tip of the cephalocervical screws was drawn. The angle between these two lines was defined as VA (Fig. 3).

Measuring the Tip Apex Distance (TAD)
Tip apex distance (TAD)11 is the combined distance, measured in millimeters, from the tip of the cannulated screw to the apex of the femoral head on both anteroposterior and lateral radiographs. The magnification is standardized by measuring the diameter of the cannulated screw.

SAIcoronal and SAIsagittal measurements at the last follow-up were compared with those on the day of surgery to assess...
any loss in reduction. VA at the last follow-up was compared with that on the day of surgery to assess any varus collapse. The TAD measurement on the day of surgery was used to assess the adequacy of implant position; a TAD of >25 mm was used as a predictor of cut-out. 

Revision surgeries, additional procedures, and complications (including wound infection, deep infection, hematoma, and fractures around the implant) were documented.

Statistical Analyses
Statistical methods designed for independent observations were used. The mean value and standard deviation were calculated. Continuous variables were compared using the Student's t-test, and categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test. The level of significance was defined as \( p < 0.05 \). Pearson's correlation analyses were applied for the relationships. All analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical software package (ver. 17.0 for Windows; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS
Closed reduction was achieved in all patients. All patients achieved union with no complications. The mean union time was 12.2 weeks (range, 10.0–16.0 weeks). The mean time interval between trauma and surgery was 8.7 days (range, 0–32 days). The mean surgery time was 25 min (range, 14–40 min). The average hospital stay was 17.9 days (range, 7–63 days), depending on the length of the postoperative physiotherapy period, social circumstances, and length of intensive care unit stay.

There were three superficial wound infections, all of which resolved with oral antibiotics by the time the sutures were removed. No patient required revision surgery or other procedures at the final follow-up.

In the majority of the patients, the screws were located in the central position in both the coronal and sagittal planes (Table 1). The differences in SAIcoronal, SAlsagittal, and VCI on the day of surgery and at the final follow-up were not significant. There was no varus collapse in any patient. The mean TAD was 22.3 mm (range, 8.0–39.2 mm).

The mean Barthel index was 90.7 (range, 20–100), and in 43 patients (75%), the indices were >90 at the last follow-up. The mean Harris hip score was 83.7 (range, 44–98). The results of 16 patients (28%) were poor or fair; whereas 41 patients (72%) were defined as either good or excellent according to the Harris hip score. The Barthel index and Harris hip scores were evaluated in terms of the presence of any statistically significant correlation between these scores and age, gender, fracture type, preoperative hospital stay, and total length of hospitalization. The only statistically sig-

Table 1. Positions of the screws in the coronal and sagittal planes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Day of surgery</th>
<th>Last follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAIcoronal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>5 (9%)</td>
<td>6 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>52 (91%)</td>
<td>49 (86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAlsagittal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
<td>6 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>48 (84%)</td>
<td>47 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>5 (9%)</td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are numbers of patients with percentages in parentheses. SAI: Screw alignment index.

DISCUSSION
In this retrospective study, we analyzed the clinical and radiological outcomes of ITF fractures treated with trochanteric anterograde nails with two cephalocervical screws in an integrated mechanism in 57 patients. ITF fractures in the elderly population are associated with considerable morbidity and mortality because of accompanying comorbidities. Since the first report on the use of a sliding hip screw (SHS), this device has become a standard treatment modality for ITF fractures. However, comminuted and unstable ITF fractures treated with SHS may result in significant malunion and femoral neck shortening. Although free of complications, early weight-bearing is unlikely with SHS. IM nail-screw devices offer distinct biomechanical advantages over other types of fixation devices. IM nails are load-sharing devices, offer three-point fixation, and are located closer to the weight-bearing axis. This is especially important in unstable fractures that present with medial column compromise.

The most serious and often devastating complication of the first-generation IM fixation devices that have a single centrally placed lag screw to secure the femoral head and neck is the varus collapse of the femoral neck; this is also called “cut-out” and is caused by the perforation of a lag screw through the cortex. This is thought to be caused either by improper lag screw placement in the anterior–superior quadrant of the head or by not placing the screw close enough to the subchondral region of the head. However, in reality, when the patient starts walking, the lag screw becomes a pivot point and the femoral head starts rotating at this point, which results in loosening of the bone–screw interface. To avoid this complication, second-generation systems using...
two separate screws were developed. Unfortunately, these devices are associated with the complications such as the migration of screws in opposite directions (Z-effect and reverse Z-effect), which is caused by the disproportionate load during weight-bearing.[15] Many previous studies advocated the central placement of the screws to prevent cut-out and promote more stable fracture fixation,[16,17] although Kaufer[18] advised the placement of the screws in the postero-inferior quadrant of the femoral head.

However, the application of the lag screw into a specific quadrant of the femoral head can sometimes be difficult and result in prolonged operation duration, especially in overweight patients or when the intraoperative fluoroscopic views are not clear enough.

The limitations and complications of both first- and second-generation systems have led to the development of a third-generation system consisting of an IM nail with integrated cephalocervical screws.

The Intertan® device (Smith & Nephew, Memphis, TN) with integrated cephalocervical screws is a reliable device that permits compression at the fracture site.

The compression screw prevents rotation by interlocking into the lag screw. The Z-effect can be prevented by the proximal preassembled set screw that locks the interlocking screws. Ruecker et al.[9] and Qin also reported that the Intertan® device appears to be a reliable implant for the treatment of ITF femoral fractures and to have low complication rates with a shorter recovery period from the pre-injury level.[3]

Femoral neck shortening because of uncontrolled ITF fracture collapse is another complication of both IM nails and SHS constructs, causing limb length discrepancy and maladaptation of the abductor lever arm.[9] Although some compression is needed for fracture healing, uncontrolled fracture collapse can cause migration of the screw, which results in pain and shortening. Satisfactory functional outcomes with near-normal gait restoration can be achieved in cases of ITF hip fractures with an emphasis on calcar reduction and compression.[20] We believe that devices allowing controlled compression at the fracture site help surgeons to reconstruct the medial column and prevent further collapse. Our findings indicate that the central or inferior placement of the lag screws in the coronal plane and in any of the three quadrants in the sagittal plane is sufficient for stable fracture fixation as long as good reduction and compression of the fracture site is achieved with a secure implant.

Although Norris reported that proximal femoral IM nails have been associated with a risk of late fracture around the implant,[21] we experienced no implant-related femoral fractures in our series. Matre 22 reported five postoperative peri-implant femoral fractures in patients treated with the Intertan® device for ITF fractures within the first 3 months. Such complications may occur with increased follow-up durations.

There were a few limitations to this study. First, our findings were limited to the specific implant used in this study. Although its design is not dissimilar to many other currently available anatomic implants, our findings may not be generalizable to all other IM nails with integrated cephalocervical screws. Second, this study was performed without randomization. Third, we did not obtain repeatability or intraobserver variability data for the radiological measurements.

In the surgical treatment of ITF fractures, the application of the lag screw into a specific quadrant of the femoral head can sometimes be difficult and result in prolonged operation durations and additional intraoperative fluoroscopic imaging, causing more radiation exposure. Multiple drillings of the osteoporotic bone to locate the lag screw placement site may lower the quality of the bone stock for adequate fixation stability.

Conclusion

Trochanteric antegrade nails that involve the use of two cephalocervical screws in an integrated mechanism allow for linear intraoperative compression and rotational stability of the head/neck fragment, prevent reduction loss, have a wide range of application areas in the femoral head, and are a good option for the treatment of ITF fractures in the elderly population.
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İntertrokanterik femur kırıklarının tedavisinde entegre sefaloservikal vidali intramedüller çivinin etkisi
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İstanbul Üniversitesi Cerrahpaşa Tıp Fakültesi, Ortopedi ve Tavmatoloji Anabilim Dalı, İstanbul

AMAC: Yaşı popülasyonundaki intertrokanterik femur (İTF) kırıklarının tedavisinde, reduksiyon kaybını önlemek, erken mobilite sağlamak ve bağımsız yaşamın restorasyonu için stabil kırık fiksasyonu çok önemlidir. Bu çalışmanın amacı, entegre iki sefaloservikal vida mekanizması ile intertrokanterik antegred intramedüller çivinin (Intertan®; Smith & Nephew, Memphis, TN) ve intramedüller çivinin (Targon®; Ortopédie Torino) kullanılarak cerrahi tedavi edilen stabil ve instabil İTF kırıklarının sonuçlarını ortaya koymak ve reduksiyon kaybı ile femur boynuna giden vida pozisyonunun etkisi araştırılmasıdır.

GEREÇ VE YONTEM: Çalışma 2010 ve 2011 yılları arasında İstanbul Üniversitesi cerrahisi tedavi edilen ve Intertan® ile cerrahi tedavi edilen 57 hasta (22 erkek, 35 kadın) ve Targon® ile cerrahi tedavi edilen 57 hasta (22 erkek, 35 kadın) toplam 114 hasta, ortalaması 56.7, ortalama takip süresi 21.7 aydı. Tüm hastalarda tam kaynama elde edildi. Hipertrofi hastada varus kollapsı da reduksiyon kaybı göze çarpmıştı. Takip süresi sonunda, oraltala Barthel indeksi 90.7 olarak bulunmuştu, oraltala Harris kalça skoru 83.7 olarak belirlendi.

BULGULAR: Ortalama hasta yaş 77.1, ortalama takip süresi 21.7 aydı. Tüm hastalarda tam kaynama elde edildi. Hipertrofi hastada varus kollapsı da reduksiyon kaybı göze çarpmıştı. Takip süresi sonunda, oraltala Barthel indeksi 90.7 olarak bulunmuştu, oraltala Harris kalça skoru 83.7 olarak belirlendi.