The success of endotracheal intubation with a modified laryngoscope using night vision goggles
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Endotracheal intubation (ETI) procedure in the combat area differs from prehospital trauma life support procedures because of the danger of gunfire and the dark environment. We aimed to determine the success, difficulty degree, and duration of ETI procedures with a classical laryngoscope (CL) in a bright room and with a modified laryngoscope (ML) model in a dark room.

METHODS: All interventions were performed by a combatant medical staff of 10 members. We developed an ML model to obtain a tool that can be used in combination with night vision goggles (NVGs) to perform ETI at night. The procedures were performed using a CL with the naked eye in a bright room and using a ML with NVGs in a dark room. The ETI procedure that used the ML was performed by engaging and locking the blade on the handle either in the mouth (ML-IM) or outside of the mouth (ML-OM).

RESULTS: The mean completion times for the ETI procedures, namely Day-CL, ML-OM+NVG, and ML-IM+NVG, performed by the operators were 14.46, 26.9, and 32.38 s, respectively. The ML-OM+NVG and ML-IM+NVG procedures were significantly longer than the Day-CL procedure (p<0.05). The ML-IM+NVG procedure was significantly longer than the ML-OM+NVG procedure (p<0.05). All ETI procedures were found to be 100% successful. The Day-CL procedure was easier than the ML-OM+NVG and ML-IM+NVG procedures (p>0.05).

CONCLUSION: The ETI procedure is applicable using NVGs in dark conditions on the battlefield. Medical interventions performed using NVGs in the dark should be a part of the basic training provided in tactical emergency medicine.
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that can be caused when an extra light source other than night vision goggles (NVGs) is used by paramedics/medics on the combat field. With the naked eye, the light of the classical laryngoscope (CL) can be viewed; however, infrared (IR) light cannot be visualized unless observed with NVGs. There is still ongoing debate regarding the technical equipment that should be used while performing ETI in the dark environment of a combat area to avoid the limited visibility of the CL and ensure safety.

In the present study, by replacing the CL bulb with an IR light-emitting diode (IR-LED) bulb, we created a modified laryngoscope (ML) model to be used with NVGs while performing ETI in a dark environment. Thus, here we aimed to determine the success, difficulty degree, and duration of ETI procedures with a CL in a bright room and with an ML in a dark room.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials
An endotracheal tube (Kendall, Cuffed tracheal tube, Switzerland, 2009), a 10-cc injector, Airway Management Manikin (Laerdal, Airway Management Trainer, Norway, 2013), lubricant gel, intubation stylet, silicone resuscitator with mouthpieces (Laerdal, Adult Standard, Norway, 2013), and monocular NVGs (Aselsan, 983A, Turkey, 1995) were provided for the ETI procedure. All devices were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

CL
A laryngoscope (Truphatek Macintosh, no. 3 Blade, Israel) and a blade bulb (5-mm external diameter, 2.5 V, 28 mA, vacuumed nickel coating) were used.

Formation of a New Laryngoscope Model
Nickel coating of the CL bulb was transversely cut off while preserving the internal structures. The white light source was removed and replaced with an IR-LED light bulb (5-mm distal external diameter, 1.6 V, 20 mA, 940 nm peak wavelength, 30° view angle, convex lens), and the bulb was soldered to the previously cut bulb area and coated with silicon (Fig. 1a).

Methods

Aim of The Method
The study protocol with resuscitation manikins was approved by the Gülhane Military Medical Academy Ethics Committee. Our experimental study was performed on a resuscitation model in a simulated dark environment. In conflict environment with dark conditions, practitioners still use classical laryngoscopes for ETI procedures. Although NVGs are used in combat fields for tactical purposes (map reading, determination of enemy targets, etc.), it is routinely not used during ETI and other medical interventions. Military paramedics/medics have to not only perform medical interventions on the combat field but also observe their environment while taking their NVGs off during battle. Therefore, it is essential for the practitioner to perform medical interventions, such as ETI, without removing NVGs in dark conditions. Because the light of CL can inevitably be seen with the naked eye of the enemy in dark conditions, we developed an ML model.

Participants and Assessment Tool in Bright and Dark Rooms
All interventions were administered by an experienced combatant medical staff (CMS) of 10 members who were trained in the Battlefield Advanced Trauma Life Support, which is a part of the military tactical training. All members had more than 5 years of experience in tactical emergency medicine and tactical night operations with NVGs. The operators used classical ETI and had not used ETI with NVGs before. This was a new technique. The operators were trained for the ETI procedure by military emergency medicine specialists (MEMS); these refreshment training programs (theoretical and practical), including anatomical landmarks and procedural tools, lasted for 60 min for each intervention.

The methods were performed using CL with the naked eye in an illuminated area and using ML with NVGs in a dark environment.
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room (Fig. 1b). The ETI procedure employing ML was performed by engaging and locking the blade on the handle either in the mouth (ML-IM) or outside of the mouth (ML-OM). To minimize the light filtering from the laryngoscope, the operators were instructed to loosely insert laryngoscope blades into the mouth (ML-IM) and then pull up the handle to visualize vocal cords. Using this approach, the anatomical structure of the oropharynx was used as a natural barrier to avoid light scattering. The following abbreviations were used in the corresponding circumstances: Day-CL, ETI using CL in the daylight; ML-OM, locking the blade of ML on the handle out of the mouth in a dark room; and ML-IM, locking the blade of ML on the handle in the mouth in a dark room. The procedures performed with NVGs in a dark environment were indicated with “+NVG.” The procedures performed in daylight (Day-CL) and in the dark room (ML-OM+NVG, ML-IM+NVG) were randomized using research randomizer (www.randomizer.org). All methods were evaluated for their success and compared with each other. All operators repeated each intervention twice. The success, difficulty degree, and duration of ETI were explored in the study. No more than a single attempt was permitted for each insertion of the endotracheal tube (ETT), after which the procedure was considered as failed. Necessary steps for the success of the ETI procedure are shown in Table 1. An unsuccessful procedure was defined as (a) taking more than 60 s to secure the airway, (b) no ventilation, (c) esophageal intubation, and (d) no lung inflation.

The duration of ETI was defined as the time from the preparation of the laryngoscope to the ventilation of the lungs, depending on the method selected by the participant. These parameters were observed by MEMS, and the results were recorded.

In addition, MEMS observed the success of the ETI procedure with the naked eye in daylight and using NVG in the dark room. Operators graded the ease of ETI (in bright and dark rooms) using a numerical rating scale with scores varying from 1 to 10, 10 for the most difficult interventions and 1 for very easy interventions.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive data were expressed in median values, standard deviation (minimum–maximum). The Wilcoxon test was used to compare two groups, whereas the Friedman test was performed to compare more than two groups. Dual analyses were performed using the Bonferroni-corrected Wilcoxon test if the Friedman test revealed statistically significant differences. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. We calculated that a minimum of eight patients in each group would be required to detect a 20-s difference between groups, assuming a standard deviation of 20 s with 80% power at an α level of 0.05.

RESULTS

Difficulty Degree of Procedures

Operators (n=10) rated the Day-CL procedure as easier than the ML-OM+NVG and ML-IM+NVG procedures (p<0.05), and no significant difference was observed in difficulty between the ML-OM+NVG and ML-IM+NVG procedures (p=1) (Tables 2 and 3).

Success Rate

All ETI procedures, namely Day-CL, ML-OM+NVG, and ML-IM+NVG, performed by the operators were found to be 100% successful.

Table 1. Mandatory steps for the success of the selected endotracheal intubation procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endotracheal intubation procedure</th>
<th>Day-CL</th>
<th>ML-OM + NVG</th>
<th>ML-IM + NVG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Engagement of blade on the handle of CL</td>
<td>(a) Engagement of blade on the handle of ML</td>
<td>(a) Engagement of blade on the handle of ML</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Handle pull blade up</td>
<td>(b) Handle pull blade up out of the mouth</td>
<td>(b) After loose insertion in the mouth pull blade up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) Insertion of ETT into the trachea</td>
<td>(c) Insertion of ETT into the trachea</td>
<td>(c) Insertion of ETT into the trachea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) Inflation of cuff</td>
<td>(d) Inflation of cuff</td>
<td>(d) Inflation of cuff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) Observation of the expansion of the lungs in the mannequin with silicone resuscitator by MEMS</td>
<td>(e) Observation of the expansion of the lungs in the mannequin with silicone resuscitator by MEMS</td>
<td>(e) Observation of the expansion of the lungs in the mannequin with silicone resuscitator by MEMS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Day-CL: Endotracheal intubation performed with a classical laryngoscope and naked eye in daylight in a bright room; ML-OM + NVG: Endotracheal intubation performed with a modified laryngoscope with the blades locked on the handle out of the mouth in a dark room using night vision goggles; ML-IM + NVG: endotracheal intubation performed with a modified laryngoscope with the blades locked on the handle in the mouth in a dark room using NVG; NVG: Night vision goggles; CL: Classical laryngoscope; ML: Modified laryngoscope; ETT: Endotracheal tube; MEMS: Military Emergency Medicine Specialist.
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Completion Time for the Interventions
The mean completion times for the ETI procedures, namely Day-CL, ML-OM+NVG, and ML-IM+NVG, performed by the operators in the bright and dark rooms were 14.46, 26.90, and 32.38 s, respectively. On comparing, the completion times of the ML-OM+NVG and ML-IM+NVG procedures were found to be significantly longer than Day-CL procedure (p<0.05). The ML-IM+NVG procedure was significantly longer than the ML-OM+NVG procedure (p<0.05) (Tables 2 and 3).

DISCUSSION
Rapid airway management by tactical medical providers is a key skill, which may affect the survival of injured soldiers and civilians. Advanced airway management is feasible in the modern combat setting. In civilian settings, the indications for airway management include traumas, such as traffic collision-induced blunt injuries, whereas in the military setting, they include penetrating facial, neck, and maxillofacial injuries; significant airway hemorrhage secondary to vascular injury; and airway obstruction. It is, therefore, one of the most important steps in the combat setting to maintain a patent airway.

Considering the nature of the combat area, the ETI procedure is affected by the previous experiences and skills of the CMS; the difficulty of the procedure completion on the field; and factors such as darkness, excessive noise, access to the patient, transportation of the patient to a safe location, insufficient equipment, clinical condition of the patient (for instance, maxillofacial injuries, cervical trauma, etc.), and difficult airway. All these factors are independent of each other and need to be addressed to improve the success of ETI and to ensure tactical safety. Among these independent factors affecting the success of ETI and tactical safety, the present study focused on problems that were likely to interfere with light discipline while performing ETI in darkness.

Military paramedics/medics also have to observe their environment without removing their NVGs during battle. When white/yellow light is used for ETI, there is a risk of the personnel performing the ETI procedure to be spotted by the enemy forces. Butler et al. reported an Israeli military health care personnel who was killed by a sniper fire while manipulating the laryngoscope to perform ETI at night. In addition, a possible disadvantage of using video laryngoscopes in a tactical environment may be the backlit video screens, which may increase intubation exposure in a low-light environment. The evaluation and management of the patient are highly dependent on good lighting conditions.

Strict blackout discipline is extremely important for the military and allied units. However, practicing ETI in a tactical light-restricted environment is still a matter of debate. Tactical NVGs can be utilized for determining wound characteristics and for performing relevant interventions at night. The study by Butler et al. is a tragic illustration of the harm and damage that can be caused when an extra light source other than NVGs is used by paramedics/medics on the combat field. Furthermore, there have been a few studies on the use of NVGs in airway interventions, including one on ETI with NVGs one on emergency cricothyroidotomy with NVGs and one on ETI with NVGs. These studies reported that NVGs might be used while performing these procedures in darkness. However, there are few studies that guide operators on the principles of performing ETI in the dark and on the success of this procedure.

Table 2. Intubation results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Intubation success</th>
<th>Intubation time (sec) Mean±SD, min-max</th>
<th>No of attempts Mean</th>
<th>Numerical rating scale Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day-CL</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>14.46±2.31 (11.7–17.9)</td>
<td>1.0 (0)</td>
<td>1.5±0.5 (1–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML-OM+NVG</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>26.90±2.77 (23.3–32.7)</td>
<td>1.0 (0)</td>
<td>5.9±0.7 (5–7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML-IM+NVG</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>32.38±2.10 (28.8–35.1)</td>
<td>1.0 (0)</td>
<td>5.9±0.7 (5–7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NRS: Numeric rating scale; Day-CL: Endotracheal intubation performed with a classical laryngoscope and naked eye in daylight in a bright room; ML-OM+NVG: Endotracheal intubation performed with a modified laryngoscope with the blades locked on the handle out of the mouth in a dark room using night vision goggles; ML-IM+NVG: Endotracheal intubation performed with a modified laryngoscope with the blades locked on the handle in a dark room using night vision goggles; NVG: Night vision goggles; SD: Standard deviation.

Table 3. Comparison of intubation techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Intubation Time</th>
<th>NRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day-CL vs ML-OM+NVG</td>
<td>=0.005</td>
<td>=0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day-CL vs ML-IM+NVG</td>
<td>=0.005</td>
<td>=0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML-OM+NVG vs ML-IM+NVG</td>
<td>=0.005</td>
<td>=1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NRS: numeric rating scale; Day-CL: Endotracheal intubation performed with a classical laryngoscope and naked eye in daylight in a bright room; ML-OM+NVG: Endotracheal intubation performed with a modified laryngoscope with the blades locked on the handle out of the mouth in a dark room using night vision goggles; ML-IM+NVG: Endotracheal intubation performed with a modified laryngoscope with the blades locked on the handle in a dark room using night vision goggles; NVG: Night vision goggles.
The light of a CL can be seen with the naked eye, whereas IR light is not visible to the naked eye. In the present study, the bulb of the CL blade was replaced with an IR-LED bulb for the operator to perform ETI with NVGs. Thus, we could test the success and completion time of ETI performed by CMS in darkness without removing NVGs. ETI procedures performed with ML were as successful as those performed with CL in daylight, but there was a statistically significant difference in completion times between the two (p<0.05).

The operators in the present study were also experienced in performing ETI with CL and in the tactical use of NVGs. However, this was their first time for performing ETI using ML and NVGs in darkness (ML-OM+NVG and ML-IM+NVG). We believe that this may have contributed to the differences in the completion times and success of ETI.

Prehospital ETI performed by the operators with limited experience increased mortality, whereas those performed by more experienced operators were associated with lower mortality rates.[16,17] The success of prehospital ETI in the combat area also decreased the rate of preventable deaths. In another study on ETI in humans, Wang et al.[18] reported prehospital first-pass intubation success rates to be approximately 70%, whereas Deakin et al.[7] reported 30% failure rate for ETI performed by non-physician personnel in the prehospital setting. In studies wherein ETI was performed on mannequins, Bahathiq et al.[16] reported a first-pass intubation success rate of 68%, Yun et al.[12] reported that the success rate of ETI ranged from 96% to 100%, and Gellerfors et al.[13] reported a success rate of 100%. The present study reports a success rate of 100%. In this respect, the present study is consistent with the study of Gellerfors et al. in terms of the success rate and completion time. In contrast to our study, however, in the study of Gellerfors et al., ETI was performed by anesthesiologists using binocular NVGs. In addition, capnography verification was also performed between the ETI steps.

We suggest that CMS personnel should practice in dark environment and ML-OM+NVG/ML-IM+NVG trainings should be repeated frequently to reduce the time to ventilation in the ETI procedures. This would enable precise and rapid decisions by the personnel performing ETI in a combat setting and under dark conditions. The feedback received from the operators suggested that the Day-CL procedure was easier than the ML-OM+NVG and ML-IM+NVG procedures (p<0.05). This finding was attributed to the fact that operators were not trained to perform ETI using NVGs and that they only had experience of performing ETI in daytime.

In routine practice, operators engage and lock the blade onto the handle to prepare the CL for operation and to test if the lamp illuminates. During this preparation, illumination provided by the lamp inevitably reveals the operator’s location to the enemy forces. To reduce illumination by the lamp, we demonstrated CMS personnel to deploy the blade into its locked position after inserting the blade loosely into the mouth and pulling up the blade to expose vocal cords. Via this maneuver, the anatomical structure of the oropharynx was used as a natural barrier to avoid light scattering. Schwartz et al.[19] demonstrated the difficulty of performing intubation with monocular NVGs due to reduced depth perception. Despite that, in the present study, CMS personnel successfully (100%) performed ETI using monocular NVGs in the dark environment; however, the feedback we received from the operators indicates that the ETI procedure performed with ML is more difficult than the Day-CL procedure.

Based on the evidences from several healthcare disciplines, simulation can improve the knowledge (clinical decision making, patient assessment etc. and skill performance. We still require gaining excellence in using NVGs for medical interventions. Simulation training is an essential training strategy in healthcare systems to improve the patient’s safety, and there are some evidences supporting that procedural simulation improves the actual operational performance in the clinical setting. The increasing trend of the use of simulation in
healthcare training can be attributed to its many benefits. The ETI procedures and scenarios performed with ML-IM+NVG and ML-OM+NVG in a room simulating dark conditions must be integrated into such simulation systems.

In a chaotic environment, we suggest that civilian healthcare workers involved in humanitarian relief services (Red Crescent, Red Cross, etc.) should deploy the CL blade in the mouth during intubation and perform the procedures under a lightproof cover if they lack facilities, such as NVGs. In night operations, we consider that advanced life support can be provided in the helicopter’s cabin using night vision systems and laryngoscopes with IR-LED lamps, thereby eliminating the need for turning on lights.

Laryngoscope bulb manufacturers develop a product that diminishes the power of the IR light and focuses the light exclusively on the epiglottis and vocal cords. Instead of carrying a separate device that would add an additional weight into the medical packs, combat medics should have at their disposal IR-LED laryngoscope bulbs, such as the ML, designed in the present study, which should be kept at a location that is readily accessible to all personnel when required.

Nonetheless, there are some limitations to this study. First, the extent of the light reflected from the oropharynx of the human that becomes visible by the enemy forces remains unknown. Different challenges may occur in real-life practice as all ETI procedures in this study were performed on mannequins. In the real-life setting, the intubation may be complicated by blood and vomit in the airway and trismus. Second, auscultation with a stethoscope to confirm tracheal intubation may not be feasible due to excessive noise. Such challenges may affect the success and duration of the interventions. Therefore, further animal and human studies with challenging intubation mannequins are required to establish a conclusion.

Conclusion
In conclusion, ETI conducted in darkness using NVGs is a reliable method. Military paramedics/medics have to not only perform medical intervention on the combat field but also observe their environment without removing their NVGs during battle. Considering this finding, we believe that it would be relatively safer to open the CL blade inside the mouth and perform the procedure using NVGs. Medical interventions performed using NVGs in the dark should be a part of the basic training provided in tactical emergency medicine. Further studies conducted in battlefield area are needed to evaluate the safety and success of this procedure.
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Gece görüş gözlüklerini kullanarak modifiye laringoskopla endotrakeal entübasyon başarısı
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AMAÇ: Karanlık ortamda düşman ateşi altında endotrakeal entübasyon (ETE) prosedürü hastane öncesi travma yaşam desteği prosedürlerinden farklıdır. Amacımız; aydınlık odada klasik laringoskop (KL), karanlık odada modifiye laringoskop (ML) modeli ile ETE prosedürlerinin başarısı, zorluk derecesi ve ETE prosedür süresini ortaya çıkartmaktır.


BULGULAR: Uygulayıcılar tarafından aydınlık ve karanlık odada yapılan ETE girişimlerinin (Gündüz-KL, ML-AD+GGG, ML-Aİ+GGG) ortalama tamamlanma süreleri sırasıyla 14.46 sn., 26.9 sn., ve 32.38 sn. olarak saptandı. ML-AD+GGG ve ML-Aİ+GGG, Gündüz-KL’ye göre istatistiksel olarak anlamlı derecede daha uzun (p<0.05). Modifiye laringoskop-Aİ+GGG, ML-AD+GGG’yı göre ise istatistiksel olarak anlamlı derecede daha uzun bulundu (p<0.05). Bütün ETE girişimleri %100 başarılıydi. Gündüz-KL, ML-AD+GGG ve ML-Aİ+GGG ile karşılıştığında daha kolaydı (p<0.05).


Anahtar sözcükler: Endotrakeal entübasyon; gece görüş gözlükleri; karanlık; savaş alanı.