Can double fluoroscopy in the oblique position reduce surgical time and radiation exposure during intertrochanteric femur fracture nailing?
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: The purpose of this study was to determine the comparative effectiveness of the use of single fluoroscopy versus double fluoroscopy during intramedullary nailing in the oblique position for intertrochanteric femur fractures in terms of surgery and radiation time.

METHODS: Fifty-two patients (20 men, 32 women; average age: 78.2 years; range: 69-88 years) were included in the study. While double fluoroscopy was used for 25 patients, single fluoroscopy was used for the remaining 27 patients. Data of the preparation time between anesthesia and surgery, surgery time, radiation time, bleeding volume, postoperative collodiaphyseal angle between the fractures and intact parts, and the tip-apex distance (TAD) were compared.

RESULTS: The surgery time in the double and single fluoroscopy groups averaged 34.48±8.92 minutes and 50.37±16.63 minutes, respectively (p<0.01). The radiation time was 42.72±16.00 seconds for the double-fluoroscopy group and 68.22±21.53 seconds for the single-fluoroscopy group (p<0.01). The surgical preparation time, bleeding volume, collodiaphyseal angle and TAD distance did not vary significantly between groups (p>0.05).

CONCLUSION: The use of double fluoroscopy in the oblique position in the surgical treatment of intertrochanteric femur fractures reduced the surgical time and the anesthesia time for patients, as well as the exposure to radiation, thereby also reducing the risk of complications.
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INTRODUCTION

It is estimated that around 6.3 million hip fractures will occur worldwide by 2050.[1] As a result of the already growing number of intertrochanteric femur fractures, intramedullary (IM) nailing is becoming an increasingly popular treatment technique among orthopedic surgeons.[2] IM nailing is commonly performed using a traction table or, in the supine or lateral decubitus positions, a radiolucent table.[3] The use of fluoroscopy during IM nailing may result in high rates of radiation exposure regardless of patient position. In addition, during the surgery, the position of the fluoroscope has to be changed to provide anteroposterior (AP) and lateral images and this necessity can lead to unnecessary views. It might be possible to decrease the time of exposure to radiation with newly developed fluoroscopic techniques.
In this study, IM nailing for an intertrochanteric fracture was performed in the oblique position, a modified lateral decubitus position. The objective was to examine the differences between single and double fluoroscopy in IM nailing performed in the oblique position in terms of the preparation time between anesthesia and surgery, surgery time, radiation time, bleeding volume and postoperative radiological results. The research hypothesis was that the use of double fluoroscopy in the oblique position would reduce the surgery time and radiation time.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Population-Treatment Groups

The sample group comprised patients who underwent IM nailing for intertrochanteric femur fractures at Medipol Mega Hospital between March 2013 and March 2015. This was a retrospective study that included patients whose fracture was type A1 or A2 according to the AO/OTA Fracture and Dislocation Classification, whose injury had been diagnosed as a non-pathological isolated intertrochanteric femur fracture, and those who underwent nailing with closed reduction in the oblique position. Of 84 patients who underwent IM nailing for an intertrochanteric femur fracture, the following patients were excluded from the study: 4 patients with a pathological fracture, 3 patients with multi-trauma, 2 patients who underwent open reduction, 11 patients with type A3 fractures according to the AO/OTA classification, and 12 patients who underwent operations in positions other than the oblique position (Table 1). In all, 52 patients (20 men, 32 women) were included in the study. The average age was 78.2 years (range: 69–88 years). The causes of the fracture included falling from a height in 2 cases, a traffic accident in 5, and a simple fall for 45 patients. The mean time interval between the trauma and the operation was 3.5 days (range: 1–7 days). Double fluoroscopy was used for 25 patients, and single fluoroscopy was used for 27 patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTA/AO fracture classification</th>
<th>Patients with hip fractures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Double fluoroscopy (n=25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Written informed consent was obtained from each patient before enrollment. All of the study procedures involving human participants were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Approval for the study was obtained from the local ethical committee.

Technique

All of the surgeries were performed using a closed technique under spinal anesthesia. An anti-rotational screw antegrade proximal femur intramedullary nail (Intertan; Smith & Nephew plc, London, UK) was used. After the induction of anesthesia, all of the patients were placed in the oblique position on the radiolucent table at 70° to the floor in order to prevent two-hip superposition (Fig. 1). In order to maintain the position, silicon supports were placed behind the hips and under the feet.

Philips BV Endura fluoroscopes (Koninklijke Philips N.V., Amsterdam, Netherlands) were used for all patients. The fluoroscopes were positioned before sterile draping, and AP and lateral images of the proximal femur were taken. When double fluoroscopy was used, the fluoroscope used to take AP images was placed such that the X-ray tube was behind the hips and the image intensifier was in front of the patient. A 20° tilt was applied in the caudal direction in order to ease the entrance of the fluoroscope used to record the lateral images. The fluoroscope used to take lateral images was placed at a 90° angle to the floor in the caudocranial direction such that the image intensifier was above. Once suitable images were obtained, the positions of fluoroscopes were marked on the floor with adhesive bandages. After sterile draping, the fluoroscopes were also draped and returned to the marked areas. Reduction of the fracture was provided by an assistant using manual longitudinal traction and internal rotation. Once a longitudinal skin incision was made proximal to the greater trochanter, a guide pin was inserted into the trochanteric region under fluoroscopic guidance. An entry reamer was then inserted and reamed to the level of the lesser trochanter. The appropriate nail size was selected for each patient according to the preoperative planning. Once the nail was inserted, the guide pin was placed into the neck-head. Under manual traction, the quality of the reduction and the position of the guide pin were evaluated with AP and lateral images. After obtaining the appropriate position, a lag screw and interlocking screw were placed. A single distal locking screw was then inserted through the guide of the nail (Figs. 2 and 3). It was determined that it was not necessary to change the position of the fluoroscope during surgery.

In all of the operations performed with single fluoroscopy, draping was performed after checking the preoperative AP and lateral proximal femur images. In the various operative phases, the fluoroscope’s position was changed by a single ra-
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A radiology technician with 7 years of experience. All of the surgeries were performed by 2 very experienced, senior trauma surgeons (AK and ME).

**Variables**

The surgical preparation time between anesthesia induction and the incision, the surgical time between the incision and...

**Figure 1.** (a-d) To prevent the superposition of both hips, the patient is positioned on the operating table in a 70° oblique position.

**Figure 2.** (a-d) The position of the fluoroscopes and anteroposterior-lateral images taken during surgery.

**Figure 3.** (a) Preoperative radiograph of a right intertrochanteric femur fracture; (b, c) Postoperative radiographs of the patient after treatment with proximal femoral intramedullary nailing.
closure, bleeding volume, and the total radiation time during surgery were recorded for both groups. Collodiaphyseal angles were evaluated in comparison with the intact hip on a postoperative graph. The apex distance between the implant end and the femoral head and the position of the screw within the head was then compared.

Statistical Analysis
NCSS 2007 and PASS 2008 statistical software programs (NCSS LLC, Kaysville, UT, USA) were used for the statistical analyses. While evaluating the study data, in addition to the definitive statistical methods (average, SD, median, frequency, and ratio), the conformity of the variables with normal distribution was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The Student’s t-test was used for inter-group comparisons of the variables with normal distribution. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare variables that did not have normal distribution. The results were evaluated within a 95% confidence interval and at a significance level of p<0.05.

RESULTS
No complication was noted in either group. There were no significant differences between the 2 groups in terms of surgical preparation time (p>0.05). The surgical time was 15.89 minutes shorter (p<0.01) and the radiation time was 25.5 seconds shorter (p<0.01) in the double-fluoroscopy group compared with the single-fluoroscopy group. Bleeding volume, collodiaphyseal angle and type, and apex distance did not vary significantly between the groups (p>0.05) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION
As closed surgery has become more and more popular, fluoroscopy has become the third eye of orthopedic surgeons. However, due to the ionizing radiation emitted during the fluoroscopy, it may have harmful effects on the surgical team and the patient. A study of radiation-exposed and unexposed personnel found that the tumor risk in orthopedic surgeons had increased significantly after 24 years.[7] Another clinical study demonstrated that the incidence of breast cancer among female orthopedic surgeons is higher than that of the general population.[8] The radiation exposure represents a relatively small increased risk of future cancer development in the patients who undergo fluoroscopy-dependent surgeries.[9] In light of this information, the principle of as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) was developed in order to decrease radiation exposure.[10] Methods to decrease radiation exposure were discussed and collected under the title of Distance, Exposure, Barriers and Techniques/Technologies (DEBT).[11] The purpose of this study was to develop a new technique to decrease radiation exposure. Since type-A3 fractures may be accompanied by several complications during surgery, this type of fracture has not been included in the present study in order to be able to evaluate the effectiveness of double-fluoroscopy used with an oblique position. In addition, the experience of the surgeon is one of the important factors affecting radiation exposure, and senior surgeons can significantly reduce the radiation dose.[12] Thus, all of the surgeries were performed by 2 experienced, senior trauma surgeons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Comparison of fluoroscopy groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Double fluoroscopy (n=25)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical preparation time in the OR (min); mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical time (min); mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation time (sec); mean±SD (median)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding volume (mL); mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collodiaphyseal angle (degrees); mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAD (mm); mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAD &lt;25 mm; n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAD &gt;25 mm; n (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aStudent’s t-test; bMann-Whitney U test; cFisher’s Exact test. *p<0.01. OR: Operating room; TAD: Tip-apex distance; SD: Standard deviation.
were performed on traction tables, and the bleeding volume, collodiaphyseal angles and TAD distances were not evaluated. According to a similar study on traction tables, in the double fluoroscopy group, the duration of surgery was 15.9 min. shorter, and the radiation time was 25.7 seconds shorter than in the single fluoroscopy group. To the best of our knowledge, there are no other studies in the literature that evaluate the effectiveness of double fluoroscopy in IM nailing for proximal femur fractures; however, a study in which an evaluation of the effectiveness of single and double fluoroscopy during slipped capital femoral percutaneous screwing determined that the radiation time in the double fluoroscopy group decreased by 34%. A similar study reported that use of double fluoroscopy did not significantly extend the surgical preparation time and increased the accuracy of the screw position. We found that double fluoroscopy decreased the radiation exposure time by 37.4% in the oblique position. This suggests that unnecessary images taken during single fluoroscopy in order to obtain the appropriate images occurred much more frequently than previously suspected. The use of double fluoroscopy appears to minimize the number of unnecessary images and significantly decrease radiation exposure.

Shorter orthopedic surgical times provide many benefits. It has been reported that the infection risk is higher in extended surgeries. The techniques used to decrease surgical time are particularly important for major trauma centers, and adoption of these techniques can also decrease patients’ total hospitalization time as well as costs. We observed in our study that the time of operations using double fluoroscopy were shorter by 31.5% compared with single fluoroscopy. The preoperative preparation phase was longer in the double fluoroscopy group than in the single fluoroscopy group. However, the difference was not significant. There were also no significant differences between the 2 groups in the evaluation of the bleeding amount.

In the treatment of intertrochanteric femur fractures, reduction is essential for surgical stability. When we compared the operated collodiaphyseal angles with those of intact hips on postoperative graphs and evaluated the TAD and screw positions, we did not detect any significant differences between the 2 groups. We can conclude that use of double fluoroscopy did not have any positive effects on reduction and screw position.

There are certain limitations to this study. First, the number of patients was limited. Second, more powerful data could have been obtained by measuring the amount of the dose with a dosimeter (badge) as well as radiation time. However, the present study is the first study in the literature to evaluate the benefits of the double fluoroscopy technique in the oblique position. Further studies are necessary to evaluate larger patient samples and complicated fracture types.

Conclusion
The use of double fluoroscope while a patient is in the oblique position during surgical treatment of intertrochanteric femur fractures may decrease the potential complications of long surgical and anesthesia times for the patient and it may help prevent the side effects of radiation by lessening radiation exposure.
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Çift skopi kullanımı intertrokanterik femur kırıklarında oblik pozisyonda uygulanan femur intramedüller çivilemesi sırasında cerrahi süre ve skopi süresini azaltabilir mi?
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AMAC: Bu çalışmada intertrokanterik femur kırıklarında, oblik pozisyonda uygulanan intramedüller çivileme esnasında çift skopi kullanımının tek skopi kullanımına üstün olup olmadığı araştırılmıştır.


BULGULAR: Cerrahi süresi çift ve tek skopili gruplarda sırasıyla 34.48±8.92 dakika ve 50.37±16.63 dakika idi (p<0.01). Skopi kullanım süresi çift skopili grupta 42.72±16.00 iken, tek skopili grupta 68.22±21.53 idi (p<0.01). Cerrahi hazırlık süresi, kanama miktarı, kollodiafiziyel açı ve tip-apeks mesafeleri karışımlarında iki grup arasında istatistiksel fark saptanmadı (p>0.05).

TARTIŞMA: İntertrokanterik femur kırıkları cerrahi tedavisinde oblik pozisyonda çift skopi kullanılarak yapılan intramedüller çivilemede tek skopi kullanımına göre daha az radyasyon maruziyeti ile daha kısa sürelerde cerrahiyi tamamlamak mümkündür.

Anahtar sözcükler: Çift skopi kullanım; intertrokanterik femur kırığı; proksimal femur intramedüller çivileme; radyasyon zamanı.
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