Catheter ablation of accessory pathway tachycardias in three patients with Ebstein’s anomaly
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Ebstein’s anomaly (EA) is a malformation of the tricuspid valve characterized by a downward displacement of the septal and often the posterior tricuspid valve leaflets to the atrialized right ventricle. Among all congenital anomalies EA is the most related anomaly with accessory pathways. In 5%-25% of patients with EA, accessory atrioventricular pathways may present on the surface electrocardiogram. Radiofrequency catheter ablation is the first-line treatment of EA patients with supraventricular tachycardias. The presence of a dysplastic tricuspid annulus and electrically distinguishable atrioventricular activity may complicate radiofrequency catheter ablation of accessory pathway tachycardia in these patients. We present three cases of EA in which accessory pathway tachycardias were successfully ablated, with emphasis on technical difficulties in electrophysiological diagnosis and during radiofrequency ablation.
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Ebstein’s anomaly (EA) is the most related anomaly with accessory pathways among all congenital anomalies. The typical characteristic of EA is the downward displacement of the posterior tricuspid valve leaflets to the atrialized right ventricle. As a result, there is no evident tricuspid valve ring. Patients may be asymptomatic for a very long time during their life time, whereas there may also be symptomatic cases during the early stages of life from the findings of right heart failure due to tricuspid regurgitation. It may sometimes be accompanied by accessory conduction pathways with severe palpitation in the presence of other congenital anomalies (patent foramen ovale, atrial and ventricular septal de-ffects). Proximal atrioventricular tachycardia has been reported in 25-30% of patients with EA, and these supraventricular tachycardia episodes carry a risk of sudden death. The surface electrocardiogram (ECG) of these patients demonstrates a 5-25% rate of preexcitation. Radiofrequency ablation (RFA) is the first-line treatment of EA patients with supraventricular tachycardia. However, absence of an anatomic tricuspid ring and the presence of an atrioventricular border which can only be electrically distinguishable makes electrophysiology studies (EPS) very difficult in patients with accessory pathway tachycardias. In this paper, we present three cases of EA with symptomatic palpitation, who were diag-
nosed with atrioventricular reentry tachycardia (AVRT) during electrophysiology studies and whose electrophysiological diagnosis and RFA therapy were evaluated.

**CASE REPORT**

**Case 1** – A 28-year-old man presented in our clinic with complaints of recent increased palpitation. There was no related abnormality in his past and family medical history. Physical examination revealed a rhythmic heart rate and no pathologic sound. The other systemic examinations were normal. ECG showed normal sinus rhythm with right bundle branch block and right atrial hypertrophy. The P-R interval was within normal range. Echocardiography demonstrated normal left ventricular dimensions. No aortic or mitral valve pathology was observed. The right ventricular size was larger than normal (diastolic diameter of 5.1 cm) with a mild tricuspid regurgitation. The tricuspid valve leaflets were observed to be at an approximately 2.5 cm distance inferior to the level of the mitral valve, the right atrium was enlarged, and the right ventricle was observed to be atrialized. The patient was diagnosed with EA and scheduled for EPS. A narrow-QRS tachycardia with cycle length of 356 ms (approx. 168 beats/min) was triggered by a programmed stimulation from the right atrium of 430, 380, 280 ms intervals. On the other hand, the ventriculoatrial (VA) conduction interval was recorded as 202 ms. A 6F multipolar coronary sinus catheter (Bard Electrophysiology, Lowell, MA, USA) was implanted in the coronary sinus for diagnostic purposes to penetrate the accessory pathway. Evaluation of the coronary sinus during tachycardia demonstrated that recordings which access the accessory pathway were pointing to the right atrioventricular region of the heart. Right ventricular pacing and the classic entrainment and atrial advancement tests were found to be positive during tachycardia episode. As a result, the diagnosis of atrioventricular nodal reentry tachycardia (AVNRT) was eliminated. The patient was diagnosed with right-sided accessory pathway mediated atrioventricular reentry tachycardia (AVRT). The exact crossing point of the accessory pathway was accessed by implanting the ablation catheter in the right atrioventricular ring. However, the tricuspid ring was located more distally than anticipated, due to the anomaly. Consequently, some challenges were present in the identification of the accessory pathway. Records obtained from the tricuspid ring during tachycardia demonstrated split ventricular waves (Figure 1a). The ablation catheter was implanted in the right ventricular apex to penetrate the accessory pathway, and then retrieved from the right atrium. Therefore, a continuous activity focal was found at the posteroseptal region of the right ventricle indicating depolarization of the accessory pathway. A few sessions of RFA were performed at this site where the activity was observed, using a 7F ablation catheter with a 4-mm tip (Mariner RF, Medtronic, Minneapolis, USA) (Figure 1b). The tachycardia was observed to stop within seconds during ablation and disappeared with continuous activity (Figure 1c). Tachycardia was
not retriggered by the test performed after ablation. The patient revisited our clinic at 3 months following discharge, due to repeated palpitations. The condition relapsed and EPS and RFA were rescheduled. RFA was performed again during tachycardia at the site previously detected with continuous activity. The patient was discharged following absence of repeated palpitations after the procedure. No palpitation episodes were reported during the 12-month follow-up period.

**Case 2** – An 18-year-old man visited our emergency unit with complaints of palpitation. His ECG revealed right bundle branch block morphology and a wide-QRS tachycardia with a rate of 232 beats/min. The patient complained about experiencing similar palpitations for the past three months. Parenteral metoprolol and diltiazem were administered at the emergency unit to maintain sinus rhythm. The follow-up ECG demonstrated a short P-R interval, positive wave in the anterolateral leads, and a negative delta wave in the inferior leads. The transthoracic echocardiography demonstrated a tricuspid valve which was situated inferior to the level of the mitral valve, consistent with EA. A left-to-right atrial shunt was identified on color Doppler analysis. On the other hand, a patent foramen ovale was identified by transesophageal echocardiography at this site. The patient was referred to the cardiovascular surgery unit with the diagnosis of EA and patent foramen ovale. During surgery the patent foramen ovale was closed using the patching technique, right ventricular pllication was performed at the atrialized segment, and the tricuspid valve repaired. However, the accessory pathway could not be interrupted by surgery. The patient was scheduled for EPS due to persistent palpitation after surgery. Analysis of the patient’s ECG which was performed before the EPS demonstrated a right ventricular posteroseptal localization of the accessory pathway. As a result, the ablation catheter was implanted directly in the atrioventricular ring of the tricuspid valve. Following a programmed stimulation from the right atrium, a wide-QRS supraventricular tachycardia with a cycle length of 266 ms (225 beats/min) and with right bundle branch morphology was triggered. Right ventricular pacing with entrainment and atrial advancement tests were found to be positive during tachycardia. After reverting the tachycardia to sinus rhythm by overdrive pacing, a RFA was performed was performed at the point situated in the posteroseptal region and at the distal end from where continuous activity was observed, using a 7F ablation catheter with a 4-mm tip (Mariner RF). Continuous activity and the delta wave of surface ECG disappeared within a short period of time during ablation (Figure 2). A second tachycardia focus was not identified after the procedure following programmed stimulation from the atrium. Retrograde conduction from the ventricle to the atrium was observed to have disappeared. No other symptom was reported during the 13-month follow-up period.

**Case 3** – A 58-year-old man presented with complaints of dyspnea and repeated palpitation. There was no related abnormality in his past and family medical histories. His blood pressure was 135/85 mmHg and the heart rate was 84 beats/min. Cardiac auscultation revealed a rhythmugic heart rate and a 3/6 systolic murmur. A normal sinus rhythm was observed on the ECG, with a right bundle branch block and right atrial hypertrophy. The transthoracic echocardiography demonstrated the tricuspid valve situated to the right, and a dilated and partially atrialized right ventricle, consistent with EA. A moderate tricuspid regurgitation was detected, with a pulmonary artery pressure measured as 40 mmHg. An EPS was scheduled for his palpitation. A narrow-QRS tachycardia with a cycle length of 321 ms (approx. 187 beats/min) was triggered by a programmed stimulation from the right atrium of 500, 280, 280 ms intervals, and the VA conduction interval was recorded as 175 ms. A 6F multipolar coronary sinus catheter (Bard Electrophysiology) was implanted in the coronary sinus for diagnostic purposes. The closest VA interval indicating continuous activity in these recordings was found to be on the right ventricular side. The atrial advancement and ventricular entrainment tests were found to be positive during tachycardia, and a diagnosis of AVRT associated with accessory pathway was considered. A 7F ablation catheter with a 4-mm tip (Mariner RF) was implanted in the right ventricular apex and retrieved backwardly. The continuous activity focus was then investigated. Presence of continuous activity was investigated on the posterior wall since no continuous activity observed at the septal and anterolateral wall. Despite the absence
of continuous activity on the posterior wall, however, the high motility of the posterior and septal leaflets and its inferior localization prevented adequate stability of the catheter tip location. Attempts were made to bring the catheter to the highest flexion position to increase tissue contact, after which the ablation procedure was initiated (Figure 3). However, the accessory pathway did not easily burn away during the procedure and reoccurred within seconds. The accessory pathway was observed to burn away successfully after repeated ablation. No retrograde conduction was observed during the follow-up test and the tachycardia was not retriggered. The patient was reported to be asymptomatic during the 12-month follow-up period.

DISCUSSION

Ebstein’s anomaly was described by Wilhelm Ebstein in 1866, after an autopsy procedure. The septal and posterior tricuspid valve leaflets of this anomaly are more apically situated than the mitral valve leaflets. This condition causes atrialization of the right ventricle. Diagnosis can be made during echocardiographic evaluation in the apical four chamber view by directly acording the distance between the mitral and tricuspid rings or by dividing the distance between the mitral and tricuspid rings by the body surface area. These values are reported to be 20 mm or 8 mm/m² in adults. Etiologic factors include genetic, environmental and maternal use of lithium.

Atrial septal defect, ventricular septal defect, patent ductus arteriosus, bicuspid aorta, coarctation of the aorta, subaortic stenosis, pulmonary atresia, hypoplastic pulmonary artery, right ventricular outflow obstruction, mitral valve prolapse, noncompacton-like dysplastic changes in the left ventricle may be observed in patients with Ebstein’s anomaly. Clinical findings vary according to the accompanying congenital anomalies and the presence of an accessory conduction pathway. Patients may be asymptomatic; however, patients may present with symptoms such as syncope, cyanosis, paradoxical embolus, and findings of heart failure. Sudden death may also be observed. These disease states should be surgically treated.

Palpitation is a very important symptom which may be observed in these patients. All types of atrial and ventricular tachycardias may be observed. This condition may lead to decompensation and hypoxia in patients with heart failure. It may further exacerbate hypoxia, leading to sudden death in patients with atrioventricular shunts, through increasing left to right shunt by tachycardia. All of our patients presented with severe tachycardia. Patent foramen ovale was observed together with EA in our second case during echocardiographic evaluation and was surgically repaired. On the other hand, no symptoms of cardiac decompensation were reported during tachycardia.

Tachycardias of atrial and ventricular origin are usually caused by right atrial and ventricular dilatation. However, concomitant accessory conduction pathways also play a very important role. Accessory pathways or Mahaim type atriofasicular connections are encountered in at least 50% of patients with Ebstein’s anomaly. The tricuspid valve leaflet is apically situated in patients with Ebstein’s anomaly, with a disorganized integrity of fibrous skeleton at its normal location. This condition may lead to direct connection of the atrioventricular conduction system to the ventricular muscular structures, with consequent formation of a background for the development of accessory pathways and preexcitation. More than one accessory pathway may be observed in patients with Ebstein’s anomaly and these are mostly situated around the tricuspid ring. Right posteroseptal invisible accessory pathways were found in two of our cases, whereas a right posteroseptal visible accessory pathway was observed in one of our patients.

RFA is currently the first-line treatment choice in patients with AVRT. However, surgical interruption of the accessory pathway is also possible in patients scheduled for surgical repair. The success rate is reported to be high and the mortality and morbidity rate are low in patients with symptomatic reentry tachycardia who undergo surgical ablation. Elimination of the accessory pathway by surgical intervention should also be considered in patients who underwent unsuccessful catheter ablation or in cases of recurrence. However, the accessory pathway to be eliminated should be identified by EPS prior to the surgical procedure.
Consequently, the accessory pathway can also easily be treated while performing surgical repair of the tricuspid valve and surrounding structures. Repeated supraventricular episodes may be observed during the postoperative period in association with increased catecholamine levels when the accessory pathway cannot be eliminated during the surgical procedure. This is another factor which increases postoperative morbidity and mortality.

Treatment with radiofrequency ablation is frequently used in patients with concomitant EA and Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome. However, anatomic changes observed with the disease decrease the success of the procedure and increased the risk of complications. The success rate of catheter ablation for accessory pathway is reported to be approximately 80-85%. This result is relatively low compared to accessory pathway diseases with no structural heart disease background. On the other hand, the recurrence rate following ablation in patients with EA is reported to be 25%, a result similar to our first case.[16] On the other hand, the atrioventricular conduction system is situated posteriorly and very close to the entrance of the coronary sinus, in patients with primum-type ASD. As a result, care should be taken and unnecessary ablation should be avoided due to the high risk of atrioventricular block of various degrees during RFA.[16] This risk can be reduced by using cryoablation. Not even a first degree atrioventricular block was reported during RFA or later during follow-up in any of the cases presented. Dinçkal et al.[17] successfully treated a 16-year-old patient with EA associated with orthodromic and antidromic supraventricular tachycardia and right-sided postero-septal visible accessory pathway, using RFA and reported no complications.

It is not technically easy to penetrate the accessory pathway which causes tachycardia by ablation catheter in patients who undergo surgical repair and are scheduled for RFA. We observed difficulties when trying to penetrate the accessory pathway of our second patient who underwent surgical repair and concerning ablation of the region, due to the younger age of this patient, the fact that heart chambers were not yet enlarged, and also due to demonstration of a visible accessory pathway during sinus rhythm. Factors complicating catheter manipulation and reducing the success rate in patients who do not undergo surgery include absence of an anatomical tricuspid ring, dilated right heart chambers, together with a visible tricuspid regurgitation. As a result, attention should be placed more on the electrical atrioventricular ring rather than on the anatomical approach for mapping of accessory pathways. Factors which further complicate the ablation procedure include recording of multi-electrode low amplitude electrocardiography in the right atrium and atrialized ventricle, and the presence of more than one accessory pathway.[16] Under such conditions, attention should be placed on the shortest atrioventricular or ventriculoatrial conduction intervals, and on the earliest retrograde and antegrade activities, when performing RFA during rest or during tachycardia.[19] On the other hand, implantation of a multi-electrode deflectable catheter in the tricuspid ring would facilitate penetration of the accessory pathway, due to the difficulty of mapping the accessory pathway in the course of diagnosis.

On the other hand, stability of the ablation catheter would increase success rate in patients with tachycardias associated with invisible accessory pathways, since ablation would be performed during tachycardia and since treatment would involve a tricuspid ring with dilated and difficult implantable catheter, as in cases with EA. Therefore, long sheaths should be used. Success criteria for the termination of tachycardia during ablation include disappearance of continuous activity and retrograde conduction of the delta wave and intracardiac recordings on surface ECG. Repeated ablation may be necessary in patients who experience recurrence during the procedure. A long sheath was not used during the ablation procedure in our third case. As a result adequate stability of the catheter was not achieved. This led to recurrence and repeated ablations within minutes.

In conclusion, some patients with EA may experience life possible threatening supraventricular tachycardia episodes associated with accessory conduction pathways. Diagnosis is facilitated by echocardiography and electrocardiography in such cases. Despite difficulties in identifying localization of the accessory pathway associated with displacement of the right atrium, right ventricle and tricuspid ring during electrophysiology studies, successful ablation may be possible by looking patiently for the accessory pathway and attaining a stable catheter position at the continuous activity focus.
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