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ABSTRACT

In a move to speed up the development of new medicines, the FDA announced in January 2006 the creation of the exploratory Investigational New Drug (IND), the so-called Phase ‘0’ clinical trials. This guidance is intended to clarify what preclinical and clinical approaches, as well as chemistry, manufacturing, and controls information, should be considered when planning exploratory studies in humans, including studies of closely related drugs or therapeutic biological products, under an investigational new drug (IND) application (21 CFR 312). Existing regulations allow a great deal of flexibility in the amount of data that needs to be submitted with an IND application, depending on the goals of the proposed investigation, the specific human testing proposed, and the expected risks. The Agency believes that sponsors have not taken full advantage of that flexibility and often provide more supporting information in INDs than is required by regulations. This guidance is intended to clarify what manufacturing controls, preclinical testing, and clinical approaches can be considered when planning limited, early exploratory IND studies in humans.
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INTRODUCTION

The phase ‘0’ clinical trials have tremendous scope in near future. It is also called exploratory investigational new drug or Micro dosing method. The purposes of this guidance the phase exploratory IND study is intended to describe a clinical trial that

- Is conducted early in phase 1,
- Involves very limited human exposure, and
- Has no therapeutic or diagnostic intent (e.g., screening studies, micro-dose studies)

Such exploratory IND studies are conducted prior to the traditional dose escalation, safety, and tolerance studies that ordinarily initiate a clinical drug development program. The duration of dosing in an exploratory IND study is expected to be limited (e.g., 7 days). This guidance applies to early phase 1 clinical study of investigational new drug and biological products that assess feasibility for further development of the drug or biological product.

The major objectives of phase ‘0’ trials is to interrogate and refine a target or biomarker assay for drug effect in human samples implementing procedures developed and validated in preclinical models. Data cleaned from a Phase ‘0’ trial are beneficial not only in prioritizing promising compounds but also in allowing the modification of phase-I study design before initiation. Phase ‘0’ trials provide an opportunity to generate essential human pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics data earlier in the drug development process. With the help of Phase ‘0’ clinical trial only most promising compounds get into subsequent study, due to which sponsors reduces the excessive cost, time and human volunteers.

BACKGROUND

In its March 2004 Critical Path Report, the Agency explained that to reduce the time and resources expended on candidate products that are unlikely to succeed, new tools are needed to distinguish earlier in the process those candidates that hold promise from those that do not.

Traditional Phase 1 Approach

Typically, during pharmaceutical development, large numbers of molecules are generated with the goal of identifying the most promising candidates for further development. These molecules are generally structurally related, but can differ in important ways. Promising candidates are often selected using in vitro testing models that examine binding to receptors, effects on enzyme activities, toxic effects, or other in vitro pharmacological parameters.

These tests usually require only small amounts of the drug. The candidates that are not rejected during these early tests are prepared in greater quantities for in vivo animal testing for efficacy and safety. Commonly, a single candidate is selected for an IND application and
introduction into human subjects, initially healthy volunteers in most cases. If this phase 1 get passed then drug goes towards phase 2 and then phase 3 but drug get eliminated then again take its analogue or other drug and again repeat this procedures. Upto 250 drug candidates involved in preclinical study from that only 1-2 drug molecules get into market. Upto 67% fail in phase 1, 45% in phase 2 and 8% in phase 3 this percentage are on the basis of those drugs get passed from animal models and evaluated in the clinical phases (USFDA, CDER, 2006).

Exploratory IND Approach

Exploratory IND studies can help to identify important essential promising drugs for further development and if not can eliminate such type of drug candidate. As a result, exploratory IND studies may help to reduce the number of human subjects and resources, including the amount of candidate product and need to identify important promising candidate. The clinical trials studies involve dosing a very small and limited number of subjects (patients) with a very small and limited range of doses for a specific period of time.

NEED OF PHASE ‘0’ CLINICAL TRIALS

Antony et al., and Kummar et al., in this research paper discussed the need and use of phase ‘0’ clinical trials as follows.

- For getting PK and PD data early in phase I, this would be helpful in further assessment or for evaluation of compound.
- Time period can be reduced by examining only capable drug candidate for further study
- Costs of New Drug Development process get reduced, by studying only most promising compound in further study
- % drug into market get increased, because unwanted compounds get eliminated in the phase ‘0’ clinical trials.

CRITERIA FOR PHASE ‘0’ CLINICAL TRIALS

Antony et al., Kummar et al., and James et al., have explained the criteria for phase ‘0’ clinical trials.

- Before phase I study,
- Fill the exploratory IND application,
- Volunteers are healthy human or patient,
- Less than 15 volunteers,
- Duration 7 days,
- Dosing 1/100th or 100 μg or 30 nanomole
Only one dosing cycle for study,
- In anti-cancer IND pre and post tumor biopsies done if required.

**MICRO-DOSING APPROACH IN PHASE ‘0’ CLINICAL TRIALS**

Micro-dosing approach is adopted for evaluating Pharmacodynamics (Mode of Action) and Pharmacokinetics (volume of distribution, binding and clearance) in the human being for predicting or selecting the most promising drug candidate or its analogue for further study. Due to it unnecessary drug candidate separated from phase I or from further study and investigator save unnecessary wastage of money, time and risk concerned with human volunteers. By using only a very tiny amount of active substance, one can establish the more appropriate pharmacological dose and with this estimate the first dose for phase I clinical trials study. With addition, micro-dosing can select the better animal species and model for toxicological studies mainly for long term studies from micro-dose metabolite profiling data. Ultrasensitive methods are required for evaluation of very small amount of drug substance in the human body. Concentration $10^{-12}$ to $10^{-15}$ gm should be the range of method which should be employed for evaluation of micro-dose. There are two methods which having such abilities of evaluation of micro-dose. These techniques rely on radioisotopes incorporation.\[12,13]\n
Two methods used and this technique was explained by Hung et al.,[9] and Rani et al.,[14]

1) **AMS (Accelerator Mass Spectrometry)**
   
   This technique is used for evaluation of pharmacokinetic data mainly. In this technique radioisotope C\(^{14}\) is used for the evaluation of drug or micro-dose. The half-life of this radioisotope is 5,740 years.

2) **PET (Positron Emission Tomography)**
   
   This is mainly used for evaluation of pharmacodynamics data. For evaluation of pharmacodynamics data C\(^{11}\) radioisotope is used which having 20 minute half-life.

**PHASE ‘0’ TRIALS IN ONCOLOGY STUDY**

Kummar et al., had conducted the first phase ‘0’ clinical trial for cancer study with sub therapeutic and therapeutic drug candidate under the IND guidance of the United State Food and Drug Administration (USFDA). It was first time in human (First-in-Human) study of the poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor code name given (ABT-888) in volunteers with advanced malignant cancer. Promising candidate ABT-888 was administered as a single oral dose of 10, 25 or 50 mg to identity and determines the dosage regimen with duration of time for
which drug candidate (ABT-888) inhibits PARP activity in tumour samples and peripheral blood cells as well as estimate candidate (ABT-888) pharmacokinetics profile. Blood samples and tumour biopsies were obtained before and after drug administration for evaluation of PARP activity and pharmacokinetics. A novel statistical approach was developed and utilized to study pharmacodynamics modulation as the primary end point for trials of limited sample size.\textsuperscript{[5,7,11]}

Thirteen patients with advanced malignancies received the study drug; nine patients undergone paired tumour biopsies. ABT-888 demonstrated good oral bioavailability and was well tolerated. Statistically significant inhibition result was observed in tumour biopsies and peripheral blood cells at their respective dose level particularly at 25 and 50 mg dose levels. They obtained very important biochemical and pharmacokinetic data within 5 months of study that have guided the design of phase I trials of candidate ABT-888 in combination with DNA damaging agents. In addition to accelerating the development of ABT-888, the immediate finding of this trial explained the feasibility of conducting Phase ‘0’ trials as part of an alternative program for early drug development in cancer study.\textsuperscript{[19]}

**ROLE OF FDA IN PHASE ‘0’ TRIALS**

Larusso et al., Vijayraghavan et al., and Singh et al., were explained the role of phase ‘0’ trials in FDA.

According to the FDA a Phase ‘0’ is designed to carry out before in phase I, it has very limited human exposure receiving only sub-therapeutic dose and this means the volunteer produces a response (Pharmacological Action) than the toxic effect with less risk compared to conventional clinical trials in phase I in which administration continues if clinical benefit which means even Phase ‘0’ trials don’t have any therapeutic intention. With the Ultra-sensitive AMS (accelerator mass spectrometry) it was possible to carry out clinical trials in human using small dose to obtained pharmacokinetic data.\textsuperscript{[16-18]}

**LIMITATION FOR MICRO-DOSING TECHNIQUES**

Rani et al., Herman et al., and Bertino et al., had discussed the limitation for micro dosing techniques as fallows.\textsuperscript{[14,19,20]}

- It is new technique hence database is small.
- Micro-dose is sophisticated for the evaluation.
- PET has short half-life i.e. 20 min so on time testing is required.
- Both assay i.e. PET and AMS have limited specificity so test results are also having some time trouble due to this limited specificity.
- Micro-dose may not predict the behaviour of therapeutic or clinical dose.
**DIFFERENCE IN BETWEEN PHASE ‘0’ AND PHASE ‘I’ IN ONCOLOGY STUDY**

James et al.,[10] Antony et al.,[11] Murgo AJ et al.,[21], Takimoto CH.,[22] and Kummar S et al.,[23] have explained the differences of phase trials described in Table 1 for oncology study.

Table 1: Difference in between phase ‘0’ and phase I clinical trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHASE ‘0’</th>
<th>VARIABLE</th>
<th>PHASE I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less required</td>
<td>Preclinical toxicological study</td>
<td>Full IND required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target/biomarker analytical assays validated in preclinical models</td>
<td>Pre-clinical target/biomarker validation studies</td>
<td>Not consistently performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish a dose-range</td>
<td>Primary objective</td>
<td>Establish dose limiting toxicities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited dosing (e.g., 1-7 days) one cycle only</td>
<td>Limited dosing (e.g., 1-7 days)</td>
<td>Repetitive; multiple cycles until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Evaluation for therapeutic benefit</td>
<td>Tumor response routinely evaluated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required (pre- and post) to evaluate drug effect</td>
<td>Tumor Biopsies</td>
<td>Almost always optional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated into the trial to establish MOA and target/biomarker analytical assay validation in subject tissue samples</td>
<td>PD/target effect assays</td>
<td>Not consistently performed; commonly use assay methods that are not validated or standardized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOP’s validated first in vivo preclinical models and applied to Phase ‘0’ human samples</td>
<td>SOP’s for tissue acquisition, handling, and processing</td>
<td>Generally not validated or standardized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performed in “real-time”</td>
<td>PK/PD analysis</td>
<td>Samples usually batched and analyzed at a later time point, generally after completion of the trial.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IND:** Investigational New Drug; **MoA:** Mode of Action; **PD:** Pharmacodynamics; **SOP:** Standard Operating Procedure; **PK:** Pharmacokinetics
GOALS OF PHASE ‘0’ CLINICAL TRIALS
Antony et al.,[10], Lorusso et al.,[16] and Lappin et al.,[24] had discussed the goals of phase ‘0’ clinical trials as follows.

- Define the MOA in non-clinical models achieved in human,
- Define a biomarker assay by using human tumor tissue,
- Develop the novel imaging probe for evaluation of binding characteristics, bio-distribution and target effect,
- Evaluate PK and PD of drug and its analogue for selecting most promising candidate,
- Provide PK and PD data for further study i.e. phase I and further.

ADVANTAGES
Phase ‘0’ clinical trials have several advantages before starting other phases of clinical trials. Vijayraghavan et al., and Lappin et al., explained these advances as follows.[17, 24]

- The time periods get reduced with the help of earlier testing of pharmacological action of new test candidate.
- It helps to select desirable promising targeting compounds for further extensive study before the conventional phase-1 trials.
- They help in overall acceleration in the process of drug development by focusing only the promising compounds.
- They avoid unnecessary exposure of the participants in the trial to the not so promising compounds.
- They possess less risk of human toxicity with low dose of the test candidate and less time duration for exposure. Moreover, a very limited number of subjects are involved. Also, such trials mostly involve a single dose administration as compared to a dose escalation study in the traditional phase-1 trials, thereby further minimizing the risk.
- Pre-clinical safe site compared to conventional phase-1 clinical trials.
- Less number of animals is used.
- Overall time of drug development is reduced.
- This methodology helps in early selection of the smarter and more promising lead molecules hence, the more effective drugs reach to the market earlier.
- Small quantity of the test drug is required.
- All test drugs prepared according to principles and procedure of Good Laboratory Practices (GLP) as well as Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) for conventional phase 1 clinical trials.
Any route of administration is possible.
The drug can be studied in sensitive patients like renal impairment, women in their reproductive age, cancer patients etc.
This approach can help in studying the test drug for its modulator effects on the targets in a tumour.
This approach is useful in the discovery of endogenous biomarkers for evaluating the quantitative effects of the test drug.
The not so promising molecules can be eliminated earlier, thereby saving costs.
They are helpful in obtaining the nearby therapeutic dose so determining the first dose for the further phase 1 study.
The PK data can be obtained in only near about six months as compared to nearly 18 months in case of conventional phase-1 studies,
They may help in selecting the best animal species for the long term toxicological studies based on the inference drawn from the micro-dose metabolite profiling data.

DISADVANTAGES
Vijayraghavan et al., and Eliopoulos et al., had explained disadvantages of clinical trials phase ‘0’ as given below.\cite{17, 25}
There is lack of any therapeutic as well as diagnostic intention.
It may be difficult to motivate the volunteers to become a part of the trial because no therapeutic intent,
Participation in the phase ‘0’ trials may reduce the overall load of the subjects who become a part of the conventional phase-1 trials having therapeutic intention.
Very few validated biomarkers are available for predicting the anti-cancer activity.
There is requirement of ultra-sensitive and high tech equipment’s like AMS and PET which are scarcely available,
Since the technique of micro-dosing is still in its infancy, before applying this methodology precaution needs to be exercised to the drugs showing complex/non-linear kinetics,
Since certain drugs dissolve readily at low dose but exhibit limited solubility at higher doses, it may be difficult to predict the absorption characteristics at the micro-dose levels.
Phase 1 still needs to be done hence phase 0 unnecessarily prolongs the process and inflates the expenditure.
CONTENT OF EXPLORATORY INVESTIGATIONAL NEW DRUG SUBMISSIONS

USFDA in 2006 explained and discussed the different documents for the submission for clinical trials phase ‘0’. Some of the important documents list as fallows.\cite{26,27}

1) Clinical Information
   - Introductory statement and general investigational plan
   - Types of studies

2) Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Information
   - General information for the candidate product
   - Analytical characterization of candidate product

3) Safety Program Designs
   - Clinical studies of pharmacokinetics or imaging
   - Pharmacological proper dosing needed for clinical trials
   - Clinical studies of MOAs related to efficacy

4) GLP Compliance

VOLUNTEERS RECRUITMENT IN PHASE ‘0’ CLINICAL TRIALS

Volunteer’s recruitment is important part in all phases of clinical trials. Antony et al., Joel et al., and Fabio et al., had explained the recruitment of volunteers in phase ‘0’ clinical trials.\cite{28,29}

Potential barriers to patient enrollment In Phase ‘0’ clinical trials because
   - No therapeutic intent or chance of benefit,
   - Tissue biopsies before and after treatment
   - Other clinical trials or therapies deletion and delay study

But plus points are:
   - Low risk,
   - Avoid biopsies if possible,
   - Washout period is shorter.
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The FDA has undertaken and initiated various approaches to reduce time, money and other factors in early drug development on such products that may be successful in near future. The detail guidance issued by FDA entitled “Exploratory IND Studies” described exploratory approaches exist in USA that are consistent with regulatory requirements currently but that will enable sponsors to move ahead more efficiently for successful product development of initial promising future candidate with needed human subject protections.

The preclinical testing programs for Phase ‘0’ or exploratory IND studies may be less extensive compare to conventional IND studies. This is because for the approaches described under this guidance, which maintain the administration of doses of promising candidate with minimum risk to subject compare to conventional phase 1 clinical trials. In near future the micro-dose study will place a remarkable event in drug development for all first in human studies in clinical trials. It is ethical to expose human subjects unnecessarily to a pharmacological dose of potential drug that has poor PK/PD properties, whose development is terminated as a result, when the same information could have been obtained in a micro-dose study. The micro-dose approach used in the exploratory IND trial will make a contribution to smarter drug development by enabling early human data to be obtained. Drug selection as a result will become more human based and therefore more predictive.

Phase ‘0’ clinical trials are advantageous for the investigation sponsor, volunteers and public. Due to phase ‘0’ clinical trials increased the chances of investigational drug into the market and reduce the unnecessary time, cost and volunteers in new drug development process by eliminating the unnecessary drug candidate prior to the phase I.
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