Endodontic management of a maxillary second molar with five root canals: a case report

Gülşah Uslu,1 Taha Özyürek,2 Koray Yılmaz3

1Private Practice, Çanakkale, Turkey
2Department of Endodontics, Ondokuz Mayis University Faculty of Dentistry, Samsun, Turkey
3Oral and Health Center, Çorum, Turkey

A maxillary second molar tooth with two palatal roots is an uncommon anomaly. In this case report, we describe non-surgical endodontic treatment of a maxillary second molar with two palatal roots. The second palatal root was discovered during preparation of the endodontic access cavity. Biomechanical preparation of all root canals was performed using nickel–titanium (NiTi) rotary instruments. Root canal obturation was performed with a root canal sealer and gutta-percha cones using the lateral compaction technique. The tooth was then restored with composite resin. It may be difficult to detect extra roots in the maxillary posterior region on radiographs because of superposition that occurs on radiography. A careful examination of the pulp chamber can aid in detecting extra canals that are not radiographically visible.
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The success of endodontic treatment depends on filling the root canal system after careful cleaning. To minimize the risk of failure arising from insufficient cleaning and filling of the root canal, a complete picture of the anatomy of the root canal system of the tooth to be treated is needed.1

In terms of anatomy, maxillary second molars are generally similar to maxillary first molars. A distinguishing characteristic of maxillary second molars is convergence of three roots, with complete unification of these roots in some cases. In addition, maxillary second molars have shorter and curved roots than maxillary first molars. Maxillary second molars generally have just a single canal in each root.2 Compared with maxillary first molars, they are less likely to have a secondary canal in the mesiobuccal root. According to previous studies, the incidence of a second mesiobuccal canal in maxillary second molars is 24.3%,3 whereas that of maxillary second molars with four roots is 0.4%.4 Another study reported an incidence of the aforementioned variation of approximately 1.4%.5 Although it is easy to clinically detect these two palatal canals that have significantly low incidences, they may be undetected during root canal treatment because of superposition that occurs on radiography. Thus, it is important to check for the presence of extra palatal roots and canals during root canal treatment of maxillary second molars. In this case report, we describe endodontic treatment of a maxillary second molar with five canals, two of which were palatal.
Case report

A 31-year-old female presented to our clinic with a complaint of constant pain in the left maxillary region. A detailed medical history revealed no systemic disease. On radiological and clinical examinations, a deep caries cavity was observed mesial to the second maxillary molar. On radiographic imaging, the periapical tissues appeared healthy (Fig. 1). On an electrical vitality test, the relevant tooth positively responded compared with a control tooth. Based on results of clinical tests and radiographic evaluations, the patient was diagnosed with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis.

Local anesthesia of the second maxillary molar was induced via the infiltration method using 2 ml of articaine solution containing 1/100000 epinephrine (Ultracain DS Forte; Aventis, Turkey). After ensuring sufficient depth of the local anesthetic, the relevant tooth was isolated using a rubber dam. Following cleaning of the carious lesion, an endodontic access cavity was prepared. An examination of the pulpal chamber showed that the palatal canal orifice was located too distal and that the palatal segment of the pulpal chamber was enlarged toward the mesial, indicating the potential presence of a secondary palatal canal. After fixing the palatal canal orifice, the shape of the access cavity was modified to a rectangular form rather than the conventional triangular form to ensure smoother access to all the canals (Fig. 2). A secondary mesiobuccal canal was found in the palatal of the mesiobuccal canal. In total, five canals were detected.

A glide path was prepared using a #10 K-type file, and canal lengths were determined using an electronic apex finder (Root ZX mini; J. Morita Corp., Kyoto, Japan). Root canals were prepared using Mtwo (VDW, Munich, Germany) NiTi rotary files (up to 30/.05 in buccal root canals and 40/.04 in palatal root canals). The canals were irrigated after each change of file, with 1 ml of 5.25% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) solution applied to each canal. Before commencing root canal filling procedures, gutta-percha cones compatible with the final rotary files were placed in the canals. Radiographic imaging was then performed for checking dimensions of the shape (Fig. 3).

After confirming locations of gutta-percha cones on the apex, each canal was irrigated using 2 ml of 17% EDTA (Werax, Izmir, Turkey) for 2 min and 2 ml of 5.25% NaOCl solution to remove the smear layer. After drying the canals with sterile paper cones, 2Seal (VDW, Munich, Germany) canal filling paste and gutta-percha

Fig. 1. Preoperative radiographic image of the maxillary second molar tooth.

Fig. 2. Intra-oral image of five canal orifices of the maxillary second molar tooth (M-B: mesiobuccal; D-B: distobuccal; M-P: mesiopalatal; and D-P: distopalatal).

Fig. 3. Radiograph with five master cones.
cones (VDW) were used for filling the root canals using the lateral compaction method. Restoration of the relevant tooth was performed using X-tra base (Voco GmbH, Cuxhaven, Germany) bulk-fill and Gradia Posterior (GC) composite resins (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

A secondary mesiobuccal canal is the most common extra canal observed in maxillary molars. Pécora et al.\(^6\) reported an incidence of 42% secondary mesiobuccal canals in decalcified maxillary second molars. Lee et al.\(^7\) confirmed this finding in a cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) study. However, it is difficult to detect secondary mesiobuccal canals during routine root canal treatment.\(^3\)

The presence of a secondary palatal canal (i.e., an extra canal) in maxillary molars is very rare, particularly in maxillary second molars.\(^8\) Retrospective studies failed to detect any maxillary second molars with two palatal roots.\(^9-11\) Christie et al.\(^12\) reported that the incidence of maxillary molars with two palatal roots or canals in clinical practice was very low, with such variation observed only once every 3 years. The aim of the present case report was to add to the literature by describing endodontic treatment of a maxillary second molar with five canals, two of which were palatal canals.

It is difficult to detect unusual canal anatomies in maxillary teeth because of their posterior location. On radiography, the detection of a second palatal canal is hampered by superposition of anatomic structures in the region.\(^13\) Knowledge of anatomical variations and abnormalities frequently observed in this region together with radiographs taken from different angles and a CBCT study can aid detection.\(^14\) In cases where radiography fails to determine the presence of extra canals, a careful analysis of developmental grooves in the pulpal chamber base by the dentist can aid detection of such canals.\(^15\) Vertucci\(^16\) recommended evaluating the base and walls of the pulpal chamber when the number of existing root canals could not be accurately determined.

The typical anatomy of cases with four canals is based on a well-established orifice of an extra mesiolingual canal on a groove. In such cases, the distopalatal canal is also well developed and wide, and it is located more distal compared with teeth with just a single palatal canal.\(^12\) In the present case, as the distopalatal canal orifice was located more distal in comparison with the orifice of the mesiobuccal canal, a secondary palatal canal was suspected. The orifice of the second palatal canal was detected by enlarging the access cavity. In the present case, as the orifice of the secondary canal was wide and well developed, the treatment procedure was the same as that for a maxillary second molar with a single palatal canal. According to a previous study, the prognosis of teeth with two palatal canals was similar to that of a maxillary molar with a single canal.\(^17\)

Peikoff et al.\(^5\) classified root canal anatomies of 520 treated maxillary second molars into six groups: three separate roots and three separate canals (class 1); three separate roots and four separate canals (two in the mesiobuccal root) (class 2); three roots and canals, with a separate root at the junction of mesiobuccal and distobuccal canals (class 3); two separate roots, with a single canal in each (class 4); a single main root and canal (class 5); and four separate roots and four canals, two of which were palatal canals (class 6). In the present case, the maxillary second molar had five canals: two mesiobuccal, one distobuccal, and two palatal. Considering the morphological root canal system classification by Peikoff et al.,\(^5\) the present case seems to be a combination of classes 4 and 6. Prashanth et al.\(^18\) employed a classification system that was similar to that by Peikoff et al.\(^5\)

**Conclusion**

All clinicians should be aware of the diversity of canal morphologies and anatomies. In addition, they should consider the possibility of two palatal canals, particularly in cases of second molars and perform radiography for aiding detection of extra canals. When extra canals in teeth cannot be distinguished using conventional radiography, a careful examination of the access cavity and developmental grooves can aid detection of such canals.
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