Clinical study on the variability in the distance between apical constriction determined by an apex locator and the radiographic apex
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Objective: To determine the variability in the distance between apical constriction determined by an apex locator and the radiographic apex on digital radiographs in a Moroccan population.

Methods: Once the apex locator indicated the position of the apical constriction marked by 0.5, an existing lime X-ray was taken and measurements were made using the Kodak Dental Imaging Software 6.10.8.3.

Results: The average distance between the tip of the instrument and the radiographic apex (DTIRA) was 0.792 mm + 0.61. The position of the apical constriction at 0.5 mm of the radiographic apex is in only 21% of selected teeth. Ninety eight out of 100 teeth had a DRLAAR of <2 mm. Considering these 98 teeth, 40.8% of them had a DTIRA in the range of 0–0.5 mm, 38.8% in the range of 0.5–1 mm, and 20.4% had DTIRA of >1 mm.

Conclusion: There is a great variability in the distance between the apical constriction determined by an apex locator and the radiographic apex on digital radiographs in this population. Indeed, the subtraction of 0.5 mm from the latter, as recommended by several authors, is in only 21% of selected teeth.
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canal length due to the extreme variability of apical curvatures throughout the entire surface and the accumulation of apical cementum.

Currently, there are electronic methods that provide more details on the position of endodontic apical stops.[4]

The aim of this study was to determine the variability in the distance between apical constriction determined by an apex locator and the radiographic apex on digital radiographs using the Kodak Dental Imaging Software® (Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY, USA) in a Moroccan population.

Materials and methods
This is a cross-sectional descriptive study conducted at a dental center in Agadir, Morocco. A sample size of 100 was calculated with the Schwartz formula.

Inclusion criteria
The inclusion criteria of this study were as follows:
- patient age of 16–65 years
- consent to participate in the study
The chosen tooth had to meet the following criteria:
- normal root morphology on X-ray
- no root resorption or canal calcification
- monoradicular with vital pulp
The following exclusion criteria were used in this study:
- non-consent to participate in the study
- presence of significant root resorption
- canal calcification
- radicular curvature preventing exploration of the apical third.

All patients signed informed consent after receiving detailed explanations of the course of the study. The Commission for Medical Ethics approved the study, and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki were respected.

Protocol
Anesthesia was induced around the affected tooth after clinical examination. A rubber dam isolation was performed, and the access cavity was prepared before the canal was flushed with a 2.5% sodium hypochlorite solution. An apex locator Morita Root ZX (J Morita, Tokyo, Japan) was used. The apex locator’s labial electrode was placed at the level of the tooth to be treated in the opposite sector, and any contact with metal (cast crown, amalgam, metallic PAP, etc.) was avoided. A file with a diameter of 10, 15, or 20 (depending on the canal width) was connected to the instrumental electrode and inserted into the root canal. The instrument’s screen indicated the progress with blue lines at the beginning and then green lines, which were accompanied by a long beep at the approach of the apex corresponding to the interval [-1 mm; “APEX”]. Once the apex locator indicated the position of the apical constriction marked by 0.5, the file was held in this position, and the stop was adjusted to an occlusal mark. The electrode was disconnected from the pin and removed from the mouth along with the lip electrode. An X-ray was taken using an Endo Ray angulator (Rinn/Dentsply, Maillefer, Tulsa, OK, USA), which consists of a digital sensor and the X-ray source. The image was archived in a computer before analysis.

Judgment criterion
The Kodak Dental Imaging Software 6.10.8.3 was used to measure the distance between the instrument tip and the radiographic apex (Fig. 1). Measurements were made by clicking on the Measurement tool at the top left of the Kodak Dental Imaging Software 6.10.8.3 toolbar. The cursor was then placed at the end of the pin visible on the X-ray, which is connected to the radiographic apex. The measurement was displayed at the middle bottom of the screen. All measurements were made on a 1/1 scale.
Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software (version 11.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and the statistical significance level was set at \( p \leq 0.05 \). Qualitative variables were described by their number and frequency, and quantitative variables were described by their mean and standard deviation.

The measurement reliability was tested by the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) calculation to verify the consistency of the two assessments performed with the same X-ray image. The ICC was calculated using the absolute approval and the basis of definition is equal to 0.872 with a confidence interval of 0.691–0.950 \( (p=0.001) \).

Results
One hundred teeth were obtained from 66 patients (45 females and 21 males) in this study. Data indicated that 68.18% of the participants were female, and their average age was 35.35±9.89 years. The average age of the male subjects was 35.28±12.64 years. The male:female ratio was 0.466. There was no significant difference between the number of males and females \( (p=0.946) \) (Table 1).

The maxillary anterior teeth were the most representative in this study, accounting for 87.8% of all teeth studied. The average distance between the tip of the instrument (identified by the apex locator as the apical constriction) and the radiographic apex (DTIRA) was 0.792±0.61 mm (maximum: 4.4 mm, minimum 0.0 mm) (Table 2). The patients were divided into two age groups. The first group included patients aged 16–35 years and with an average DTIRA of 0.776±0.57 mm. The second group included patients aged 36–59 years and an average DTIRA of 0.808±0.653 mm. There was a statistically significant difference in values between these two age groups \( (p=0.001) \).

In this study, the average DTIRA of the maxillary teeth was 0.797±0.671 mm (minimum: 0 mm, maximum: 4.4 mm). The average DTIRA of the mandibular teeth was 0.775±0.374 mm (minimum: 0.1 mm, maximum: 1.6 mm). No statistically significant difference was noted \( (p=0.948) \).

The results of this study showed the position of the apical constriction at 0.5 mm of the radiographic apex in only 21% of selected teeth. Ninety eight of the 100 teeth had a DTIRA of <2 mm. The results showed that 40.8% of the 98 evaluated teeth had a DTIRA of 0–0.5 mm, 38.8% had a DTIRA of 0.5–1 mm, and 20.4% had a DTIRA greater than 1 mm.

Discussion
This work has several limitations. The study was performed on a sample consisting exclusively of monoradicular teeth. The molars were excluded from this work because their canal anatomy is often more complex.
An additional limitation is that these results were not confirmed by a histological study.

The choice of the apical stop is one of the most important decisions and is the most controversial step in endodontics treatment. The concept of confining the canal space preparation without instrumental overtaking is unanimous. Conversely, opinions diverge on the appropriate stop location.\(^5\)

The apex locator was developed in 1962 by Sunada\(^6\) and Stavrianos and al.\(^7\) Previous studies have shown that the latest electronic apex locator is reliable in determining the length of the endodontic work in 75%–96.5% of teeth with mature apexes.

In this study, the third-generation Morita Root ZX apex electronic locator was chosen due to its high reliability proven by numerous publications. The reported accuracy rate is 85%–95%, even with electrolytes.\(^8\)–\(^12\) The 1996 study by Shabahang et al.\(^13\) reports a reliability rate of up to 96.5%.

The average DTIRA found in this study was 0.792 mm ± 0.61 (minimum: 0 mm, maximum: 4.4 mm). This maximum corresponded with a woman aged 51 years and for whom it was impossible to go beyond this measure. The average DTIRA found in this study was in the range of 0–2 mm and is consistent with the results that Ng et al.\(^14\) reported in 1990 for any endodontic treatment success. However, we obtained an average DTIRA of >0.5 mm, which is the recommended constant in the radiological determination formula for the working length = (LR ± x) – 0.5, according to Kuttler’s work.\(^1\)

The data cross-checked by Kuttler\(^1\) suggested the apical constriction at 0.5–0.6 mm from the apical foramen on average. Several clinicians then suggested working at 0.5 mm from the apex.

The average found in this study was outside the range of success [1–2 mm] for endodontic treatment, as recommended by Gordon and Shandler’s and Trope and Debelian’s 2004 and 2005 studies.\(^15\)–\(^16\) According to Trope and Debelian,\(^16\) the highest success rates following endodontic treatments are obtained from vital teeth with apical stops 1–2 mm from the radiographic apex. Due to root resorption, when the apical stop is beveled the shortest wall is considered.\(^15\) Our study focused only on live teeth because apical periodontitis is associated with resorption of alveolar bone, cementum, and intraductal dentin. The cementodentinal junction and apical constriction may be affected by resorption.\(^9\)

The results herein also showed that the average DTIRA of patients aged 36–59 years is significantly higher than that of younger patients (p=0.001). This difference is due to the increase in cementum thickness with age and the removal of the cementodentinal junction and the apical constriction of the anatomical and radiographic apex.\(^1\)

This study showed an apical constriction position at 0.5 mm of the radiographic apex in 21% of cases and in patients <40 years old. During his microscopic investigations on apexes, Kuttler\(^4\) found a relationship between the foramen and the vertex in 32% of younger subjects and 20% of elderly subjects. A more recent study by Ponce et al.\(^17\) on the optical microscopic assessment of the apical zone showed that the position of the apical foramen was at the anatomical apex in 27.7% of cases for patients <42 years old. However, the distance between the apical foramen and apical constriction was 0.5 mm in younger subjects according to Hülsmann and Schäffer.\(^18\) The results established by Kuttler\(^4\) in 1955 and by Ponce et al.\(^17\) in 2003 may apply to the apical constriction and thus be comparable to those of this study, which are lower.

In other words, Kuttler’s, Harrán Ponce’s,\(^17\) and our study showed that subtraction of 0.5 mm from the radiographic apexes recommended by several authors to determine the working length is possible only in 32%, 27.7%, and 21% of cases, respectively.

This work showed that the electronic method currently remains the most reliable means of determination of working length. However, the joint use of radiography with a file and the apex locator reduces the risk of error.

**Conclusion**

Our data showed that there is substantial variability in the distance between the apical constriction determined by an apex locator and the radiographic apex on digital radiographs using the Kodak Dental Imaging Software® in this Moroccan population. The subtraction of 0.5 mm from the value recommended by several authors is only possible in 21% of selected teeth. However, it is necessary to reproduce this study in other populations with other apex locators and conduct a histological cross-check to confirm these results.
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