

Better Physiology does not Necessarily Translate Into Improved Clinical Outcome

Paolo Pelosi¹, Lorenzo Ball¹, Marcelo Gama de Abreu², Patricia R. M. Rocco³

¹Department of Surgical Sciences and Integrated Diagnostics, University of Genoa, IRCCS San Martino – IST, Genoa, Italy

²Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Therapy, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany

³Laboratory of Pulmonary Investigation, Carlos Chagas Filho Institute of Biophysics, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

We read with interest the contribution from Prof. Hedenstierna stating that optimum positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) in patients undergoing general anesthesia and in critically ill patients in intensive care is a compromise, but it is better than nothing. We will focus on the application of PEEP during surgery, and its possible effects to improve clinical outcome in the postoperative period.

Certainly, we agree that anesthesia associated with paralysis induces reduction in lung volumes, closure of the peripheral airways and atelectasis formation. These changes may result in alterations in gas-exchange and mechanical properties of the respiratory system (1). Theoretical calculations suggested the development of high pressures around lung areas with uneven aeration (2). Experimental studies in healthy (3, 4) and diseased (5) lungs showed that inspiratory pressure, i.e. stress, and repetitive opening and closing of alveolar and peripheral airways may promote damage to the extracellular matrix (6) and the activation of inflammatory process. Other studies using imaging techniques showed that application of higher levels of PEEP reduce the opening and closing of atelectatic areas in both healthy (7-9) and diseased (10) lungs. Based on these theoretical and experimental physiological data, Prof. Hedenstierna suggests that closed airways and collapsed alveoli should be reopened and kept open during the anesthesia, thus minimizing ventilator induced lung injury. However, other experimental studies showed that the inflammatory response of atelectatic areas are negligible if they do not undergo repetitive opening and closure, i.e. atelectrauma (11). Furthermore, once atelectrauma occurs, the associated inflammatory response is comparatively lower than with volutrauma (12), if present at all (13).

Conclusion 1: *ex vivo and in vivo experimental data do not clearly and fully support the concept of open lung ventilation in healthy lungs.*

Furthermore, it has been recommended to keep the lung open during the post-operative period, since atelectasis may remain for several days in this period, thus leading to more negative consequences than during the anesthesia itself. Additionally, it is mentioned that recent large number of multicenter studies on “protective ventilation” and postoperative lung complications have not sufficiently taken the emergence from anesthesia into account and have not had any control over lung aeration postoperatively (14-17). Some important facts must be emphasized: 1) to date there is evidence that abdominal and cardi thoracic surgery reduce the lung volume. However, there is no clinical evidence that the reduction in lung volume is associated with major atelectasis formation, even after open abdominal surgery (1); 2) alterations in respirato-

Address for Correspondence:
Dr. Paolo Pelosi
E-mail: ppelosi@hotmail.com

Turk J Anaesthesiol Reanim 2016; 44: 165-6

DOI: 10.5152/TJAR.2016.003

©Copyright 2016 by Turkish Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Society
Available online at www.jtaics.org

ry function is not always correlated with the reduction in lung volume or atelectasis; 3) no clinical study has shown that atelectasis in the postoperative period is associated with increased risk to develop pulmonary complications or worse outcome; and 4) application of preventive physiotherapy or continuous positive airway pressure or positive pressure ventilations have not been shown to reduce complications after surgery.

Conclusion 2: *there is no clinical evidence that keeping the lung open in the postoperative period may improve clinical outcome.*

Prof. Hedenstierna also suggest to apply a recruitment maneuver with an increase in airway pressure of 40 cmH₂O or even higher for a limited period of time, and then set optimal PEEP to achieve the best respiratory system compliance or aerated volume by using an imaging technique available at the bedside such as electric impedance technique (EIT). First, the pressure required to open lung units vary among patients, and lower pressures might be enough. Second, recruitment depends not only on the pressure magnitude but also time (18). Third, as shown in a recent randomized controlled trial, recruitment of the lungs with pressures ranging from 30 to 35 cmH₂O improved the respiratory system compliance, without affecting outcome (16). Additionally, an individual patient data meta-analysis clearly demonstrated that an increase in PEEP that improves respiratory system compliance is not associated with better outcome (19). Although we do recognize the potential of bedside techniques like electrical impedance tomography (EIT) to individualize respiratory management during surgery, its beneficial effects on patient outcome is unproven. Independent of the method used for titration, whether compliance, EIT or oxygenation, PEEP will always represent a compromise between tidal recruitment/derecruitment and overdistension (20).

Conclusion 3: *an “optimal PEEP” vary depending on the target; thus, cannot be used to improve outcome.*

In short, there is no doubt that the use of PEEP combined with lung recruitment maneuvers are able to improve respiratory function and decrease atelectasis as well as tidal recruitment/derecruitment during the intra- and postoperative periods. However, these beneficial effects do not translate into improved outcome, and high airway pressures can impair intraoperative hemodynamics.

References

- Guldner A, Kiss T, Serpa Neto A, Hemmes SN, Canet J, Spieth PM, et al. Intraoperative protective mechanical ventilation for prevention of postoperative pulmonary complications: a comprehensive review of the role of tidal volume, positive end-expiratory pressure, and lung recruitment maneuvers. *Anesthesiology* 2015; 123: 692-713. [CrossRef]
- Mead J, Takishima T, Leith D. Stress distribution in lungs: a model of pulmonary elasticity. *J Appl Physiol* 1970; 28: 596-608.
- Duggan M, McCaul CL, McNamara PJ, Engelberts D, Ackerley C, Kavanagh BP. Atelectasis causes vascular leak and lethal right ventricular failure in uninjured rat lungs. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003; 167: 1633-40. [CrossRef]
- Duggan M, Kavanagh BP. Pulmonary atelectasis: a pathogenic perioperative entity. *Anesthesiology* 2005; 102: 838-54. [CrossRef]
- Tremblay LN, Valenza F, Ribeiro SP, Li J, Slutsky AS. Injurious ventilatory strategies increases cytokines and c-fos expression in an isolated rat lung. *J Clin Invest* 1997; 99: 944-52. [CrossRef]
- Moriondo A, Pelosi P, Passi A, Viola M, Marcozzi C, Severgnini P, et al. Proteoglycan fragmentation and respiratory mechanics in mechanically ventilated healthy rats. *J Appl Physiol* 2007; 103: 747-56. [CrossRef]
- Brismar B, Hedenstierna G, Lundquist H, Strandberg A, Svensson L, Tokics L. Pulmonary densities during anesthesia with muscular relaxation—a proposal of atelectasis. *Anesthesiology* 1985; 62: 422-8. [CrossRef]
- Tokics L, Hedenstierna G, Strandberg A, Brismar B, Lundquist H. Lung collapse and gas exchange during general anesthesia: effects of spontaneous breathing, muscle paralysis, and positive end-expiratory pressure. *Anesthesiology* 1987; 66: 157-67. [CrossRef]
- Neumann P, Rothen HU, Berglund JE, Valtysson J, Magnusson A, Hedenstierna G. Positive end-expiratory pressure prevents atelectasis during general anaesthesia even in the presence of a high inspired oxygen concentration. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1999; 43: 295-301. [CrossRef]
- Caironi P, Cressoni M, Chiumello D, Ranieri M, Quintel M, Russo SG, et al. Lung opening and closing during ventilation of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; 181: 578-86. [CrossRef]
- Chu EK, Whitehead T, Slutsky AS. Effects of cyclic opening and closing at low- and high-volume ventilation on bronchoalveolar lavage cytokines. *Crit Care Med* 2004; 32: 168-74. [CrossRef]
- Guldner A, Braune A, Ball L, Silva PL, Samary C, Insors A, et al. Comparative effects of volutrauma and atelectrauma on lung inflammation in experimental acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care Med* 2016 Mar 31. [Epub ahead of print]
- Wakabayashi K, Wilson MR, Tatham KC, O’Dea KP, Takata M. Volutrauma, but not atelectrauma, induces systemic cytokine production by lung-marginated monocytes. *Crit Care Med* 2014; 42: e49-57.
- Severgnini P, Selmo G, Lanza C, Chiesa A, Frigerio A, Bucuzzi A, et al. Protective mechanical ventilation during general anaesthesia for open abdominal surgery improves postoperative pulmonary function. *Anesthesiology* 2013; 118: 1307-21. [CrossRef]
- Futier E, Constantin JM, Paugam-Burtz C, Pascal J, Eurin M, Neuschwander A, et al. A trial of intraoperative low-tidal-volume ventilation in abdominal surgery. *N Engl J Med* 2013; 369: 428-37. [CrossRef]
- Prove Network Investigators for the Clinical Trial Network of the European Society of Anaesthesiology, Hemmes SN, Gama de Abreu M, Pelosi P, Schultz MJ. High versus low positive end-expiratory pressure during general anaesthesia for open abdominal surgery (PROVHILO trial): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2014; 384: 495-503. [CrossRef]
- Serpa Neto A, Hemmes SN, Barbas CS, Gama de Abreu M, Pelosi P, Schultz MJ. Intraoperative ventilator settings and postoperative acute respiratory distress syndrome: an individual data meta-analysis of 3,659 patients, ATS 2014 International Conference. San Diego, CA, USA, 2014.
- Albert SP, DiRocco J, Allen GB, Bates JH, LaFollette R, Kubiak BD, et al. The role of time and pressure on alveolar recruitment. *J Appl Physiol* 2009; 106: 757-65. [CrossRef]
- Neto AS, Hemmes SN, Barbas CS, Beiderlinden M, Fernandez-Bustamante A, Futier E, et al. Association between driving pressure and development of postoperative pulmonary complications in patients undergoing mechanical ventilation for general anaesthesia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet Respir Med* 2016; 4: 272-80. [CrossRef]
- Carvalho AR, Spieth PM, Pelosi P, Vidal Melo MF, Koch T, Jandre FC, et al. Ability of dynamic airway pressure curve profile and elastance for positive end-expiratory pressure titration. *Intensive Care Med* 2008; 34: 2291-9. [CrossRef]