In the last three decades, thyroid cancer is the fastest growing malignancy.[1] Approximately 65,000 new cases are diagnosed each year in United States. The incidence of papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) tripled, largely due to an increase in the incidence of papillary thyroid microcarcinoma (PTMC).[2] According to the Turkey’s Ministry of Health 2013 statistics, thyroid cancer is the second most common cancer (most common is the breast cancer) in young female population (ages between 25 and 54).

The World Health Organization defines PTMC as papillary carcinoma with a diameter of <1 cm.[3] Because of lack of consensus regarding the natural history of PTMC, suggested treatment options for PTMC vary from observation alone to total thyroidectomy (TT) with radioactive iodine (RAI) ablation. Recent changes in the American Thyroid Association (ATA) guidelines now recommend lobectomy as the first-line treatment for patients with PTMC except patients with features that would indicate TT, such as nodal metastasis or a family history of thyroid cancer.[4] The revised ATA guidelines (2015) added less aggressive approach, active surveillance (AS) with serial ultrasounds, as an alternative management strategy.[4] However, the recent ATA guideline also recommends not to perform fine needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) even in suspicious nodules sized <1 cm. In such a situation, neither active surveillance nor hemithyroidectomy could be discussed, with a lack of objective cytologic data about the nodules sized <1 cm. In this situation, the decision to perform FNAB to the nodules <1 cm in size depends on the physician performing the thyroid ultrasonography.

In this invited review, we have discussed the diagnosis and prognostic factors for PTMCs. We have also discussed surgical strategies as the accepted the first-line treatment in patients with PTMC.
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Advocates of AS hypothesized that most PTMCs would remain small and would not develop into clinically significant disease. Miyauchi et al. posited that the few PMCs that show slight disease progression would be effectively treated when they progress, and that immediate surgery for all PMCs would result in more harm than good. The AS trial for low-risk PMC began at the Kuma Hospital in 1993. A similar trial started at the Cancer Institute Hospital in Tokyo in 1995. These Japanese studies as well as the studies from the United States and Korea showed safety of the AS management. None of the patients died of thyroid cancer or developed distant metastasis, although a small minority of the patients who showed slight disease progression underwent surgical treatment. On the other hand, the 1%–2.8% of patients with PTMC with distant metastases at diagnosis had a worse prognosis, with high rates of persistence/recurrence. However, Kwon et al. reported extrathyroidal invasion may be caused by different locations of the PTMC. In a recent population-based study, age was found as the most powerful prognostic factor among all the analyzed factors. Male patients had less-favorable prognosis than the female patients (p<0.001) had. The 15-year survival rate for the male patients was about 86%, while the survival reached 92% for the female patients. In a recent population-based study, age was found as the most powerful prognostic factor among all the analyzed factors. Male patients had less-favorable prognosis than the female patients (p<0.001) had. The 15-year survival rate for the male patients was about 86%, while the survival reached 92% for the female patients. In a recent population-based study, age was found as the most powerful prognostic factor among all the analyzed factors. Male patients had less-favorable prognosis than the female patients (p<0.001) had. The 15-year survival rate for the male patients was about 86%, while the survival reached 92% for the female patients.

Prognosis

The overall survival for PTMC in a meta-analysis including more than 18,000 PTMC cases for the 10-year and 15-year overall survival was reported to be 95% and 91%, respectively. In addition, the 10-year disease-specific survival is approximately 99.5%, which suggests that 0.5% patients may die of PTMC. However, PTMC is not a homogeneous entity, and better prognosis stratification, especially for high-risk patients, optimizes surgical care.

Age is a prognostic factor for patients with differentiated thyroid cancer. A recent report from the SEER data program showed that the death rate from thyroid cancer is 2.7/100,000 in patients aged more than 65 years, whereas it is only 0.1/100,000 in patients aged less than 65 years. In a recent population-based study, age was found as the most powerful prognostic factor among all the analyzed factors. Male patients had less-favorable prognosis than the female patients (p<0.001) had. The 15-year survival rate for the male patients was about 86%, while the survival reached 92% for the female patients.

Size remains controversial whether it serves as a prognostic factor for PTMC. Microcarcinomas with 5–10 mm diameter foci and 5 mm diameter or less have different incidences of lymph node metastasis (59% vs 13%, respectively) and extrathyroidal invasion (10% vs 3%, respectively). Although tumor size larger than 5 mm was found to be associated with recurrent PTMC in a univariate model, it was not significantly related either with disease-free survival or with overall survival in the multivariate analysis.

Extrathyroidal invasion (ETE) is another important risk factor that all of the staging algorithms. The ETE rate for PTMC varies from 2% to 21% in different studies. This variation may be caused by different locations of the PTMC. PTMCs close to the thyroid capsule are more likely to show ETE. The TNM system defines T4 disease as tumor of any size extending beyond thyroid capsule, which means that a PTMC can be T4 disease. The presence of distant metast-
tases had a strong predictive value for unfavorable survival (HR=3.76; 95% CI, 1.22–11.62; p=0.021). Lymph node metastases (HR=1.36; p=0.011) were also associated with an adverse prognosis in the patients with PTMC.[16] Multifocality is not considered as a prognostic factor in any of the current staging systems. However, multifocality is associated with a higher rate of tumor recurrence. A study shows that only 1.2% of patients with unifocal disease had recurrent cancer, whereas the recurrence rate reached 8.6% in patients with multifocal disease.[26] Similar observations were reported by another study revealing a 5.6 fold increased risk for cervical lymph node recurrence when multifocal disease was present at diagnosis.[27]

**Surgical Strategy**

The ATA,[4] British Thyroid Association,[27] and the German Society of General and Visceral Surgery[29] recommend using hemithyroidectomy as the first-line treatment in patients with PTMC. However, TT is indicated in familial papillary thyroid carcinoma (FNMTc), which is detected in 5% of patients with PTC,[4, 27, 29] as these carcinomas become more multifocal and metastasize early.[30, 31] Radiation therapy of neck in the medical history is another TT indication. Detecting multifocal carcinomas preoperatively is also an indication for TT in patients with PTMC.[4]

In a meta-analysis including more than 18,000 PTMCs, the impact of risk factors on PTMC’s outcome was further analyzed. There were 5033 patients with two or more risk factors in the study, and the overall survival rate for these patients was significantly worse than those with less than two risk factors (15-year survival rate, 83.0% vs 93.4%; p<0.0001). The result of 1042 patients with three or more risk factors was more unfavorable compared with that of patients without any risk factors (15-year survival rate, 75.8% vs 91.5%; p<0.0001). In this study, the surgery type and the prognosis was also evaluated, and the authors found that PTMCs with two or more risk factors had better prognosis if TT was performed (HR=0.70; 95% CI, 0.51–0.96; p=0.026) compared with either hemithyroidectomy or near-TT was performed. In addition, TT benefited the patients with three or more risk factors (HR=0.49; 95% CI, 0.25–0.97; p=0.042).[16]

Kim et al.[32] investigated 8676 patients (5387 thyroidectomies, 3289 hemithyroidectomies) with a PTMC. The average follow-up period was 65 months. The recurrence-free 5-year and 10-year survival rates were 98.1% and 91.8%, respectively, for the hemithyroidectomy group; and 98.5% and 97.5% for the TT group (p<0.001). The majority of the diagnosed “tumor recurrences” involved the contralateral thyroid lobes left in hemithyroidectomy. In multifocal carcinomas, TT significantly reduced the risk of actual local recurrence. Therefore, the authors concluded that lobectomy did not increase the risk of loco-regional recurrence outside the contralateral remnant lobe in patients with PTMC, except patients with multifocality. The recurrence in the contralateral remnant lobe can be safely managed by completion thyroidectomy, so lobectomy may be a safe operative option for select patients with PTMC without multifocality.

In 2016, Gschwandtner et al. published comparable results with 1.2% PTMC “recurrences” in the contralateral thyroid lobe after hemithyroidectomy. Central lymph node recurrences after thyroidectomy were found in only 0.4% of the patients. In this study, the authors found that nodal recurrence was significantly associated with nodal metastases at primary surgery.[33] Nonincidental PTMC had an increased risk of developing recurrence in lymph nodes. It was found that young patients were more likely to develop lymph node recurrences. Aggregate tumor size and subcapsular localization were also found significantly associated with lymph node recurrences. The authors concluded that micrometastases are seemingly not clinically relevant. Most PTMCs, even if they are multifocal, can be sufficiently treated without a completion thyroidectomy and without postoperative radioiodine treatment, but if lymph node metastases are suspected preoperatively or intraoperatively and are histologically confirmed, a radical surgical concept, including a TT and an adequate lymphadenectomy, should be performed.[33]

The indication for central and lateral cervical lymph node dissection in the PTMC exists only in the case of preoperative or intraoperative suspicion or proof of lymph node metastasis and not prophylactically.[4, 29]

**Conclusion**

Hemithyroidectomy, according to the guidelines of most surgical societies, is still the therapy of choice for PTMC. It gives excellent results with very low complication rates. The cure of PTMC is possible only through surgery. AS is an alternative approach, and it should only be performed under well-defined and controlled conditions. TT is indicated only in the presence of multifocal carcinomas, extra-thyroid tumor growth, hereditary tumors (FNMTc), and children and adolescents. Systematic lymphadenectomy is reserved for cases with imaging (sonography/magnetic resonance imaging) or intraoperatively detected lymph node metastases. Regardless of known surgical therapy or new concepts such as “active surveillance,” it is important to plan the best possible and successful treatment for each patient, taking into account their individual circumstances.
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