Diagnosis and Treatment of Transforaminal Epidural Steroid Injection in Lumbar Spinal Stenosis
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Abstract

Objectives: Transforaminal epidural steroid injection reduces the low back-leg pain and enables daily activities of the patients. In this study, we aim to evaluate the treatment of transforaminal epidural steroid injection for lumbar spinal stenosis, which was mainly performed for lumbar disc herniation and share our diagnostic experience for lumbar spinal stenosis which is treated surgically.

Methods: In our study, 37 patients were included who were treated by transforaminal epidural steroid injection for Grade B lumbar spinal stenosis in our clinic between June-2014 and June-2018. We evaluated the patients at the second weeks, third/sixth months and one year after the treatment by Oswestry-Disability-Index and Visual-Analogue-Scale and followed up for surgical treatment after one year.

Results: The mean low back and leg pain Visual Analogue Scale was 5.1±0.3 before the transforaminal epidural steroid injection procedure, and it was 2.7±0.1 after two weeks. It was 2.8±0.2, 3.1±0.1 at three and six months after procedure, respectively. The improvement of low back-leg pain mean Visual-Analogue-Scale is statistically significant at two weeks, three and six months after transforaminal epidural steroid injection procedure, respectively. The mean Oswestry-Disability-Index was 29.6±0.4 before the transforaminal epidural steroid injection procedure, and it was 14.1±0.3 after two weeks. It was 15.3±0.5, 24.4±0.2 at three and six months after procedure, respectively. The improvement of Oswestry-Disability-Index is statistically significant at two weeks, three-six months.

Conclusion: The transforaminal epidural steroid injection is safe procedure for non-surgical treatment of lumbar spinal stenosis and this procedure may be preferred support to the indication of the surgical treatment of level of lumbar spinal stenosis.
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Original Research

One of the degenerative pathologies of the lumbar spine is lumbar spinal stenosis (LSS) and it is a common cause of radicular pain.1,2,3 The pathology appears as foraminal, lateral recess and central stenosis on lumbar magnetic resonance image (MRI). The morphologic classification of LSS on lumbar MRI has been described by measuring the dural sac cross-sectional surface area in the literature.4 The severe and extreme stenosis is treated with surgery generally and minor or moderate stenosis is treated conservatively. The complaints of patients are low back pain or bilaterally leg pain in a few patients. According to our clinical experience, especially in the foramen and lateral recess ste-
nosis, complaints are more prominent. Transforaminal epidural steroid injection (TFESI) reduces the low back or leg pain and enables daily activities in patients who have been foramen or lateral recess stenosis of the lumbar spine on lumbar MRI.\(^{[5–8]}\) This study aims to evaluate the treatment of the TFESI for LSS, which was mainly performed for lumbar disc herniation and share our diagnostic experience for LSS, which was treated surgically.

**Methods**

This retrospective study was approved by the Ethical Committee of İstanbul Medipol University. Approval No: 1115/11122019. Thirty-seven patients were included in our study who were treated by TFESI in our clinic between June 2014 and June 2018. Inclusion criteria: Refractory to adequate conservative treatment before three months TFESI, Grade B LSS on lumbar MRI (Fig. 1), low back and bilaterally leg pain complain, were performed TFESI on one level bilaterally. The patients who had neurological claudication or deficits were excluded from this study. The patients were suggested exercises for three months after TFESI. We evaluated the patients at two weeks, three and six months, one year after treatment using Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) and Visual Analogue Scale (VAS). All patients were admitted to the neurosurgery department with an ODI questionnaire and VAS conducted by the medical secretary. Patients were asked questions via face-to-face assessment, or questions were addressed to family members if the patients had communication problems owing to regional dialect. Additionally, we determined exercise-free compatibility of our patients after three months of TFESI treatment.

**Surgical Procedures**

TFESI was performed in the operation theatre equipped with C army scopy while the patients were prone to position in our clinic. The 21-gauge spinal needle was advanced towards the involved neural foramen under scopy after sterile preparation and local anesthesia (Fig. 2). The one milliliter of contrast material (iohexol, 300 mg iodine per milliliter) was injected to confirm the epidural area to avoid intradural or intravascular infiltration by anteroposterior and lateral X-ray imaging. The 0.5-milliliter bupivacaine HCl and 1-milliliter methylprednisolone acetate are injected into intervertebral foramen. The procedure is finished after the exam of the patient.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data obtained from the cases were recorded using Microsoft Excel-2013. SPSS 21 (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) for Windows Software was used for the evaluation of the findings (mean and standard deviation). Distribution of the data was analyzed using paired-independent sample, one way ANOVA tests. Statistical significance was set at a probability value of <0.05 (CI: Confidence Interval 95%).

**Results**

The 37 patients (21 were men, 16 were women) who underwent TFESI procedure for Grade B LSS were evaluated in our study. Twenty-eight of all patients did not have sus-

---

**Figure 1.** A,B Lumbar Magnetic Resonance Image of Grade B L3-4 spinal stenosis, C,D Lumbar Magnetic Resonance Image of the Grade B L4-5 spinal stenosis, A and C Sagittal images, B and D axial images.

**Figure 2.** X-ray graphy of L4-5 transforaminal injection/A, B Anterior-posterior images, C Lateral image.
tainable clinical improvement by conservative treatment (medical and exercises/three months) before TFESI. Five of the nine patients refused to exercises and four patients refused to medical treatment and 19 of 28 patients who were not satisfied after conservative treatment were not apply medical treatment regularly before the procedure. 13 of 37 patients were treated L3-4 and 24 patients were treated L4-5 level of lumbar spine bilaterally (Table 1).

The mean low back and leg pain VAS was 5.1±0.3 before the TFESI procedure and it was 2.7±0.1 after two weeks. It was 2.8±0.2, 3.1±0.1 at three and six months after procedure, respectively. The diversity of pre-procedure VAS between L3-4 and L4-5 was not statistically significant (p>0.05). The reduction of low back and leg pain mean VAS was statistically significant at two weeks, three and six months after procedure, respectively (p<0.05) (Table 1). In this study, 28 of 37 (76%) patient’s VAS decreased to 50% at six months (Table 2).

Four of 37 patients who were not determined at one year were consulted by phone and three of them refused to control and one of four patients was operated in other clinic for LSS. 33 of 37 patients were determined after one year and their mean VAS was 4.6±0.2. The worsening of VAS between six months and one year was statistically significant (p<0.05) (Table 1).

Seven of 33 patients who were determined at one year were treated surgically by bilateral decompression via a unilateral approach for LSS in our clinic. Five patients who were refusing to exercise before the TFESI procedure could have an exercise and have sustainable clinical improvement. The TFESI procedure was performed twice to two patients who had higher VAS at six months than two weeks after the procedure.

The mean ODI was 29.6±0.4 before TFESI procedure, and it was 14.1±0.3 after two weeks. It was 15.3±0.5, 24.4±0.2 at three and six months after procedure, respectively. The diversity of pre-procedure ODI between L3-4 and L4-5 was not statistically significant (p>0.05). The improvement of mean ODI is statistically significant at two weeks, three and six months after TFESI procedure, respectively (p<0.05) (Table 1). 24 of 37 (65%) patient’s ODI were improved by 50% at six months (Table 2).

In this study, 10 of the 33 patients who were determined at one year after procedure have worse ODI score and seven of 10 patients were treated surgically by bilaterally decompression via a unilateral approach for LSS. This rate was statistically significant for the diagnostic approach for surgical treatment of Grade B lumbar spinal stenosis (p<0.05).

We evaluated complications at one patient in our study. It was a weakness (left leg) after the early period of the TFESI procedure. After three days with rest, the weakness was not determined. There was no other complaint in this patient. The other complications were not determined in our study.

**Discussion**

TFESI procedure is widely used in Neurosurgery, Orthopedy, Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Clinics for the treatment of radiculopathy commonly caused by a lumbar disc herniation. The coverage guidelines deem surgical treatment for lumbar disc herniation or stenosis unnecessary unless physical therapy, medical therapy, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or TFESI. The non-surgical treatment of LSS by TFESI was performed in studies and its results were evaluated in the literature (Table 2). ODI criteria and VAS, which are widely used in the literature, were used to evaluate the neurological status and pain complaints of our patients.
The morphologic classification of LSS on lumbar MRI was defined by Schizas C. et al.\textsuperscript{[4]} We evaluated our patient’s lumbar MRI imaging by this classification and TFESI procedures were performed grade B stenosis in our study. The safely method of TFESI procedure has been described in the literature. The aim of the procedure is to provide clinical improvement by suppressing the inflammation with steroid efficacy around the dorsal root that constitutes the complaint. The patients with minor or moderate stenosis have nerve root impingement in lateral recess or lumbar foramens. The stenosis may lead to continuous mechanical stimulation of the nerves and repeated occurrence of inflammation (interleukin-1, tumor necrosis factor and phospholipase A2) in the nerve root when the condition persists. TFESI, which includes steroid and local anesthetics, such as the present study provides inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis, stabilization of cellular membranes, and suppression of immune responses, increases in neuronal blood flow, and washing out inflammatory mediators (such as interleukin-1, tumor necrosis factor and phospholipase A2).\textsuperscript{[15–19]}

TFESI procedure is a more effective treatment to lumbar disc herniation or stenosis for patient whose age is <50 years than elderly patients.\textsuperscript{[20]} The mean age of our patients was 42.6±5.4 in present study.

TFESI provides a clinical improvement in the treatment of radiculopathy and neurogenic claudication caused by LSS in six months after the procedure.\textsuperscript{[21, 22]} A few studies, which include TFESI procedures and longer than six months of follow-up time performed in the literature.\textsuperscript{[23, 24]} We evaluate and follow-up our patients at one year for determined improvement of pain and clinical status in the present study.

The level of LSS, which causes complaints (low back and bilateral leg pain), is determined with TFESI on multilevel LSS.\textsuperscript{[25]} Seven patients who determined at one year after TFESI procedure and who have worse ODI classification or

### Table 2. The evidence on epidural steroid injection for lumbar spinal stenosis from the literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Year</th>
<th>Lumbar pathology</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Follow-up (weak)</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Main Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim HJ. et al.\textsuperscript{[13]}/2014</td>
<td>Lumbar spinal stenosis</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Visual Analogue Scale and Oswestry Disability Index</td>
<td>Improving pain and disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Verbal Numeric Pain Scale and Oswestry Disability Index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Y. et al.\textsuperscript{[16]}/2015</td>
<td>Lumbar foraminal stenosis</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Visual Analogue Scale and Oswestry Disability Index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;50% improvement in Verbal Numeric Pain Scale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis N, Hourigan P, Clarke A.\textsuperscript{[14]}/2016</td>
<td>Lumbar spinal stenosis</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Avoidance of decompressive surgery Pain score</td>
<td>50% Reduction in Pain Score at 1 month 50% Reduction in Pain Score at 3 month 50% Reduction in Pain Score at 3 month 44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oswestry Disability Index</td>
<td>Group percutaneous adhesiolysis 73.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farooque M, Salzman MM, Ye Z.\textsuperscript{[12]}/2017</td>
<td>Lumbar spinal stenosis</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Numeric rating scale</td>
<td>50% Reduction in Pain Score at 1 month 50% Reduction in Pain Score at 3 month 53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oswestry Disability Index</td>
<td>Three months after treatment, 27 patients (87.1%) in group A and 11 patients (42.3%) in group B reported successful pain relief (pain relief of ≥ 50%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang MC, Lee DG.\textsuperscript{[11]}/2018</td>
<td>Lumbar foraminal stenosis</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Visual Analogue Scale and Oswestry Disability Index</td>
<td>28 (76%) 50% Reduction in Visual Analogue Scale at 6 months 24 (65%) 50% Improvement in Oswestry Disability Index at 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7/33 (21%) at 1 year surgical treatment for Grade B lumbar spinal stenosis (diagnostic approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Study</td>
<td>Grade B lumbar spinal stenosis</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Visual Analogue Scale and Oswestry Disability Index</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28 (76%) 50% Reduction in Visual Analogue Scale at 6 months 24 (65%) 50% Improvement in Oswestry Disability Index at 6 months 7/33 (21%) at 1 year surgical treatment for Grade B lumbar spinal stenosis (diagnostic approach)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
high VAS were treated surgically. The level of surgery was pointed by TFESI and performed. TFESI procedure performs for the diagnostic approach.

TFESI procedure is more effective than other injection procedures (interlaminar and caudal) because of higher steroid density in the dorsal root ganglion and the clinical improvement of TFESI is higher.[26-28]

Extraepidural injection method is used with a TFESI procedure in our study. This method has been found to have better clinical improvement with less pain during the procedure than intraepidural injection.[29]

The chronic low back pain or radiculopathy that causes leg pain due to fibrosis or necrosis in the epidural area was determined by several studies in the literature.[15, 30] Oncu et al. investigated in 2014 the effects of lumbar epidural steroid injection in patients with radiculopathy and they reported that lumbar epidural corticosteroid injections were effective in patients with radiculopathy during the first three months after treatment.[31] We comprehended that the complaints of four patients whose VAS results were not changed in the second-week evaluation after the procedure were longer than six months (chronic) in the present study. We found that this result was consistent with the studies in the literature.[24]

The patients who have minor or moderate stenosis are generally treated conservatively by medical or physical therapy. The surgical treatment is not preferred, and TFESI has a low rate due to the small case number of minor or moderate stenosis. The limitation of our present study is small case number of minor or moderate stenosis.

Conclusion

TFESI procedure is an effective non-surgical treatment for lumbar disc herniation, and it is commonly followed-up for six months. This procedure is rarely performed for LSS than disc herniation. We evaluated TFESI procedures for treatment and surgical indication of LSS. Our following of the patients, longer than six months, suggests that TFESI is safe procedure for non-surgical treatment of LSS and this procedure preferred support to an indication of surgical treatment of level of the LSS.
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