A syndrome comprised of subacute visual loss, pain, and a clear and early response to systemic steroids is easily identifiable as inflammatory optic neuritis (ON). ON may occur as a manifestation of systemic autoimmune diseases, sarcoidosis, or central nervous system (CNS) demyelinating disease. An annual incidence of ON of 5.1 per 100,000 person-years and a prevalence rate of 115 per 100,000 has been reported.\(^1\) Although ON is frequently limited to a single episode, 3% to 5% of patients experience recurrent episodes (affecting either eye or both eyes sequentially or simultaneously), with a negative workup for multiple sclerosis (MS), neuromyelitis optica (NMO), or other potential causes.\(^2\) This condition is now called chronic relapsing inflammatory ON (CRION). In their original report on CRION, Kidd et al.\(^3\) described patients with bilateral inflammatory ON and recurrent relapses over time that worsened upon steroid or immunosuppression withdrawal. The detection of these individuals is important for patient management targeted at the preservation of vision because there is a considerable risk of blindness.\(^4\)

The present patient had experienced recurrent episodes of optic neuropathy over a period of 5 years with features that led to a diagnosis of CRION.

The patient provided written consent for publication of this case study.

**Case Report**

A 33-year-old female presented at the outpatient clinic with painful diminution of vision in the left eye developing over a period of 10 days. The pain persisted beyond the onset of visual loss. The patient provided a background history of 5 similar attacks over the past 5 years. CRION was diagnosed following ophthalmological and imaging examinations, which revealed optic neuritis without demyelination. The patient was successfully treated with steroids. The early detection of CRION is important because of the associated risk of blindness if CRION is treated inappropriately.
niso-lone, 1 g) for 3 days and oral methylprednisolone at 1 mg/kg for the following 11 days. Partial recovery of vision occurred upon remittance.

The patient did not have any temporal artery tenderness, and temporal pulses were palpable bi-laterally. There was no history suggestive of any other cranial nerve, motor, sensory, or auto-nomic system involvement. No relevant symptoms suggestive of connective tissue disease or au-

toimmune illness were noted historically. An examination revealed a relative afferent pupillary defect in the left eye. There was reduced visual acuity in the left eye, with finger counting at 1 m, as well as 6/6 visual acuity in the right eye according to the Snellen chart.

Fundoscopy revealed a pale optic disc in the left eye and normal retinas (Fig. 1). There was re-
duced color vision in the left eye (2/12 of Ishihara plates), and a left relative afferent papillary defect was present. Visual field mapping revealed complete left visual field loss and a normal right-sided visual field (Fig. 2). The remainder of the neu-

roophthalmological examination was unremarkable. There was nothing in the patient’s history or physical examina-

tion that was sug-gestive of connective tissue disease or sarcoaidosis. Initial blood tests showed a normal full blood count, normal levels of urea and electrolytes, normal liver function tests, and normal C-reactive protein (CRP: <1 mg/L), plasma viscosity, and glycated hemoglobin values. A chest X-ray was also normal. A lumbar puncture was performed, which yielded cerebrospinal fluid with normal white and red blood cell counts, a normal angiotension-

converting enzyme (ACE) level, and no oligo
clonal bands. A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan of the patient’s brain and spinal cord was normal. The Mantoux test and a collagen workup (antinuclear antibody, an-
tiphospholipid antibody, and rheumatoid factor) were negative. The serum ACE level was nor-

mal. Hepatitis B antigen and HIV serology were non-reactive. A test for serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) neuromyelitis optica (NMO) antibody was negative. A visually evoked response test revealed prolonged P100 latency in both eyes. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) demon-

strated a reduced retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness (Fig. 3). Due to acute and painful vision loss, a di-

agnosis of unilateral acute retrobulbar ON was made. Visual acuity improved to 6/10 (Snellen chart) following administration of intravenous steroids (methylprednisolone, 1 g) for 3 days and oral methylprednisolone at 1 mg/kg for the following 11 days.

Discussion

ON is frequently limited to a single episode. However, some 3% to 5% of patients experience recurrent episodes (affecting either eye or both eyes sequentially or simulta-

neously), along with a negative workup for MS, NMO, and other causes. A decade ago, 2 observations were made almost simultaneously: the description of CRION and the dis-

covery of a specific auto-antibody (NMO-IgG) for NMO. These were important for patient management targeted at the preservation of vision because in contrast to MS, there

Figure 1. A fundal examination revealed a pale left optic disc.

Figure 2. Visual field mapping showed complete visual field loss on the left.

Figure 3. Optical coherence tomography demonstrated reduced retinal nerve fiber layer thickness.
is a considerable risk of blindness with CRION. Immuno-suppressive treatment may allow for the discontinuation of steroid use and prevent or reduce further relapses in cases of CRION, which is dissimilar from MS in its clinical characteristics and natural history.

In view of these recurrent steroid-responsive attacks of ON, a differential diagnosis for other demyelinating disorders, such as MS or an NMO spectrum disorder, as well as other causes of secondary demyelination, must be thoroughly considered. In our case, all the ancillary investigations, patient history, and physical examination indications were negative for these etiologies. In addition, signs related to ON were unremarkable upon neurological examination. A gadolinium-enhanced cranial MRI revealed normal findings elsewhere in the CNS, even on follow-up studies, and a test for a serum IgG-NMO antibody was negative.

Recently, Petzold et al. proposed the following 5 diagnostic criteria after a systematic review of 122 reported cases: ON and at least 1 relapse, objective evidence of loss of visual function, seronegative for NMO-IgG, contrast enhancement of acutely inflamed optic nerves on MRI, and response to immunosuppressive treatment and relapse on withdrawal or dose reduction of immunosuppressive treatment. In contrast with the original report of Kidd et al., bilateral (sequential) loss of vision and pain were not included as criteria in this study. The present case conformed to these new criteria.

The strong response to immunosuppressive treatment suggests that the etiology of CRION is at least partially immune-mediated. Colpak et al. reported that brain white matter (WM) that appeared normal on a brain MRI demonstrated widespread abnormalities in a cohort of patients when assessed via diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). DTI is an advanced MRI technique that allows professionals to evaluate tissue integrity, primarily WM. Colpak et al. concluded that not only the optic chiasm but also additional WM structures in the brain were affected in patients with CRION. Moreover, their findings may reflect a more complex combination of pathological processes, rather than merely demyelination or axonal degeneration, occurring in the cerebral WM in this particular disease. Their findings suggest that CRION is distinct from primary demyelinating diseases, such as MS and NMO.

OCT is an additional diagnostic tool and can also be used to monitor disease progression. RNFL thickness decreases in MS patients, especially those with a history of ON. Bichuetti et al. found that RNFL thickness was significantly worse in NMO and CRION eyes compared with MS patients with a history of recurrent relapsing ON, but they also found no significant differences between NMO and CRION eyes and concluded that RNFL values can help in differentiating optic neuritis in MS from NMO and CRION. We found significantly reduced RNFL thickness in our patient.

To date, there have been no systematic studies evaluating the duration and intensity of immuno-suppression required in patients with CRION. The data are purely observational, being based on personal experience. Our patient’s results indicate that long-term, low-dose corticosteroids are a safe and effective treatment option. Of course, as in all patients who require long-term steroids, osteoporosis prophylaxis should be considered on the basis of national or local guidelines. Alternatively, as a long-term treatment, intravenous immunoglobulin has been found to be an effective steroid-sparing agent in selected cases. The addition of a steroid-sparing medication, such as azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, mycophenolate, or cyclosporine, has also been reported as a long-term treatment option.

Conclusion

In conclusion, identifying these patients has therapeutic implications. CRION is highly responsive to steroids, but there is a considerable risk of blindness if these steroids are not administered. CRION should be diagnosed after excluding the long list of diseases reviewed here, especially MS and NMO. Although, at present, there are no systemic studies evaluating the duration and intensity of immunosuppression required in patients with CRION, our patient’s results indicate that administration of an intravenous steroid for 3 days and oral methylprednisolone 1mg/kg for the following 11 days represents a safe and effective treatment option.
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