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ABSTRACT

Objective: There is a debate about the treatment of intertrochanteric femur fractures in the geriatric population. A proximal femoral nail (PFN), a sliding hip screw, and bipolar hip arthroplasty (BHA) are the most commonly used treatment modalities. The aim of this study was to compare the blood transfusion rate, duration of surgery, and clinical scores of elderly patients treated with cementless BHA and a PFN.

Methods: A total of 38 patients with intertrochanteric femur fractures treated with cementless BHA or a PFN between 2012–2016 were evaluated. In all, 20 patients had surgical treatment with cementless BHA and 18 had surgical treatment with a PFN. All of the patients were evaluated with the Harris Hip Score (HHS) at the last control visit. Blood transfusion rates and the duration of surgery were recorded and the values of both groups were compared statistically.

Results: The mean HHS was 81.4±10.5 for the BHA group and 83.7±13 for the PFN group. The mean blood transfusion volume was 1.45±0.6 units for the BHA group and 0.33±0.48 units for the group treated with a PFN. The mean duration of surgery for the BHA group was 95±23.1 minutes. In the PFN group, the mean duration of the surgery was 61.8±7.3 minutes.

Conclusion: There was a significant difference in the duration of surgery and the blood transfusion volume. The PFN procedure takes less time than BHA, and the blood transfusion volume is smaller. For these reasons, PFN seems to be a better option than BHA.

INTRODUCTION

Injuries that result from falls are the most common cause of hospital admission in the geriatric population.\(^1\) Intertrochanteric femur fractures account for 45% to 50% of fractures around the hip joint in elderly patients.\(^2,3\) Although surgical treatment of intertrochanteric femur fractures remains debatable, particularly regarding the choice of implant for fixation, the sliding hip screw is considered the gold standard for fixation of such fractures. Bipolar hip arthroplasty (BHA) is another commonly used fixation modality for intertrochanteric femoral fractures.

The proximal femoral nail (PFN) was designed to treat trochanteric and subtrochanteric femur fractures, and new-generation PFN provides stable fixation. The intramedullary device offers more stability with a shorter lever arm, shares more loading force, and has a low likelihood of collapse after fixation of intertrochanteric femoral fractures.\(^6\) However, complications such as migration of proximal screws and perforation of the femoral head, varus collapse, and cut-outs and fractures around the femoral nail have been observed after fixation of intertrochanteric femur fractures with PFN.\(^5,6\)

Prosthetic replacement is recommended for unstable intertrochanteric fractures because of the complications that may occur after internal fixation.\(^7-9\) Unstable intertrochanteric femur fractures are defined as comminution of the postero-medial buttress with a simple fragment.
or extension of the fracture to the subtrochanteric region. In elderly patients with intertrochanteric femur fractures, treatment with an endoprosthesis can decrease fracture-associated complications. Early mobilization of patients is another advantage of this treatment modality.

This study aimed to compare the results of intertrochanteric femur fractures in elderly patients treated with PFN and BHA.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study protocol was approved by the hospital ethics committee (study number: 203, 06.11.2017); the study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient.

A total of 38 patients with intertrochanteric femur fractures who were treated with cementless BHA (n=20) or PFN (n=18) between 2012 and 2016 and who were aged ≥65 years at the time of surgery were retrospectively evaluated (Fig. 1a and b). All of the patients were evaluated using the Harris Hip Score (HHS). Blood transfusion rates and duration of surgery details were also recorded. Patients with multiple trauma, pathological fractures, or coxarthrosis before the fracture were excluded.

All of the patients treated with PFN underwent surgery in the supine position. After reducing the fracture under fluoroscopic control, minimally invasive techniques were used during the nailing procedure. All of the BHA procedures were performed via a posterolateral incision. Cementless femoral stems and trochanteric hook plates were used in all cases.

All of the patients were encouraged to stand up the day after the surgery, and all of the patients were enrolled in a physical therapy program. Postsurgical complications, such as implant failure and superficial or deep infections, were recorded for both groups.

RESULTS

The overall mean age of the patients was 83.6±7.4 years. The mean age was 82.7±7.3 years in the BHA group and 84.6±7.6 years in the PFN group. The mean follow-up period for all patients was 19.7±8.0 months. The mean follow-up period was 24.5±8.3 months and 14.3±2.2 months in the BHA and PFN groups, respectively. The mean HHS was 82.5±11.7 points for the entire study group, 81.4±10.5 points in the BHA group, and 83.7±13.0 points in the PFN group. In the BHA group, 5 patients had excellent HHS ratings, 7 were good, 6 had a fair score, and 2 had a poor score. In the PFN group, 10 patients had an excellent HHS assessment, 3 had a good score, 3 had a fair score, and 2 patients had a poor score. The mean blood transfusion volume was 0.92±0.78 units, 1.45±0.6 units, and 0.33±0.48 units for all of the patients, the BHA group, and the PFN group, respectively. The overall mean duration of surgery was 79.3±24.1 minutes, 95±23.1 minutes, and 61.8±7.3

Figure 1. (a) A patient treated with a proximal femoral nail. (b) A patient treated with cementless bipolar hip arthroplasty.
minutes for the entire study group, the BHA group, and the PFN group, respectively (Table 1).

The duration of surgery was statistically significantly different between the 2 groups (p<0.05); the PFN procedure was shorter than that for BHA. In addition, the blood transfusion rate was statistically significantly different between the groups, with a smaller volume of blood transfusion required in the PFN group compared with the BHA group.

In the BHA group, 2 patients had superficial infections. No other complications, such as deep infection or aseptic failure of the prosthesis, were observed after arthroplasty surgery. In the PFN group, only 1 patient had a superficial infection, and 1 patient had an implant failure after 6 months, after which the implant was extracted. The rate of cut-out was 5.5% in the PFN group.

### DISCUSSION

The union rate is an important issue after fracture fixation. High union rates of up to 100% have been observed after fixation with intramedullary devices, and union rates of up to 80% have been reported after fixation with extramedullary devices.\[13\] Intramedullary devices are load-sharing in small bending moments, and this feature can give the patient early weight-bearing capacity and prevent collapse. In the PFN group, non-union was not observed.

Elderly women with osteoporosis and poor bone quality and who were treated with sliding hip screws and plates or femoral nails after intertrochanteric femur fracture may experience collapse of the fracture and varus displacement. Lag screw cut-out or lateral protrusion is the primary reason for varus collapse of the head and neck after fixation of intertrochanteric femur fractures with PFN and dynamic hip screws.\[14,15\] The Z-effect and reverse Z-effect are complications that may be observed after treating intertrochanteric femur fractures with PFN. The Z-effect is lateral migration of the inferior screw with varus collapse of the fracture and perforation of the femoral head by the superior screw. The reverse Z-effect is defined as lateral migration of the superior screw and medial migration of the inferior screw.\[16\] The results of a study that compared PFN with 1 and 2 lag screws showed that 2 lag screws yielded better results in patients with good bone quality but had a higher cut-out risk in patients with osteoporosis.\[17\] Uzer et al.\[18\] compared functional and radiological results as well as complication rates in patients treated with PFN using an integrated, interlocking, compression lag screw and 2 separate lag screws. They found no statistically significant differences in the functional and radiological results or complication rates in the treatment of intertrochanteric femur fractures. In our study, all of the PFN patients were treated with integrated lag screws, and only 1 cut-out was detected as a complication in this series.

The cut-out rate in patients treated with PFN and dynamic hip screws is approximately 3% to 10%.\[14,15\] In our research, 1 female patient with osteoporosis had a perforated femoral head at 6 months after reconstruction of the intertrochanteric femur fracture with PFN. Implant extraction was subsequently performed for this patient. The cut-out rate in our study was comparable with that reported in the literature.

As shown in a study by Kumar et al.,\[19\] compared with internal fixation, intertrochanteric femur fractures treated with cemented BHA have the advantage of early ambulation and a shorter hospital stay.\[19\] In our study, patients in both groups were ambulated the day after surgery. Thus, we do not believe that BHA has an early ambulation advantage. We did not examine the length of the patients’ hospital stay after surgery. Kapicioglu et al.\[20\] assessed hip fractures in extremely elderly patients and reported that patients treated with PFN lived longer than those treated with bipolar cemented hemiarthroplasty. In the current study, mortality rate was not assessed.

In elderly patients, primary cemented BHA for unstable intertrochanteric fractures of the femur has several advantages, such as early ambulation, good functional outcomes, and pain-free hip joint motion.\[21\] After hip joint reconstruction with BHA, trochanter healing can be a problem. Grimsrud et al.\[22\] revealed that in 39 patients with unstable 3- and 4-part intertrochanteric hip fractures that were treated with cemented BHA with cerclage fixation of the trochanteric bone fragments, no loosening of femoral components was observed and all of the trochanters healed. Patients who undergo this technique can bear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean age (years)</th>
<th>Mean follow-up period (months)</th>
<th>Mean Harris Hip Score</th>
<th>Blood transfusion rate (units)</th>
<th>Surgical time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>83.6±7.4</td>
<td>19.7±8.0</td>
<td>82.5±11.7</td>
<td>0.92±0.78</td>
<td>79.3±24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar hip arthroplasty group</td>
<td>82.7±7.3</td>
<td>24.5±8.3</td>
<td>81.4±10.5</td>
<td>1.45±0.6</td>
<td>95±23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal femoral nail group</td>
<td>84.6±7.6</td>
<td>14.3±2.2</td>
<td>83.7±13.0</td>
<td>0.33±0.48</td>
<td>61.8±7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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weight soon after surgery, and this technique has a low complication rate. Rodop et al.\(^{[8]}\) reported that among 37 elderly patients treated with primary BHA for unstable intertrochanteric fractures, 17 (45%) and 14 (37%) patients had excellent and good HHS assessments, respectively, at 12 months after surgery. In our study, we performed cementless BHA with trochanteric hook plates, and our clinical results were good with regard to the HHS with no loosening of femoral components observed in the follow-up period.

Luo et al.\(^{[23]}\) compared 71 patients with intertrochanteric femur fractures who were treated with PFN antirotation and 52 patients who were treated with BHA. They found no significant differences between the 2 groups with regard to orthopedic complications, reoperation rate, surgical duration, or HHS, but found significant differences with respect to intraoperative blood loss, transfusion rate, medical complications, and hospital stay duration. A higher 1-year postoperative mortality rate was observed in the BHA group than in the PFN group. Their study results suggested that PFN treatment had advantages over BHA, which is consistent with our study results.

Geiger et al.\(^{[24]}\) supported PFN for unstable intertrochanteric femoral fractures and dynamic hip screws for stable fractures. The authors found no significant difference with regard to mortality risk for primary cemented hip arthroplasty compared with other treatment modalities for intertrochanteric femoral fractures. Hip arthroplasty is a treatment option for osteoarthritis and osteoporosis that prevents full-weight bearing in patients with intertrochanteric femoral fractures. None of our patients had osteoarthritis before the surgery.

CONCLUSION

Compared with PFN, hip arthroplasty can reduce implant-related complications and reoperation rates for intertrochanteric femoral fractures.\(^{[23]}\) No revision surgery was performed for the BHA group in this study, but our follow-up period was too short for any strong conclusions regarding the reoperation rate. However, implant extraction was performed for 1 patient who was treated with PFN. Compared with BHA, performing PFN required less time, and the blood transfusion volume was lower in the PFN group than in the BHA group. Therefore, PFN appears to be a better option than BHA for surgically treating intertrochanteric femur fractures in elderly patients.
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Yaşlı Hastalarda Intertrokanterik Femur Kırıklarının Parsiyel Kalça Protezi ve Proksimal Femoral Çivi İle Tedavi Sonuçları

Amaç: Geriatrik yaş grubunda intertrokanterik femur kırıklarının tedavisinde kullanılan implant ile ilgili tartışmalar devam etmektedir. Proksimal femoral çivi, kayan kalça çivileri ve bipolar kalça protezleri kullanılan tedavi yöntemleridir. Çalışmanın amacı sementsiz bipolar kalça protezi ve proksimal femoral çivi yapılan ileri yaş hastaların kan transfüzyon oranlarının, cerrahi sürelerinin ve Harris Kalça Skoru ile klinik sonuçlarının karşılaştırılması.


Bulgular: Ortalama Harris Kalça Skoru bipolar kalça protezi ile tedavi edilen grupta 81.4±10.5, proksimal femoral çivi ile tedavi edilen grupta 83.7±13 olarak bulundu. Bipolar kalça protezi yapılan grupta ortalamalı kan transfüzyonu 1.45±0.6 unite, proksimal femoral çivi yapılan grupta 0.33±0.48 unite olarak bulundu. Bipolar kalça protezi yapılan grupta ortalamalı cerrahi süre 95±23.1 dakika, proksimal femoral çivi yapılan grupta ise 61.8±7.3 dakika olarak belirlendi. Cerrahi süre ve kan transfüzyonları arasında istatistiksel anlamlı fark bulundu.


Anahtar Sözcükler: Bipolar kalça protezi; intertrokanterik femur kırığı; proksimal femoral çivi.