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INTRODUCTION

Although rarely seen, urethral foreign body may have many etiologies. However, it is usually the result of patient inserting the foreign object into the urethra, requiring emergency intervention.

Insertion of foreign body into genitourinary tract is frequently attempted for sexual satisfaction and orgasm, or it may also be the result of medical intervention.[1] In a substantial number of cases, psychiatric disorders play an important role.[2,3] Foreign bodies inserted into urethra include items such as a pencil, thermometer, toothbrush, wax, and similar objects.[2,4–6] Adjacent organ injury is determinative in the choice of appropriate treatment.

The present case report is an examination of association between intravesical gas, considered to be indication of serious urological problem, and urethral foreign body with a lumen. In addition, relevant treatment approach is analyzed.

CASE REPORT

A 67-year-old male patient with diabetes mellitus (DM) presented at emergency polyclinic with complaints of dysuria, penile swelling, and pain. He had inserted a ballpoint pen into his urethra 1½ months earlier in an effort to resolve severe urethral itching (Figure 1). He had unsuccessfully tried to remove the object many times. On physical examination, foreign body measuring 4-5 cm was palpated 1 cm from external meatus. Penis was hyperemic and edematous, and external meatus was constricted as result of meatal edema.

Laboratory analyses revealed no growth on urine cultures, but leukocyturia was detected. Intravesical gas and intrarethral object with a lumen were observed in pelvic computed tomography (CT) images (Figure 2a, b). Diagnosis of urethral foreign body inside anterior urethra was made, and urethroscopy was attempted with sedoanalgesia. However, foreign body near meatus prevented intraurethral engagement of cystoscope. Meatotomy was performed in order to reach the object, which was carefully extracted using a surgical clamp. Following removal of the object, cystoscopy was performed, which revealed hyperemic and edematous areas. Urethral rupture was not detected. Bladder was hyperemic; however, no perforation or fistula
that might cause intravesical gas formation was found. Patient was discharged on postoperative first day with prescriptions for analgesics and antibiotherapy.

DISCUSSION

Urethral foreign body is an emergency case that can have various etiologies. Patient may have inserted foreign body into urethra or condition may have accidental or iatrogenic causes. Patient inserting foreign body to relieve severe urethral itching, as in present case, is a rarely encountered condition. Urethral irritation may be seen more frequently in diabetic patients as they are more prone to infection.

Patients with urethral foreign body may present with complaints of painful urination, macroscopic hematuria, urinary urgency, and recurrent urinary infections. Patients are generally embarrassed to explain their situation, which leads to delay in diagnosis. In particular for patients with DM, foreign bodies in situ long-term can lead to complications such as infection, sepsis, and necrosis.

In the evaluation of the patient, shape, size, other characteristics of the foreign body, length of time the object has been in place, and psychiatric condition of the patient should be determined, and a meticulous physical examination should be performed.

In most cases, pelvic radiograms can provide adequate information about foreign body; however, for non-opaque foreign bodies, conventional radiograms may not yield sufficient information. In the present case, foreign body was not opaque, and therefore could not be visualized on pelvic radiograms. Additional methods that may be used to obtain further information include ultrasound (US) and CT. Rahman et al. performed a study on 17 patients with intravesical foreign bodies, and authors reported that they could palpate all intraurethral foreign bodies. They indicated that in 13 cases, anteroposterior pelvic radiograms were adequately diagnostic, and only in 3 patients were US and CT required. An additional advantage of contrast CT is that it can provide important information about adjacent organ injury and presence of enterovesical fistula. CT in present case revealed presence of intravesical gas as well as intraurethral foreign body.

Attempts to remove foreign body or push it into intravesical cavity may cause object to migrate into bladder, facilitated by effects of perineal contractions. As in this case, an object with a lumen may deliver outside air to the bladder. Intravesical gas may indicate serious conditions, and in differential diagnosis, uroenteric fistula, anaerobic infection, trauma, and any recent endoscopic intervention concerning urinary system should be considered.

Furthermore, in diabetic patients, carbon dioxide formed as result of fermentation of high glucose content urine after infection may induce gas formation in urinary system.

Treatment modality selected will vary based on number, location, and size of foreign bodies. Extraction of the foreign object without injuring urethra, and relief of obstruction are primary goals. Most cases can be treated with endoscopic means, though in some cases open surgery may be required. Urethral erosion caused by foreign body, forceful manipulations during extraction, and difficulty encountered during intraurethral advancement of endoscopic instruments may necessitate external meatotomy or open surgery.
In the present case, intraurethral foreign object was impacted near the meatus and did not allow for insertion of urethroscope. Following meatotomy, foreign body was successfully extracted using surgical clamp without damaging the urethra. After procedure to remove the object, urethra and bladder should be evaluated with urethroscopy.[11] In present case, postoperative urethroscopy revealed only patchy areas of hyperemia and edema. It should not be forgotten that following extraction of intraurethral foreign bodies, urethral strictures can develop, and postoperative follow-up should be recommended.

Psychiatric disorders are frequently associated with instances of urethral foreign body.[2,3] However, patient may decline recommendation of psychiatric evaluation, as was the case with present patient.

In conclusion, foreign body with a lumen inserted into urethra associated with intravesical gas may be resolved without development of uroenteric fistula, perforation or infection. Although urethral foreign bodies are usually removed endoscopically, when necessary, carefully performed open surgery can yield effective outcome.
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Üretral Yabancı Cisim: İlginç Bir Olgunun Literatür Eşliğinde Değerlendirilmesi

Üretral yabancı cisim nadir görülen, farklı sebeplerle olmakla birlikte sıkılık kilinin kendi uretrasına yabancı cisim tatbiki ile oluşan ve acil girişim gerektiren bir durumdur. Etyolojide, seksüel veya erotik uyarı sağlama, travma ve tıbbi girişimlerin yanı sıra psikiyatrik problemler da bu durumda önemli rol oynamaktadır. Çeşitli araçlarla gerçekleştirilen bu durum tedavi planında komşu organ yaralanması ve yabancı cisim hakkındaki bilgi sağlaması ile radyolojik bulgu ve tanı önemlidir. Bizim amacıımız, ciddi urolojik problemlerin bulgusu sayılan mesane gazının lümenli uretral cisim ile birliktelğini ve olası nedenlerini ortaya koymaktır. Ayrıca uretral yabancı cisimde tedavi yaklaşımlarını literatür eşliğinde tartışmaktır.
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