Evaluation of the Efficacy of Chemical Method to Determine Urinary Tract Stone Composition
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Summary

Background: The present study evaluated use of chemical method to determine components and category of urinary stones described in current stone disease guidelines.

Methods: Chemical analysis of total of 198 urinary stones was performed between March 2014 and September 2015. Calcium, oxalate, uric acid, magnesium, phosphate, cysteine, ammonium, and carbonate were among components detected in stone composition. Stones were divided into groups based on presence of 1, 2, or 3 or more components. Composition results were compared with stone composition data provided in global guidelines.

Results: Sixty-five (32.9%) samples consisted of 1 mineral and 133 (67.1%) contained more than 1. Of the total, 107 (54%) compositions were included in European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines. The 107 samples included 45 (22.7%) with components of calcium oxalate, 22 (11.6%) of calcium phosphate, 11 (6.1%) of calcium and uric acid, 10 (5.0%) of uric acid, 7 (3.5%) of cysteine, 7 (3.5%) of carbonate apatite, 4 (2.0%) of ammonium urate, and 1 (0.5%) of magnesium, ammonium, and phosphate. However, 91 (46%) stones consisted of components that are not in current EAU guidelines.

Conclusion: Chemical analysis was found insufficient to categorize stone types and components seen in EAU guidelines. There is also a lack of information on the process in the literature. It was concluded that chemical analysis is not the best method to evaluate urinary stones.
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Özet

Amaç: Bu çalışmada, üriner sistem taşılarının analizinde kullanılan kimyasal yöntemin güncel taş hastalığı kılavuzlarında taşı tip ve bileşenlerini kategorize etmedeki etkinliğini araştırıldı.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Mart 2014 ile Eylül 2015 tarihleri arasında toplam 198 üriner sistem taşının kimyasal incelemesi yapıldı. Kalsiyum, oksalat, ürik asit, magnezyum, fosfat, cysteine, ammonium, and carbonate taşı bileşenlerini içeren石头 were among components detected in stone composition. Stones were divided into groups based on presence of 1, 2, or 3 or more components. Composition results were compared with stone composition data provided in global guidelines.

Bulgular: İncelene sonuda 65 (%32.9) örnek tek mineral dişindaki石头 contained more than 1. Of the total, 107 (54%) compositions were included in European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines. The 107 samples included 45 (22.7%) with components of calcium oxalate, 22 (11.6%) of calcium phosphate, 11 (6.1%) of calcium and uric acid, 10 (5.0%) of uric acid, 7 (3.5%) of cysteine, 7 (3.5%) of carbonate apatite, 4 (2.0%) of ammonium urate, and 1 (0.5%) of magnesium, ammonium, and phosphate. However, 91 (46%) stones consisted of components that are not in current EAU guidelines.


Anahtar sözcükler: Nefrolitiazis; spektroskopi; taşı analizi.
Introduction

Urinary system stone disease is more frequently seen in developed countries, with prevalence that varies between 1% and 20%.[1,2] In addition to obesity, metabolic syndrome, and type 2 diabetes,[3,4] factors such as calcium-deficient diet, and diet rich in salt and animal protein increase the incidence of the disease.[2,5] More than 100 chemical components have been defined in urinary system stones; however, multiple underlying molecular mechanisms of the disease have not been clarified yet.[6] Stone analysis is important in order to be able to prevent recurrence. In all cases of recurrence[2,7] following long-term stone-free period achieved with pharmacological treatment, chemical analysis of the stone is recommended.[2]

This study was an investigation of the effectiveness of chemical method for analysis of components and categorization of urinary stones as described in current European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines.

Patients and Methods

Chemical analysis of stones was performed in the clinical biochemistry laboratory of Gülhane Military Medical Academy with the approval of the Gülhane Military Medical Academy Ethics Committee between March 2014 and September 2015. Stone samples brought in by patients and those removed using ureteroscopy or during percutaneous renal surgery were crushed into small fragments with mechanical lithotriptors. Fragments were then divided among 8 test tubes. Composition of urinary system stone was analyzed according to instructions of LTA Kidney Stone Analysis Kit manufacturer (AB Analitica, Padova, Italy). Calcium, oxalate, magnesium, phosphate, uric acid, ammonium, cysteine, and carbonate found in the stones were analyzed quantitatively.

Results

A total of 198 urinary system stones were analyzed. Majority (n=133; 67.1%) consisted of multiple mineral components, while single component was found in remainder (n=65; 32.9%).

Analysis results of 107 (54%) samples were consistent with EAU guideline description. Composition consisted of calcium oxalate (n=45; 22.7%), calcium phosphate (n=22; 11.6%), calcium and uric acid (n=11; 6.1%), uric acid (n=10; 5%), cysteine (n=7; 3.5%), carbonate apatite (n=4; 2%), ammonium urate (n=4; 2%), and magnesium ammonium phosphate (n=1; 0.5%).

Chemical analysis of 91 (46%) stone samples revealed mineral components and combinations of components that are not in current EAU guidelines, including magnesium phosphate (n=27; 13.6%); phosphate (n=22; 11.1%); magnesium (n=18; 9%); magnesium, calcium, and phosphate (n=10; 5%); calcium and magnesium (n=5; 2.5%); magnesium and ammonium (n=2; 1%); ammonium phosphate (n=2; 1%); uric acid and phosphate (n=2; 1%); ammonium phosphate and urate (n=1; 0.5%); magnesium, ammonium, and calcium (n=1; 0.5%); and calcium phosphate, magnesium, and urate (n=1; 0.5%) (Table 1).

Discussion

Urinary system stone disease is a prevalent health problem affecting millions of people worldwide. In developed countries, most often upper urinary system stones are seen; however, in developing countries, endemic infantile bladder lithiasis may be seen.[1] Prevalence rate of stone disease in the United States of America has been reported as nearly 12% and 6% among male and female populations, respectively.[11] Turkey has a high (15%) incidence of urinary system stone disease.[12] Furthermore, high recurrence rate within 5 and 10 years after first painful episode of stone disease (50% and 80–90%, respectively) mandates pursuit of an effective strategy for diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of this disease.[13] In order to prevent not only urinary system diseases, but also bone, parathyroid, and many endocrine disorders, as well as their related complications, metabolic evaluation guidelines should be complied with, and stone analysis should be first among these assessments. For correct classification, in addition to evaluation of basic laboratory tests, urinalysis, i.e., microscopic examination of urine sample and/or urine culture, serum creat-
inine, uric acid, calcium, sodium, potassium, C-reactive protein (CRP), whole blood count, and a reliable stone analysis should be performed. Variation in composition of stone from initial sample may occur over time, and subsequent stone may be of still another composition; therefore, analysis should be repeated in recurrent cases. Stone analysis can reveal risk factors for stone disease, as well as identify treatment targeted to prevent stone formation or dissolve an existing stone (litholysis). Stones containing brushite (CaHPO₄.2H₂O), uric acid, and urate signal high risk for recurrence. Stone analysis assists in establishment of diagnosis of specific metabolic disorders and can indicate if the patient would benefit from shock wave lithotripsy. In addition, it can reveal drug metabolites, such as triamterene and indanavir, which can induce stone formation.

Method to be used for stone analysis may vary according to type of sample, cost-effectiveness of method, lengthy duration of analysis, and experience of the analyst. Most frequently used methods of stone analysis include XRD, IRS, and polarization microscope. Each method has its own advantages and disadvantages. Low error rate and cost-effectiveness have made IRS most preferred method. Rapid results and ability to analyze even small stones with high degree of accuracy are additional advantages of this method. Chemical stone analysis method permits quantitative and qualitative determination of limited number of ions. It does not allow for identification of crystalloid structures. For instance, it cannot discriminate between calcium oxalate monohydrate and dihydrate stones. Furthermore, chemical analysis cannot identify xanthine, 2,8-Dihydroxyadenine, or medication-related stones. Error rates for this method have been reported as 6–94% and 13–47% for stones with 1 and 2 mineral components, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Stone composition</th>
<th>Chemical symbol for mineral composition of stone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>Calcium oxalate</td>
<td>CaOx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>Calcium phosphate</td>
<td>CaPO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Calcium + uric acid</td>
<td>Ca+C₅H₄N₄O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Uric acid</td>
<td>C₅H₄N₄O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Cysteine</td>
<td>(SCH₂CH(NH₂)COOH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Carbonate apatite</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium muriate</td>
<td>NH₄C₅H₅N₃O₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Magnesium ammonium phosphate</td>
<td>MgNH₄PO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stones not in EAU guidelines (n=91; 46%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>Magnesium phosphate</td>
<td>MgPO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>PO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td>Mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Magnesium calcium phosphate</td>
<td>MgCaPO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Calcium magnesium</td>
<td>CaMg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Magnesium ammonium</td>
<td>MgNH₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ammonium phosphate</td>
<td>NH₄PO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Uric acid phosphate</td>
<td>C₅H₄N₃O₃-Po₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Ammonium urate phosphate</td>
<td>NH₄C₅H₄N₃O₃-Po₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Magnesium ammonium calcium</td>
<td>MgNH₄Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Calcium phosphate magnesium urate</td>
<td>CaPO₃MgNH₄C₅H₄N₃O₃</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EAU: European Association of Urology.

Table 1. Types of stones and components detected in the study
In our study, 107 (54%) stones with single or multiple mineral components as detected by our chemical analysis were in accordance with stone types indicated in EAU guidelines (Table 2), while 91 (46%) were not described in the guidelines. Most frequently, calcium oxalate (22%) and calcium phosphate (11.6%) stones were detected. In another study performed in this country with 6453 patients, most common types of stones found were whewellite (calcium oxalate monohydrate) (55.7%), whewellite plus weddellite (calcium oxalate dihydrate) (18.8%), and weddellite: 5.9%).

Data we obtained as a result of chemical method of analysis of urinary system stones were not consistent with the literature data, and did not meet current guidelines. Stone composition has important role in patient monitoring, appropriate dietary recommendations, and arrangement of pharacotherapies, and should be determined with greater accuracy. Inaccurate results may lead to problems in diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up.

**Conclusion**

Urinary system stone disease is a widely seen health problem. Stone analysis is an indispensable part of diagnosis and treatment of this disease. Since treatment is different depending on stone type, establishment of accurate analysis and diagnosis is of utmost importance.
importance. Quantitative analysis is possible using chemical methods; however, if sample of adequate size is not available and test cannot be completed in its entirety, results obtained cannot be interpreted. Although chemical methods had been used frequently in the past, its use should be abandoned under current conditions because of its many disadvantages. University and training and research hospitals should be supported regarding need to have appropriate stone analyzers.
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