

## Kabul Edilmiş Araştırma Makalesi (Düzenlenmemiş Sürüm)

## Accepted Research Article (Uncorrected Version)

### Makale Başlığı / Title

Thermal degradation kinetics of rutin and total phenolic compounds in rosehip (*Rosa canina* L) nectar

Kuşburnu (*Rosa canina* L) nektarında rutin ve toplam fenolik bileşiklerin ısı parçalanma kinetiği

### Yazarlar / Authors

Çetin KADAKAL, Tolga DUMAN

### Referans No / Reference No

PAJES-03779

### DOI

10.5505/pajes.2017.03779

Bu PDF dosyası yukarıda bilgileri verilen kabul edilmiş araştırma makalesini içermektedir. Sayfa düzeni, dizgileme ve son inceleme işlemleri henüz tamamlanmamış olduğundan, bu düzenlenmemiş sürüm bazı üretim ve dizgi hataları içerebilir.

This PDF file contains the accepted research article whose information given above. Since copyediting, typesetting and final review processes are not completed yet, this uncorrected version may include some production and typesetting errors.



(α j ® © š " . Ÿ j £ ® š Ÿ š ° ¥ « a . § ¥ a j ° ¥ œ - . « ¢ . ® ± ° .  
® « - j & ¥ - š . œ š a . ¥ a j š œ ° " š ®  
Ž ± > ± ® & Ÿ ± š . œ š a . ¥ § š š ® ± a ° Ÿ ¥ š a . 2 j . ° « - . š © . ¢ j  
á - á " . - š ® Ç š " š a © š . § ¥ a j ° ¥ Ô ¥  
? j . ¥ a . Ž 1\*\* Tolga DUMAN

1,2Food Engineering Department, Engineering Faculty, Pamukkale University, Denizli  
ckadaka@pau.edu.tr, thitdauthor@mail.address

Received / fi j " ¥ : 09.02.2017 Accepted / Kabul Tarihi 31.05.2017  
\* Corresponding author / ş ş á á " ş a - ş ş ®

doi: 10.5507/pajes.2017.03779  
Research Article / ş ş ° á ® © ş

Abstract

In this research it is attempted to determine the loss of rutin and phenolic compounds in rosehip nectar with the heating periods of 10, 15, 20 and 30 min at temperatures ranging from 70 to 90 °C. Spectrophotometric and liquid chromatographic (HPLC) methods were used to determine the concentrations of phenolic compounds and rutin, respectively. Thermal degradations of TPC and rutin in rosehip nectar were fitted to a first-order reaction kinetic model. Arrhenius relationship was used in order to describe the temperature dependence of reaction. Activation energies for TPC and rutin were determined as 4690 and 3572 J/mol, respectively.

Keywords: Degradation kinetics, HPLC, Phenolic, Rosehip nectar, Rutin, Water soluble vitamin

Özet  
Bu çalışmada, şarapın rutinin ve fenolik bileşenlerin kaybolmasını belirlemek için 10, 15, 20 ve 30 dakika sürelerle 70, 80, 90 ve 95 °C sıcaklıklarda ısıtılmasına gidilmiştir. Spektrofotometrik ve sıvı kromatografik (HPLC) yöntemleri kullanılarak şarapta rutin ve fenolik bileşenlerin konsantrasyonları belirlenmiştir. Rutin ve toplam fenolik bileşenlerin (TPC) şarapta termal bozunumları sırasıyla birinci derece kinetik reaksiyon modeliyle uyumlandırılmıştır. Arrhenius ilişkisi, reaksiyon hızının sıcaklığa bağlı olarak değişimini tanımlamak için kullanılmıştır. Rutin ve TPC için aktivasyon enerjileri sırasıyla 3572 ve 4690 J/mol olarak hesaplanmıştır.

1 Introduction

The main native land of Rosehip (*Rosa canina*) L belongs to the plant family of Rosaceae West Asia-North Europe [1],[2] and Middle East-North-East Anatolia of Turkey [3]. Rosehip is a good source of some biologically active compounds. The main importance of rosehip is its high composition of vitamin C and rutin (vitamin P) and high content of pectins, fatty acids, sugars, organic acids, phenolic compounds, lycopene, carotene with activity of vitamin A, group B vitamins and vitamins K and P, carotenoids, minerals (particularly K and P) macromolecules and microelements [4]-[8]. Rosehip is the fruit with the highest level of vitamin C, as well as an important source of rutin (vitamin P). The other important food sources of rutin are buckwheat, onion, lemon, apple, orange, and grapefruit [9] and tea [10]. Rutin, a compound of the flavonoid class as flavanoid glycoside, consists of quercetin and the disaccharide rhamnose [11]-[12] and has antioxidant [13], anti-inflammatory [14], neuroprotective [12],[15], antihyperglycaemic [16] and antimicrobial [17] activities. Phenolic compounds are separated into two groups as phenolic acids and flavonoids. Flavonoids are polyphenolic antioxidants found in natural herbal teas, fruits and vegetables [18]. Some of the phenolic compounds are effective in the formation of the flavor components of fruits and vegetables, particularly in the formation of two important flavors such as bitterness and sourness in the mouth. Some of them provide the colors of fruits and vegetables in yellow, red, orange, red-blue tones [19],[20]. Phenolics have antioxidant,

antimutagenic and anticharionigenic effects and are capable of altering gene expression [21],[22]. Rosehips are very rich in phenolics [23]. The total phenolic content (TPC) of *Rosa canina* has been found to be 96 mg gallic acid equivalent/g dry weight [22]. Several analytical techniques such as spectrophotometric and liquid chromatographic [24][25] have been tested for rutin analysis. HPLC is the preferential method for rutin separation in terms of rapid, accurate and sensitive quantitative determination. While maintaining quality factors in maximum level in safe food production, kinetic models for thermal treatments are necessary in food production design [30]. Thus, technological control and prediction of quality in foods can be achieved by kinetic modeling of food changes [35]. As far as we know, no report was published about the HPLC determination of rutin content of rosehip pulp or nectar and thermal degradation of rutin and TPC of rosehip nectar. In this study it was aimed

- To determine the rutin and TPC changes during thermal treatments (70, 80, 90 and 95 °C).
- To determine the degradation kinetics and TPC in rosehip nectar with different thermal processing (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 minutes) at 70, 80, 90 and 95 °C.
- To define degradation reactions with the determination of kinetic parameters (reaction rate constant, order of reaction, activation energy, Q and half-life).

## 2 Material and methods

### 2.1 Fruits

The dried rosehip fruit (*Rosa canina*) were provided from a well known producer in the Marmara Region of Turkey. Nearly 250 kilograms of dried fruits were transferred in sacks to the laboratory of Pamukkale University, Denizli, Turkey and processed for rosehip nectar.

### 2.2 Production of rosehip nectar

The rosehip fruit, which is washed under tap water in a clean container, is ground by a fruit grinder. During the milling of the fruit, about 1.5 times the water weight of the fruit is added [32]. The pulp was obtained by passing through screens of 1.6 and 0.4 mm pore size. According to Turkish Food Code, nectar is defined as unfermented product prepared with addition of water, sugar or honey into fruit pulp or puree. The pulp was processed to nectar by sugar, citric acid and water supplement up to 12 brix with minimum 40% puree and maximum 10% sugar. So, the acidity and water content of the pulp were adjusted to 5.5 g/l and 16% to obtain rosehip nectar, respectively. After cooling in a water bath at 20°C, the nectar was transferred to pyrex tubes (75x10 mm ID) for the treatment of thermal degradation and storage.

### 2.3 Thermal treatment

The thermal treatments of rutin and TPC were studied at 70, 80, 90 and 95°C. Rosehip nectar samples of 25 mL were heated in pyrex tubes (75 x 10 mm ID) placed in a thermostatic water bath (Model 3047, Kottmann, Germany). The application was started after the temperatures of the samples measured by thermocouples reached the desired temperature. It took less than 8 minutes to reach the desired temperature in all heating treatments. The caps of the tubes were tightly closed and placed in a thermostatic water bath. After removal of nectar samples at regular periods they were quickly cooled under running water. Rutin and TPC were determined using three test tubes removed from the thermostatic water bath every 5 minutes. The results were performed in triplicate and the reaction rate constants of each temperature were calculated in triplicate.

### 2.4 Selection of temperatures and heating periods

Depending on the heating time, about 70°C is the lowest value used in industrial scale production of rosehip nectar. On the other hand, in the conventional production method used in Turkey, the bottle nectar is left in boiling water for about 20-30 minutes in open type boilers. Thus, the temperature of rosehip nectar in the bottle reaches about 95°C.

### 2.5 Analysis of rutin

#### 2.5.1 Equipment

A liquid chromatography (Shimadzu corporation, Kyoto, Japan) system consisting of a VWD DAD detector, (Model SPD-M10 AVP, Shimadzu), a column oven (Model CTO-10ASVP, Shimadzu), a quadruple liquid chromatography pump (Model LC10AT-VP, Shimadzu), a degasser (Model DGU 14A, Shimadzu) and a Shimadzu Software Program used for the analysis. Additionally, a reverse phase Discovery 1C column (15 cm x 4.6 mm ID, 5 µm particle size, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used.

504955) from SUPELCO (Bellefonte, PA, USA) was used in the HPLC system.

### 2.6 Reagents

HPLC grade methanol and extra pure potassium dihydrogen phosphate were supplied from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Doubly distilled and deionized water was used in the experiments. Analytical reagent grade standard of rutin was obtained from Sigma Chemical Company (Deisenhofen, Germany). Five different concentrations of rutin standard prepared in mobile phase were used for the preparation of calibration curve. All solutions were stored in dark glass flasks in refrigeration against the negative effects of light. The point calibration curve covering the range of 250 ppm with the correlation coefficients of 0.999 was based on the concentration (mg/L) versus peak area (mAU), was prepared by using stock standard solutions of rutin. Triplicate injections with each standard solution were made for the preparation of calibration curve.

### 2.7 Sample preparation (Solid phase extraction=SPE)

Many components of rosehip cause chromatographic interferences with rutin HPLC. Therefore, a SPE with Sep-Pak C18 (500 mg) cartridges that let the separation of rutin and remove most of the interfering components were used in sample preparation. A 25 mL deionized water were added into 5 mL rosehip nectar (Dilution factor, F=6). Following the homogenization of the mixture using a homogenizer at medium speed for 1 min, homogenized mixture was centrifuged for 10 min at 1400 rpm (Model 26, Sigma Bioblock Scientific). The extraction of rutin was carried out with some modification of SPE method. A 10 ml methanol and 10 ml water adjusted at pH 4.2 was used in flushing for the activation of stationary phase. Following the activation of stationary phase, both homogenized and centrifuged rosehip nectar (10 mL) was loaded. The pH value of the acidified water was prepared by the addition of 0.005 M HCl solution drop by drop until a predetermined pH value was reached. The sample was eluted with water (5 mL, pH=7) and 10 ml methanol at a flow rate of 1ml/min. Following the elution, eluents were collected in a bottle and evaporated to dryness. The residue dissolving of the residue on a 100 µl methanol solution (Schell, Darmstadt, Germany), was injected into the HPLC column for the quantitative determination of rutin.

### 2.8 Methods

A photodiode array detector set at 204 nm for the determination of rutin was used to achieve the accurate monitoring. Following the degassing of mobile phase by elution solvents, used in the HPLC analysis, were 0.1 mol/L potassium dihydrogen phosphate (pH: 7) and methanol (90:10) with the flow rate of 0.7 ml/min. The column was operated at 25°C. Thus, chromatographic data on the peaks were integrated up to 12 min. Integrated area for sample and the corresponding standard was used for the calculation of the rutin concentration.

### 2.9 Recovery of rutin

Rosehip nectar samples containing known amount of rutin was spiked with the two addition levels of standard rutin for the determination of recovery. For this purpose, six injections were carried out for each addition level.

### 2.10 Calculation of kinetic parameters

A general equation for thermal degradation kinetics can be written as given below [34]

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = -kC^m \quad (1)$$

Where,

- C : quantitative amount (mg/L) of the constituent
- k : reaction rate constant,
- m : order of the reaction.

After the integration of Eq. (1), the equation for first order kinetics can be written as:

$$\ln \frac{[C]_0}{[C]_t} = kt \quad (2)$$

Where  $[C]_0$  and  $[C]_t$  are the initial and residual vitamin, respectively,  $k$  is the reaction rate constant ( $1/\text{min}$ ) and  $t$  represents the time (min).

Temperature dependence of rutin TPC was described by the Arrhenius equation 3, given below

$$\ln k = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{RT} \quad (3)$$

Where  $k$  is the rate constant (milligrams rutin TPC per min),  $A$  the frequency factor (per min), the activation energy (kilojoules per mole), the absolute temperature (K), and  $R$  is the universal gas constant ( $8.314 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kJ/mol K}$ );

Quotient indicator ( $Q_{10}$ ) is another technique for the expression of the dependence of reaction rate on temperature and calculated with the equation 4:

$$Q_{10} = \frac{k_2}{k_1} \quad (4)$$

Where  $T$  is temperature in  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are the rate constants of rutin and/or TPC degradation at temperatures  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , respectively.

The time required degrading 50% of original concentrations of rutin and TPC was calculated using the equation 5 given below:

$$t_{50} = \frac{\ln 2}{k} \quad (5)$$

Where  $k$  is the reaction rate constant (per min)

### 2.11 Analysis of TPC

TPC of rosehip nectar was determined by using the Folin Ciocalteu spectrophotometric method. For this purpose, 40, 80, 120, 160 and 200 ppm concentrations of gallic acid solutions were prepared. In 75 ml of distilled water, 1 ml of sample was mixed in volumetric flask (100 ml) followed by the addition of 5 ml Folin Ciocalteu and let to stand for 3 min. Then, saturated sodium carbonate solution (10 mL, 20%) was added and shaken well again. The volumetric flask was then filled with distilled water up to 100 ml. A UV/VIS spectrophotometer (T80, PG Instruments, UK) was used for reading the absorbance of the resultant solution at 720 nm after 1 h standing in a dark place. Quantification was based on the standard curve of gallic acid (200 mg/L) which was dissolved in deionized water and expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per liter.

### 2.12 Further determinations

AOAC [35] method was used for the analysis of total solid (%), water soluble solid (°B), pH and total acidity (dry tartaric acid). The amounts of total sugars in the rosehip nectar were determined according to the Lane method [36]

### 2.13 Statistical analysis

SAS software [37] was used for the statistical analysis of all data. Data means were compared using least significant difference test, when analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed a significant effect ( $P < 0.05$ ).

## 3 Results and discussion

In the world literature, the number of studies on rutin values and rutin changes of foodstuffs is limited. Studies are mostly in the field of medicine. However, as far as we know there have been no degradation kinetic studies on the rutin and TPC and HPLC determination of rutin in rosehip nectar. This work is important to complete lack of the world literature.

As known, each component has a wavelength that gives maximum absorbance such as rutin. So, the detection wavelength was set at max absorption wavelength of rutin for higher sensitivity. As shown in Figure 1, rutin is separated well and a good separation achieved in 12 min. Compound identification was achieved by the comparison of its retention time value and UV spectra with the standard reference compound of data bank. Two unknown peaks were also detected in the chromatogram. However, no interference between the rutin and unknown peaks were observed.




Figure 1: Separation of rutin by isocratic elution with potassium dihydrogen phosphate (pH: 7) and methanol (90:10)

### 3.1 Analytical characteristic of the HPLC method

#### 3.1.1 Linearity, Detection limit, Recovery and precision

Linearity of standard curve, detection limit, precision and recovery of method for determination of rutin in rosehip nectar is shown in Table 1. An R value of 0.999 was obtained for rutin. Coefficient of determination was determined as 99.82%. The detection limit for rutin, based on S/N (signal/noise) of [38] was 0.5 ppm. The method reliability was confirmed by two recovery experiments. Standard addition procedure was used for the recovery test. Thus, 30 and 50 mg concentrations of rutin standards were added to the samples. In each addition level, six determinations were realized. The average percentage recovery of rutin in rosehip nectar was 99.82%. The method precision was evaluated using the same reagents and apparatus under the same experimental conditions with six determinations of the same rosehip nectar sample. In addition, intraday and interday tests were applied for the calculation of precision and the results were expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD, %). The evidence of good precision for HPLC is low RSD



value that determined (2.20%) in our study. In addition, the low RSD value also shows variability of the data.

### 3.2 Characteristics of rosehip nectar samples used

The characteristics of the rosehip nectar used in the study are as follows: Total phenolic compounds (mg GAE/L) 16.2, Soluble solids (Bx) 3.50, pH 3.50, and TPC (mg/L) 1967. Catechin per liter, respectively (Duru et al. 2012).

### 3.3 Thermal degradation of TPC and rutin in rosehip nectar

Thermal stability of TPC and rutin in rosehip nectar were studied at 70, 80, 90 and 95°C. As seen from Figure 2 and 3, the degradations of TPC and rutin are fitted to first-order kinetic models. As the heating temperature and time increased, the degradation of TPC and rutin increased. A linear relation, which shows the plot of TPC and rutin in rosehip nectar, correlates to first-order degradation kinetics with the correlation coefficient above 0.98 for all cases. It is pointed out that statistical differences between zero or first-order reactions may be insignificant [34]. On the other hand, there are no published data on the thermal degradation of TPC and rutin in the literature.




Figure 2 First order kinetic plot of TPC thermal degradation in rosehip nectar at four different temperatures.




Figure 3 First order kinetic plot of rutin thermal degradation in rosehip nectar at four different temperatures.

Table 2 shows the degradation rate constants (k) and half-lives ( $t_{1/2}$ ) for TPC and rutin in rosehip nectar. The linear inclination of the plot of  $\ln(C/C_0)$  against time was used in order to estimate the degradation rate constants. The rate constants of TPC and rutin increased with the increment of temperature. This result suggests that degradation of TPC and rutin are temperature dependent. TPC indicated the minimum degradation rate constant followed by rutin, suggesting that rutin is less inclined to degradation than TPC. The rate constant of rutin increased slightly with the increment of

temperature from 70 to 80 and 90 to 95, but increased significantly from 80 to 90. The results imply that rutin was very unstable at 95°C as approved by higher values resulting a fast degradation. In contrast, the rate constant of TPC increased significantly from 70 to 80 and increased slightly with the increment of temperature from 80 to 90. The values of rutin and TPC varied between  $5.6 \times 10^{-3}$ – $16.5 \times 10^{-3}$  and  $1.08 \times 10^{-3}$ – $2.8 \times 10^{-3}$  at temperatures between 70 and 95°C, respectively (Table 1). Consequently, the degradation of TPC and rutin increased with the increment of heating temperature and time.

As shown in Table 1, with the increment of temperature the half-life values ( $t_{1/2}$ ) for rutin and TPC decreased. According to the  $t_{1/2}$  values, rutin and TPC degrade faster at higher temperatures. Rutin showed the highest values when compared with TPC at the same temperatures. This result indicates the higher thermal stability of rutin at the same temperatures in rosehip nectar when compared with TPC. If the logarithm of the rate constants vs reciprocal of absolute temperatures process to a linear coordinate system, the slope of the linear curve gives the value of  $E_a/R$  (Figure 4). Activation energies for rutin and TPC degradation in rosehip nectar were different at temperature between 70°C. Rutin showed the highest activation energy, followed by TPC. These results suggest that rutin is more stable to thermal degradation in rosehip nectar compared to TPC. The high activation energy of a reaction indicates that this reaction is very sensitive to temperature change. Therefore, rutin and TPC of rosehip nectar are more susceptible to thermal degradation. The calculated  $E_a$  values were 572 and 4690 J/mol for the degradations of TPC and rutin, respectively.




Figure 4 Arrhenius plots for degradation of TPC and rutin in rosehip nectar during heating

For that reason, the calculated values of rutin and TPC are compared to the literature reported values of other sources. Table 2 shows the  $Q_{10}$  values of rutin and TPC in rosehip nectar at temperatures ranging from 70 to 95°C. The highest  $Q_{10}$  values for rutin and TPC are obtained within the ranges of 80 to 90°C. This result indicates that the thermal degradation of rutin and TPC is highly affected with the temperatures in the ranges of 80 to 90°C. The  $Q_{10}$  values of rutin and TPC are the same, indicating that within this range the degradation kinetics are very few affected by the temperature change.

Table 1 Linearity of standard curve and detection limit, recovery and precision of method for determination of rutin in rosehip nectar

Vitamin	Linear range (mg/L)	R	r <sup>2</sup>	Detection limit (mg/L)	Initial content (mg/L)	Content after addition (mg/L) <sup>a</sup>	Recovery (%)		Precision	
							Mean	S.D. <sup>b</sup>	R.S.D. (%)	
Rutin	5.0-250.0	0.999	99.82	0.5	299.80	102.3	102.3	2.20		

<sup>a</sup>: 50 mg for rutin, <sup>b</sup>: 1.5 mg

Table 2 Effect of temperature on the activation energy (E<sub>a</sub>), reaction rate constant (k), t<sub>1/2</sub> and Q<sub>10</sub> values of rutin and TPC.

Vitamin	T (C)	k 10 <sup>3</sup> (1/min)	t <sub>1/2</sub> (min)	Q <sub>10</sub>			E <sub>a</sub> (J/mol)
				70-80 C	80-90 C	90-95 C	
Rutin	70	5.6	123.75				4690
	80	8.0	86.63				
	90	14.7	47.14	1.43	1.84	1.26	
	95	16.5	42.00				
Total Phenolic Compounds	70	10.8	64.16				3572
	80	25.9	26.76	2.4	1.03	1.10	
	90	26.7	25.96				
	95	28.0	24.5				

#### 4 Conclusion

Thermal degradation of rutin and TPC of rosehip nectar heated at various temperatures were evaluated first time in the world. Degradation of rutin and TPC followed first order kinetics. As the temperature and heating time increased, degradation rate of rutin and TPC increased. The best temperature for the less reduction of rutin and TPC of rosehip nectar producing industry may benefit from the results of this study when controlling amount of rutin and TPC in production process. The consequences of this research will assist the rosehip nectar industry in minimum loss of rutin and TPC. These parameters are important quality criteria for rosehip nectar. Therefore, knowing the degradation kinetics of rutin and TPC is important. In addition, there is no study on the thermal degradation of rutin and TPC of rosehip nectar. Therefore, this study will shed light on future studies

#### 5 Acknowledgement

This work was supported by research Grant F20178 from Research Project Fund (BAP) of Pamukkale University. The authors thank the YussuFood AS (Yuksel DEMIR) for providing the rosehip

#### 6 References

[1] Yamankaradeniz R. / *Journal of Food Science* 54(4), 151-156, 1983.  
 [2] Artik N, / *Journal of Food Science* 59(1), 86-94, 2016.  
 [3] Davis PH. *Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands* 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Edinburgh, Scotland: Edinburgh University Press, 1972.

[4] ( ) μ s i \* Effects of different maturity periods and processes on nutritional components of rose hip (*Rosa canina* L.). *Food Agric Environ* 8(1), 263Q 2010.  
 [5] Tuer DF, Russel P. *The nutrition and Health Encyclopedia* 1<sup>st</sup> ed. New York, USA: Nostrand Reinhold, 1989.  
 [6] Demir F, j ç š a Chemical and technological properties of rosehip (*Rosa canina*) fruits grown wild in T± S j *Journal of Food Engineering* 47(4)330336, 2001. ( ç j Roman L . . ½ a ¥ "Bioactive' compounds and antioxidant activity of *Rosa canina* L biotypes from spontaneous flora of Transylvania *Chemistry Central Journal* 7(73),1-10. 2013.  
 [8] Abaci ZT, Zarifikhosroshahi M, Kafkas E, Sevindik E. Chemical composition, volatiles, and antioxidant activity of *rosaiberica* Stev Hips. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Hortorum Cultu* 15(1), 4154.2016.  
 [9] Attia TZ. Simultaneous determination of rutin and ascorbic acid mixture in their pure forms and combined dosage form *Spectrochimica Acta Part A Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy* 169, 8286 2016.  
 [10] Kuntic V, Pejić, Ivkovic B, Vujic Z, Ilic K, Miodunovic V. Isocratic RP-HPLC method for rutin determination in solid oral dosage forms *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis* 43(2), 718-721, 2007  
 [11] Kicel A, Owczarek AMichelP, Skalicka+ ç a ¥, Křss Ž A, OlzewskaM. Application of HPLC, UHPLC, HPLC-ESI-MS3 and HPLC-ESI-MS3 and HPLC-ESI-MS3 methods for rapid, step preparative separation and quantification of rutin in *Forstia flow* *Industrial Crops and Products*, 76, 86-94, 2016.  
 [12] - á " Y S i @ z S YA « Ö s A - s © s Determination of phenolic acids and rutin in *Heliotropium thermophilum* by high performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array detection *Instrumentation Science & Technology* 45(1), 3-8, 2017.

- [13] Korkmaz, A.; Kolankaya, D. Protective effect of rutin on the ischemia/reperfusion induced damage in rat kidney *Journal of Surgery Research* 164(2), 309-315, 2010.
- [14] Lee WK, Bae JS. Barrier protective effects of rutin in lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation in vitro and in vivo *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 50(9), 3048-3055, 2012.
- [15] Tongjaroenbuangam W, Ruksee N, Chantiratikul P, Pakdeenarong N, Kongbuntad W, Govitrapong P. Neuroprotective effects of quercetin, rutin and okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) in dexamethasone-treated mice. *Neurochemistry International* 59(5), 677-685, 2011.
- [16] Kamalakkannan N, Prindes SM. Antihyperglycaemic and antioxidant effect of rutin, a polyphenolic flavonoid, in streptozotocin-induced diabetic wistar rats *Basic and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology* 99(1), 97-103, 2006.
- [17] Singh M, Govindarajan R, Rawat AKS, Khatri B. Antimicrobial flavonoid rutin from *Pteris vittata* L. against pathogenic gastrointestinal microflora *American Fern Journal* 98(2), 98-103, 2008.
- [18] M. Meyve ve sebzelerde bulunan flavonoidlerin sağlık üzerindeki etkileri. *Elektronik Dergisi* 5(1), 20-35, 2010.
- [19] Biyokimya. Temel Kavramlar: 3. baskı. Pamukkale Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi. 2002.
- [20] Cvejic JH, Krstonosic MA, Bursac M, Miljic U. *Polyphenols*. Editors: Galanakis M. *Nutraceutical and Functional Food Components: Effects of Innovative Processing Techniques* 203-238, London, UK, Elsevier Academic Press, 2017.
- [21] Nakamura Y, Watanabe S, Miyake N, Osawa T. Dihydrochalcones: evaluation as novel radical scavenging antioxidants *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 51(11), 3309-3312, 2003.
- [22] Ercisli S. Chemical composition of fruits in some rose (*Rosa* spp.) species *Food Chemistry* 104(4), 1379-1384, 2007.
- [23] Olsson M, Andersson S, Werlemark G, Uggla M, Gustavsson KE. Carotenoids and phenolics in raspberries. *Acta Horticulturae*, 690, 249-253, 2005.
- [24] Kuntic V, Vukojacic V. Spectrophotometric investigation of the complexing reaction between rutin and tannic acid in 50% ethanol. *Journal of Chemical Education* 76(7), 1310-1314, 1999.
- [25] Daigle DJ, Konkerton EJ. Analysis of flavonoids by HPLC: an update *Journal of Liquid Chromatography* 1(2), 309-325, 1988.
- [26] Leite JPV, Rastrelli L, Romussi C, Oliveira AB, Vilegas JHY, Vilegas W, Pizza C. Isolation and HPLC Quantitative Analysis of Flavonoid Glycosides from Brazilian Beverages (*Maytenus ilicifolia* and *M. aquifolium*) *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 49(8), 3796-3801, 2001.
- [27] Li WK, Fitzloff JF. High performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array detection *Journal of Chromatography B: Biomedical Sciences and Applications* 765(1), 99-105, 2001.
- [28] Menghinello P, Cucchiari B, Palma F, Agostini D, Stocchi V. Simultaneous analysis of flavonoid aglycones in natural products using an HPLC method. *Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies* 22(19), 3007-3018, 1999.
- [29] Pang N, Malike D, Liu H. Simultaneous determination of main bioactive components in *Rosa multiflora thunbergii* and their fragmentation study by LC-MS *Chromatographia* 70(7-8), 1253-1257, 2009.
- [30] Avila IMLB, Silva LM. Modelling kinetics of thermal degradation of color in peach puree *Journal of Food Engineering* 39(2), 161-166, 1999.
- [31] Van Boekel MAJ. *Kinetic Modeling of Reactions in Foods* 1st ed. London, UK, CRC Press, 2008.
- [32] Nas'ri Ö. *Yüksek Performanslı Sıvı Kromatografi*. 24(2), 142-150, 1993.
- [33] Cho CM, Ko JH, Cheong WJ. Simultaneous determination of water-soluble vitamins excreted in human urine after eating an overdose of vitamin pills by a HPLC method coupled with a solid phase extraction *Talanta* 51(4), 799-806, 2000.
- [34] Labuza TP, Riboh D. Theory and application of Arrhenius kinetics to the prediction of nutrient losses in foods. *Food Technology* 36(10), 67-74, 1982.
- [35] AOAC. *Official Methods of Analysis* 15th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, USA, 1990.
- [36] Cemeroglu B. *Yüksek Performanslı Sıvı Kromatografi*. 1992.
- [37] *Yüksek Performanslı Sıvı Kromatografi* 5th ed. Cary, NC: SAS Institute International, 1985.
- [38] Li, HB, Chen F. Determination of silicate in water by ion exclusion chromatography with conductivity detection *Journal of Chromatography A*, 874(1), 143-147, 2000.