

Daytime sleepiness, functionality, and stress levels in chronic neck pain and effects of physical medicine and rehabilitation therapies on these situations

 Selcuk Sayilir

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Mugla Sitki Kocman University Faculty of Medicine, Mugla, Turkey

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the relationship between symptom severity, daytime sleepiness, and perceived stress levels and the impact of physical medicine & rehabilitation (PMR) therapies on these situations in chronic neck pain (CNP) conditions.

METHODS: The study included 54 patients with CNP and 20 healthy control individuals. Patients with CNP were divided into two groups: the PMR therapy group (n=34) and the CNP control group (n=20). The PMR therapy programs of the patients included transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, hot pack application, therapeutic ultrasound, and exercises. Activity and resting visual analog scale (VAS) for neck pain, Epworth Sleepiness Scale, Perceived Stress Scale (PSS), Neck Disability Index (NDI), tragus-wall distances (TWD) and chin-manubrium distances (CMD) values were evaluated before and after the treatment programs.

RESULTS: Significant differences were found between the CNP patients and healthy controls regarding PSS, TWD, and CMD values. Furthermore, significant differences were detected between the PMR group and the CNP control group in the final evaluation of the VAS_{resting}, VAS_{activity}, PSS, and NDI levels.

CONCLUSION: Evaluation of CNP from a single point of view can leave clinically missing points. Patients with CNP should be assessed for daytime sleepiness, stress levels, and functionality, and PMR therapies can be effective in relieving pain and psychological stress in patients with CNP.

Keywords: Chronic neck pain; daytime sleepiness; functionality; rehabilitation; stress levels.

Cite this article as: Sayilir S. Daytime sleepiness, functionality, and stress levels in chronic neck pain and effects of physical medicine and rehabilitation therapies on these situations. *North Clin Istanbul*

Chronic spinal pain conditions can limit the activities of daily living, cause sleep disturbances, and increase stress levels. Chronic neck pain (CNP) can occur due to numerous reasons, including disk pathologies, degenerative changes, exercise habits, vertebrae alignment defects, and trauma [1]. It is a well-known fact that prolonged pain and disability rates in individuals with neck and back pain are high [2]. Development of chronic pain in individuals with neck and spine pain has been studied by numerous authors, and evaluation of risk for chronic spinal pain and how to approach this pain has been reported [3, 4].

Chronic spinal pain is related to the natural structure of the injury and the occupational, social, and psycho-

logical states of the patients. The relationship between chronic spinal pain and psychosocial situations has shown that psychosocial status affects the development of chronic pain, and psychosocial problems can play a role in the chronicization of spinal pain [5]. Conversely, untreated pain can result in increased levels of stress, and heavy psychological burdens may appear in this case. Additionally, sleep disturbances are associated with daytime sleepiness, which may impair personal, social, and occupational activities, leading to the need for multidisciplinary treatment in patients with CNP.

Treatment of CNP with physical agents and exercise has been performed for a long time. Superficial heaters

Received: February 28, 2017 *Accepted:* October 19, 2017 *Online:* December 03, 2018

Correspondence: Dr. Selcuk SAYILIR. Mugla Sitki Kocman Universitesi Tip Fakultesi, Fiziksel Tip ve Rehabilitasyon Anabilim Dalı, Mugla, Turkey.

Tel: +90 252 214 13 26 e-mail: selcukssay@gmail.com

© Copyright 2018 by Istanbul Provincial Directorate of Health - Available online at www.northclinist.com



can contribute to the reduction of muscle spasms [6]. Previous studies have shown that therapeutic ultrasound is effective in reducing the musculoskeletal pain conditions [7]. In addition, transcutaneous electrical stimulation (TENS) is a widely used analgesic electrical current for relieving musculoskeletal spinal pain conditions [8, 9]. Many studies have reported the positive effects of exercise therapies for decreasing pain in patients with CNP [10, 11]. The present study aims to evaluate the relationship of symptom severity, daytime sleepiness, and perceived stress levels with the short-time impact of physical medicine & rehabilitation (PMR) therapy programs in patients with CNP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study included 54 patients with CNP and 20 healthy controls. Patients with CNP were divided into two groups: the PMR therapy group (n=34) and the CNP control group (n=20). Clinical and demographic features of the individuals were evaluated. Patients with history of epidural or intramuscular corticosteroid injections, surgery history of the spine, skin ulceration around the neck, benign and malignant tumors, psychiatric disorders, sleep disorders, night sleep less than 6 h/day, and pregnancy were excluded. Local ethics committee approval was obtained for the study. Informed consents were obtained from all the subjects.

The PMR therapy program included TENS, hot pack application, therapeutic ultrasound, and exercises. In total, 10 sessions were performed for 2 weeks (5 days/week). TENS was applied by using a two-channel portable TENS unit (BTL-4620, Czech Republic) on neck for 30 min, which delivered a premixed amplitude-modulated current with 60ms pulse width and, 100 Hz frequency and intensity adjusted according to the threshold for each participant without emerging pain or contractions. Electrodes were placed crosswise in the cervical paravertebral region. Hot packs (20 min/day for 10 sessions) and therapeutic ultrasound (1-MHz frequency at an intensity of 1 W/cm² for 5 min) (BTL-4000 professional, Czech Republic) were applied. Range of motion, stretching, and strengthening (neck region muscles) exercises were given to the patients for 15 min, 5 times/week. Activity and resting visual analog scale (VAS) for neck pain, Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) [12], Perceived Stress Scale-10 (PSS) [13, 14], Neck Disability Index (NDI) [15, 16], tragus-wall distances (TWD) and chin-manubrium distances (mouth closed) (CMD) were recorded at baseline and after the therapy

programs. Post-treatment evaluations were performed on the first day following the end of the treatment. In addition, the CNP control group was evaluated twice: at the first visit and 15 days after the first visit. Throughout the study, the participants were discouraged to use analgesics; however, they were allowed to use paracetamol daily if necessary.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS for Windows, version 20.0 software program (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistical results are shown as mean \pm standard deviation of continuous data or n (%) for categorical data. Baseline characteristics were compared using χ^2 and student's t-tests where appropriate. Pre- and post-treatment results were evaluated through paired sample t-tests. The significant p value was evaluated as <0.05.

RESULTS

Fifty-four patients with CNP (mean age, 51.12 \pm 12.54 years) and 20 healthy controls (mean age, 51.45 \pm 7.74 years) were included in the study. Demographic and clinical features of the individuals are shown in Table 1. Significant differences were found between the CNP patients and healthy controls regarding PSS, TWD, and CMD

TABLE 1. Baseline demographic and clinical features of the CNP patients and the healthy controls

	CNP (n=54)	Healthy controls (n=20)	p
Age	51.12 \pm 12.54	51.45 \pm 7.74	>0.05
Sex M/F	13/41	5/15	>0.05
Disease duration (mo)	29.46 \pm 39.79		
Neck pain (%)	54 (100)		
Radicular pain (%)	21 (39)		
VAS _{resting}	6.0 \pm 2.1		
VAS _{activity}	7.05 \pm 1.80		
ESS	6.96 \pm 4.22	6.05 \pm 1.87	>0.05
NDI	56.7 \pm 12.04		
PSS	22.42 \pm 3.91	18.50 \pm 4.76	<0.05
TWD (cm)	11.37 \pm 2.49	8.65 \pm 1.49	<0.05
CMD (cm)	1.62 \pm 1.15	0.85 \pm 0.74	<0.05

CNP: Chronic neck pain; mo: Month; VAS: Visual analog scale; ESS: Epworth sleepiness scale; NDI: Neck disability index; PSS: Perceived stress scale; TWD: tragus-wall distance; CMD: Chin-manubrium distance.

values (Table 1). Significant improvements were detected in $VAS_{resting}$, $VAS_{activity}$, PSS, and NDI levels in the PMR group than in the control CNP group after the therapies (Table 2). Significant improvements were detected in the $VAS_{activity}$, $VAS_{resting}$, PSS, and NDI scores from baseline to post-therapy in the PMR group (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

CNP can severely limit activities of daily living as well as occupational and social activities. Especially, in the chronic period, pain may lead to sleep disorders. The prevalence of sleep disorders and daytime sleepiness is higher in patients with chronic pain conditions [17, 18]. It should be kept in mind that daytime sleepiness can lead to serious problems in workers who need attention; it may also lead to problems such as falls in elderly patients [19, 20]. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate daytime sleepiness in pain clinics and take necessary precautions. In our study, daytime sleepiness in patients with

CNP and acute effects of PMR therapies on this condition were evaluated using the ESS, which is a widely used tool in the field of sleep medicine for subjective measurement of daytime sleepiness [21]. In the present study, we did not find higher levels of daytime sleepiness in patients with CNP than in healthy controls. Furthermore, no significant improvement in the ESS scores was found after PMR therapies. Although, the relationship between daytime sleepiness and aging has been reported [22] and aging is evaluated as a risk factor for CNP, the present study did not show CNP as an independent risk factor for daytime sleepiness due to outcomes.

The relationship between chronic pain and psychosocial problems has been reported, and it has been pointed out that many psychological problems, especially depression, can coexist with chronic pain conditions [23]. Perceived stress levels of patients with chronic pain can be high, and at the same time, patients may begin to use emotional words to describe pain in these processes [24]. Perceived stress levels were significantly higher in patients with CNP than in healthy controls. This outcome indicates the vicious circle of the pain and increased stress levels in patients with CNP. The present study showed significant improvements in the perceived stress levels after the acute period of PMR therapies. This result shows that PMR therapies could be one of the beneficial components of the multidisciplinary approach for management of psychological stress in the treatment of CNP conditions.

Inter-group evaluation of the neck disability scores showed a significant difference between the PMR group

TABLE 2. Comparison of the demographic and clinical features of the baseline and second assessments of the PMR and control CNP groups

	PMR group (n=34)	Control CNP (n=20)	p
Age (years)	51.07±13.8	49.05±11.3	>0.05
Disease duration (months)	28.04±18.67	35.1±44.82	>0.05
ESS _{first} scores	6.92±6.05	7.09±0.82	>0.05
ESS _{second} scores	6.32±3.65	7.14±2.05	>0.05
NDI _{first} scores	58.14±12.07	54.75±4.37	>0.05
NDI _{second} scores	42.97±14.68	56.05±5.3	<0.05
PSS _{first} scores	23.26±3.43	21.3±1.9	>0.05
PSS _{second} scores	19.03±5.76	21.17±1.97	<0.05
CMD _{first} (cm)	1.72±1.47	1.51±0.89	>0.05
CMD _{second} (cm)	1.62±1.37	1.61±0.89	>0.05
TWD _{first} (cm)	11.76±1.59	10.87±1.43	>0.05
TWD _{second} (cm)	11.17±1.98	10.8±1.43	>0.05
VAS _{activity first}	7.16±1.87	6.82±1.76	>0.05
VAS _{activity second}	4.65±1.65	6.32±2.04	<0.05
VAS _{resting first}	6.12±3.07	5.86±1.77	>0.05
VAS _{resting second}	3.9±1.81	5.1±2.07	<0.05

PMR: Physical medicine & rehabilitation; CNP: Chronic neck pain; VAS: Visual analog scale; ESS: Epworth sleepiness scale; NDI: Neck disability index; PSS: Perceived stress scale; TWD: Tragus-wall distance; CMD: chin-manubrium distance.

TABLE 3. Comparison of the clinical features at baseline and after PMR therapies in the PMR group

	Baseline (n=34)	After treatment (n=34)	p
ESS scores	6.92±6.05	6.32±3.65	>0.05
NDI scores	58.14±12.07	42.97±14.68	<0.05
PSS scores	23.26±3.43	19.03±5.76	<0.05
TWD (cm)	11.76±1.59	11.17±1.98	>0.05
CMD (cm)	1.72±1.47	1.62±1.37	>0.05
VAS _{resting}	6.12±3.07	3.9±1.81	<0.05
VAS _{activity}	7.16±1.87	4.65±1.65	<0.05

PMR: Physical medicine & rehabilitation; VAS: Visual analog scale; ESS: Epworth sleepiness scale; NDI: Neck disability index; PSS: Perceived stress scale; TWD: tragus-wall distance; CMD: Chin-manubrium distance.

and the CNP control group at the final evaluation. In addition, a significant improvement in NDI scores was detected after PMR therapies. These results indicate the negative effects of CNP on the quality of life while highlighting the short-term effectiveness of PMR therapies in replacing personal, occupational, and social functional losses due to neck pain. TWD and CMD values were measured, and no significant improvement was detected in these functional parameters after PMR therapies. This outcome can be attributed to the fact that the mean age of the participants was high, and, thus, the risk of age-related degenerative processes could be high in the study group.

Increased rates of analgesic utilization in chronic pain conditions have been reported [25]. Self-reported beliefs were detected as decreased necessity of analgesics in the individuals for whom PMR therapies were performed; however, the formal data for this result were not properly collected. Besides, this result can encourage further studies to investigate the possible effects of reducing the utilization of analgesics in PMR therapies. Conversely, a large proportion of the CNP patients reported that they chose the analgesic drugs based on their neighborhood or friends' recommendations instead of doctor recommendations, but similarly, the data were not clear for a statistical assessment. Furthermore, none of the individuals participating in the study had visited a neck & back school anytime in their life; this situation predicts the importance of neck & back schools for preventing inappropriate approaches for CNP. The present study has some limitations: Although, our sample size is small, it is acceptable compared with that of the previous studies. All the participants were enquired about the previous sleep and psychiatric disorders (especially depression); however, the data were limited as the clinical tests for these conditions were not performed (e.g., depression questionnaires). Thus, further studies with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up periods will be beneficial to evaluate the relationship of CNP with stress levels, quality of life, and daytime time sleepiness and to evaluate the short- and long-term effects of PMR therapies on these situations.

Conclusion

Evaluation of CNP from a single point of view can leave clinically missing points as patients with CNP face many problems. These patients should be assessed for daytime sleepiness, stress levels, and functionality. PMR therapies can be effective in relieving pain and psychological stress in patients with CNP.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The author declared that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

- Gore DR, Sepic SB, Gardner GM, Murray MP. Neck pain: a long-term follow-up of 205 patients. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1987;12:1–5.
- Sturzenegger M, Radanov BP, Di Stefano G. The effect of accident mechanisms and initial findings on the long-term course of whiplash injury. *J Neurol* 1995;242:443–9.
- Fransen M, Woodward M, Norton R, Coggan C, Dawe M, Sheridan N. Risk factors associated with the transition from acute to chronic occupational back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2002;27:92–8.
- Valat JP, Goupille P, Védere V. Low back pain: risk factors for chronicity. *Rev Rhum Engl Ed* 1997;64:189–94.
- Linton SJ. A review of psychological risk factors in back and neck pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2000;25:1148–56.
- Fountain FP, Gersten JW, Senger O. Decrease in muscle spasm produced by ultrasound, hot packs and IR. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1960;41:293–9.
- Robertson VJ, Baker KG. A review of therapeutic ultrasound: effectiveness studies. *Phys Ther* 2001;81:1339–50.
- Sayilir S, Yildizgoren MT. The medium-term effects of diadynamic currents in chronic low back pain; TENS versus diadynamic currents: A randomised, follow-up study. *Complement Ther Clin Pract* 2017;29:16–19.
- Jensen I, Harms-Ringdahl K. Strategies for prevention and management of musculoskeletal conditions. Neck pain. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2007;21:93–108.
- Weinstein SM, Herring SA, Cole AJ. Rehabilitation of the patient with spinal pain. in DeLisa JA, Gans BM (Eds): *Rehabilitation Medicine: Principles and Practice*. Philadelphia: Lippincott-Raven Pub; 1998. p. 1423–51.
- Highland TR, Dreisinger TE, Vie LL, Russell GS. Changes in isometric strength and range of motion of the isolated cervical spine after eight weeks of clinical rehabilitation. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1992;17:S77–82.
- Yildirim Y, Ergin G. A validity and reliability study of the Turkish Multidimensional Assessment of Fatigue (MAF) scale in chronic musculoskeletal physical therapy patients. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil* 2013;26:307–16.
- Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc Behav* 1983;24:385–96.
- Eskin, M, Harlak H, Demirkiran F, Dereboy Ç. The adaptation of the perceived stress scale into Turkish: A reliability and validity analysis [Article in Turkish]. *New/Yeni Symposium Journal* 2013;51:132–40.
- Wheeler AH, Goolkasian P, Baird AC, Darden BV 2nd. Development of the Neck Pain and Disability Scale. Item analysis, face, and criterion-related validity. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 1999;24:1290–4.
- Bicer A, Yazici A, Camdeviren H, Erdogan C. Assessment of pain and disability in patients with chronic neck pain: reliability and construct validity of the Turkish version of the neck pain and disability scale. *Disabil Rehabil* 2004;26:959–62.
- Rose AR, Catcheside PG, McEvoy RD, Paul D, Kapur D, Peak E, et al. Sleep disordered breathing and chronic respiratory failure in patients with chronic pain on long term opioid therapy. *J Clin Sleep Med*

- 2014;10:847–52.
18. Guilleminault C, Cao M, Yue HJ, Chawla P. Obstructive sleep apnea and chronic opioid use. *Lung* 2010;188:459–68.
 19. Ancoli-Israel S, Cooke JR. Prevalence and comorbidity of insomnia and effect on functioning in elderly populations. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:264–71.
 20. Gooneratne NS, Weaver TE, Cater JR, Pack FM, Arner HM, Greenberg AS, et al. Functional outcomes of excessive daytime sleepiness in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003;51:642–9.
 21. Johns MW. Daytime sleepiness, snoring, and obstructive sleep apnea. The Epworth Sleepiness Scale. *Chest* 1993;103:30–6.
 22. Bixler EO, Vgontzas AN, Lin HM, Calhoun SL, Vela-Bueno A, Kales A. Excessive daytime sleepiness in a general population sample: the role of sleep apnea, age, obesity, diabetes, and depression. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005;90:4510–5.
 23. Elliott TE, Renier CM, Palcher JA. Chronic pain, depression, and quality of life: correlations and predictive value of the SF-36. *Pain medicine* 2003;4:331–9.
 24. Carmody J, Ruth AB. Relationships between mindfulness practice and levels of mindfulness, medical and psychological symptoms and well-being in a mindfulness-based stress reduction program. *J Behav Med* 2008;31:23–33.
 25. Stovitz SD, Johnson RJ. NSAIDs and musculoskeletal treatment: what is the clinical evidence? *Phys Sportsmed* 2003;31:35–52.