Utility of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic liver
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INTRODUCTION

Nodules in cirrhosis can present as regenerative, siderotic or dysplastic nodules, and lead to hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)¹. Early detection and differentiation of HCC are important for appropriate and curative treatment methods².³. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI) is now widely used as a reference test for the non-invasive diagnosis of HCC in cirrhotic liver without any need for biopsy according to the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) guidelines, and Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System (LI-RADS)⁴-⁵. However, the long time required for image acquisition in MRI, and the high cost of contrast materials (gadolinium-based agents) and their side effects, especially in patients with renal insufficiency, can limit the usage of these imaging modalities. Therefore, additional imaging findings are needed to be defined in such cases.

ABSTRACT

We aimed to introduce the utility of diffusion-weighted images (DWI) in differentiating hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) from dysplastic nodules (DN) in cirrhotic liver. DWI studies of the patients who had cirrhosis and HCC or cirrhosis and DN were evaluated retrospectively. Signal intensities of the lesions were classified as hyper-, iso- or hypointense on DWI. Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) and ADC ratios (the ratio between lesion ADC, and ADC of the surrounding liver parenchyma) were obtained, and mean values were compared. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05. Ninety-five lesions were diagnosed with HCC and forty-four lesions with DN from a total of 87 patients. The hyperintensity on DWI, mean ADC, and ADC ratio of HCCs were statistically significant (p<0.001 for all parameters). An ADC value of ≤ 1.316x10⁻³ mm²/s and an ADC ratio of ≤ 0.94 obtained by ROC curve analysis, were found to be the best cut-off values. DWI alone has a limited capability to detect HCC, but it can be used for imaging cirrhotic liver to supply ancillary findings in addition to dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in diagnosing HCC.
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ÖZ

Bu çalışmada, sirotik karaciğerde hepatosellüler kanseri (HSK), displastik nodüllerden (DN) ayırımında diffüzyon ağırlıklı görüntüler (DAG) yararını ortaya koymayı amaçladık. Siroz ve hepatosellüler kanser veya siroz ve displastik nodülü olan hastaların DAG’ları geniye dönük olarak değerlendirildi. DAG’da lezyonların sinyal intensiteleri hiper, izo veya hipointens olarak sınıflandırıldı. Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) ve ADC oranları (lezyon ADC’sinin lezyonu çevreleyen karaciğer parankimi ADC’sine oranı) koryalıtıldı. İstatistiksel anlamılık p<0,05 olarak kabul edildi. Seksen yedi hastadan, 95 HSK tanılı lezyon ve 44 DN tanılı lezyon elde edildi. DAG’a hiperintensite, ortalama ADC ve ADC oranı istatistiksel olarak anlamılık bulundu (p<0,001 tüm parametreler için). ROC analizi ile ADC değeri olarak 1.316x10⁻³ mm²/s veya altı ve ADC oranı olarak 0,94 veya altı, en iyi kestirim değerleri olarak hesaplandı. DAG tek başına HSK tespit etmede kısıtlı kablulu olup bulgular sağlayabilmesi için kullanılabilir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Siroz, hepatosellüler kanser, displastik nodül, magnetik rezonans görüntüleme, diffüzyon ağırlıklı görüntüleme, tanısal görüntüleme
Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) obtained within a duration of a single breath-hold time which does not require contrast material, has gained attention and can provide qualitative (signal intensity) and quantitative (ADC-apparent diffusion coefficient) data. This technique now is widely used in other parts of the body for displaying fibrosis, ischemia, and malignancy. There are encouraging studies regarding DWI for detecting focal liver masses in the medical literature, but there is limited data about the usefulness of DWI in differentiating HCC from dysplastic nodule (DN) in cirrhosis. This paper aimed to introduce the utility of DWI for the differentiation of HCC from DN diagnosed by typical imaging findings in cirrhosis.

MATERIAL and METHODS

The retrospective design of the study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee and the requirement for informed written consent was waived.

Patients

The patients for whom abdominal MRI reports included the keywords of cirrhosis and HCC or cirrhosis and DN between August 2011 and March 2015 were searched in the radiology information system (RIS) and 201 patients were found. Patients who had received chemotherapy or transarterial chemoembolization before the abdominal DCE-MRI and who had diffuse HCC or nodules with atypical DCE-MRI findings for HCC were excluded from the study. Also, patients without histopathological confirmation of cirrhosis who had DCE-MRI findings for HCC but nodules smaller than 2 cm, and patients who had MR images with intense motion artifacts were excluded from the study. If a single patient had several studies, the last convenient MRI study with DCE-MRI and DWI was used for collecting data. Diagnosis of HCC and DN was made according to the presence of characteristic DCE-MRI findings. Finally, 87 patients with a diagnosis of HCC and/or DN were enrolled in the study.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging Protocol

DCE-MRI was performed with two 1.5-T superconducting magnetic resonance imaging systems (Signa HDxt 1.5 T-Optima Edition and Optima MR450w, General Electric Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA) with 16 and 32 channel phased-array body coils, respectively. Breath-hold T1-weighted and T2-weighted SSFSE, fat suppressed T2-weighted SSFSE, T1-weighted in-phase and opposed-phase images, 3D FIESTA images and DCE 3D imaging with breath-hold fast spoiled gradient echo -LAVA- (for arterial phase at 20-35 sec, for portal venous phase at 60-75 sec and late images at 180-240 sec) were performed in axial planes. DCE-MRI was performed after the administration of 0.1 mmol/kg (0.5 mmol/mL) of extracellular gadolinium based contrast agent (Gd-DOTA, DOTAREM, Guerbet, Roissy CdG Cedex, France) at a rate of 2 mL/sec with a 20 cc saline flush. DWI was performed before contrast medium administration using a breath-hold single shot echo-planar spin echo sequence on the axial plane and tridirectional diffusion gradients (TR/TE = 10500/88.4 ms, slice thickness = 5 mm, matrix = 128x128, FOV = 420x420 mm, slice gap = 0.5 mm). The gradient factors used (b values) were 0 and 800 s/mm². ADC maps were created from the DWI using Functool software on a workstation (ver. 5x2.1.06 GE, Healthcare, Waukesha, Wisconsin, USA).

Diagnostic Criteria

We used LI-RADS imaging criteria, AASLD, and EASL practice guidelines to diagnose HCC and DN. Liver nodules that displayed a marked uptake of contrast agent on DCE-MRI in the arterial phase and displayed wash-out in the later phases were evaluated for the presence HCC. If the liver nodule size was
between 10-19 mm and displayed peripheral thin capsular enhancement in portal venous or delayed phases, and/or increased in size in a six-month interval follow-up imaging, then it was accepted as HCC (LI-RADS 5). A nodule larger than 20 mm which displayed wash-out of the contrast agent or capsular enhancement or increased in size was also accepted as HCC. Regardless of its DCE-MRI findings; if a cirrhotic nodule invaded the portal venous and/or hepatic venous channels, it was diagnosed as HCC5,10,11 (Figure 1). A cirrhotic nodule was accepted as DN if it was iso- or hyperintense on T1- weighted images, iso- or hypointense on T2- weighted images, iso- or hypointense in the arterial phase, and isointense relative to the adjacent liver parenchyma1-5,9. Also, previous DCE-MRI of the patients with DN were evaluated and if the lesions were stable at two years’ follow-up, then they were accepted as DN12. Visual inspection was used to determine arterial enhancement and washout in portal venous and delayed phases and subtraction images were used to confirm arterial phase enhancement when the lesion was hyperintense on T1- weighted images (Figure 2).

Image Analysis

One of the observers, who searched the RIS, collected the clinical, and demographic data of the patients enrolled in the study. MRI of the patients was evaluated for the presence of HCC or DN by the other two observers with 5, and 10-year experience in abdominal imaging, respectively. Both of the observers were blinded to patient identity and clinical findings. Finally, nodules detected in the liver were classified as HCC or DN if they met the diagnostic criteria set by the consensus of the two observers.

Figure 1a-f. Hepatocellular carcinoma in a 55-year-old male patient. Axial (a) fat-suppressed T1-weighted image shows a hypointense mass in segment 4a (white arrow). Axial (b) arterial phase image after contrast matter administration on T1-weighted image demonstrate early enhancement of the mass (white arrow). Axial (c) hepatic venous phase image on T1-weighted image shows contrast washout with thin capsular enhancement, typical for hepatocellular carcinoma (white arrow). The mass has a slightly high signal on axial (d) T2-weighted image (white arrow). Diffusion-weighted image (b=800 s/mm²) (e) displays high signal intensity in the mass (white arrow). The mass seems hypointense on the apparent diffusion coefficient map (f) obtained with b values of 0 and 800 s/mm² due to diffusion restriction (black arrow).
The maximum diameters (mm) of the HCCs and DNs were measured on axial images as a reference in the sequences which lesions were clearly depicted. Intensities of HCCs and DNs were classified by visual inspection as iso-, hypo- or hyperintense relative to the surrounding liver parenchyma on DWI by the consensus of two observers. ADC values of the HCCs and DNs were obtained from the ADC maps using a round ROI of 1 cm diameter. ADC measurements were taken from highly hypointense, and solid areas, avoiding necrotic or hemorrhagic parts in the lesion on the same workstation by one of the two observers. The mean value of at least three ROI measurements on lesions was used to obtain ADC values. The ADC value of the liver parenchyma surrounding the lesion was then measured to calculate the ADC ratio of the lesion to surrounding liver parenchyma with avoiding major portal and hepatic veins, regenerative or siderotic nodules, arteriovenous shunts and other focal liver masses (Figure 3). If there was a discrepancy on imaging findings, a final decision was reached by consensus of the observers.

Figure 2. a-f. Dysplastic nodule in a 65-year-old female with chronic liver disease. Axial (a) fat-suppressed T1-weighted image without contrast matter administration shows round shaped hyperintense nodule in segment six (arrow). Note hyperintense gallbladder (arrowhead). No significant enhancement is demonstrated in the arterial phase image on axial (b) T1-weighted image after contrast matter injection (arrow). Subtraction images also confirmed this finding (not shown). On axial (c) portal phase image, the nodule is isointense and cannot be distinguished from hepatic parenchyma (arrow). Axial (d) T2-weighted image shows low signal intensity of the nodule (arrow). The nodule seems slightly hypointense on diffusion weighted image (e) compared to hepatic parenchyma and has a hypo-iso signal intensity on the apparent diffusion coefficient map (f) (arrow).

Figure 3a,b. ROI replacement. Transverse ADC mapping section of a patient with HCC shows the placement of ROI within hepatic parenchyma (ROI 1) and the solid component of the tumor (ROI 2). Care was taken not to sample from the necrotic component of the tumor. Transverse (b) T2-weighted image of a different patient with HCC and dysplastic nodules demonstrates the placement of ROI within the tumor (ROI 3) and paraspinal muscles (ROI 4).
Statistical Analysis

Nodules were grouped as HCC or DN by DCE-MRI findings and the signal intensity on DWI and mean ADC and ADC ratios were compared with each other. The Number Cruncher Statistical System (NCSS) 2007 and Power Analysis and Sample Size (PASS) 2008 Statistical Software (Utah, USA) were used to analyze the data. Numeric data were expressed as mean±SD or number (percentage), where suitable. The mean ADC and ADC ratio were compared by Mann-Whitney U test. Kruskal-Wallis test was used for comparing numeric data of three or more groups, and if there was a significant difference. Pearson’s chi-square test, Yates Continuity Correction test, and Fisher-Freeman-Halton test were used to compare qualitative data. The diagnostic performance of the ADC and ADC ratio was evaluated by performing the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis, and the optimal cut-off points were determined by Youden’s index, giving the same weight for sensitivity and specificity values. Odds ratio (OR) was used to predict the risk of malignancy in a nodule. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05 which was bidirectional.

RESULTS

From the RIS, 87 patients that met the inclusion criteria were enrolled in the study. Sixty-eight (78.1%), patients were male and 19 (21.9%) were female. Chronic liver disease was detected in all patients. Chronic liver disease was related to hepatitis B in 40 (45.9%), hepatitis C in 17 (19.5%), and hepatitis B and C in 1 patient (1.1%), and unknown in 29 (33.5%) patients. A total of 139 nodules (95 HCC nodules and 44 DN) detected in 87 patients were evaluated in the study. On follow-up MRI, 3 DNs turned into HCC and were classified as HCC in the statistical analysis.

The mean ages of the patients who had a diagnosis of HCC and DN were 65.2±8.3 (range 42 to 83) years and 62.9±7.2 (range 51 to 73) years, respectively (p=0.095). The mean diameter of HCC was 60.2±41.6 (range 21-180) mm and of DNs was 22.4±9.8 (range 15-67) mm (p<0.001). The signal intensity patterns of HCC and DNs on DWI are presented in Table 1. The sensitivity and specificity values, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) and accuracy of hyper-signal intensities on DWI were 88.4% (95% CI 79.8% - 93.7%), 100% (95% CI 90% - 100%), 100% (95% CI 94.5% - 100%), 80% (95% CI 66.6% - 89.1%) and 92.1%, respectively.

The mean ADC and ADC ratio values of HCC were 1.182±2.03x10⁻³ mm²/s and 0.84±0.14, DN were 1.432±2.31x10⁻³ mm²/s and 1.00±0.13 respectively (p<0.001 for both parameters). A ROC analysis was performed for ADC and ADC ratio and a cut-off value of ≤ 1.316x10⁻³ mm²/s for ADC, and ≤ 0.94 for ADC ratio had the best sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV for the differentiation of HCC from DNs (Table 2, Fisher-Freeman-Halton Test

Table 1. Signal intensity patterns of HCC and DN on DWI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signal intensity</th>
<th>HCC</th>
<th>DN</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DWI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypointense</td>
<td>1 (1%)</td>
<td>8 (18.2%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isointense</td>
<td>10 (10.6%)</td>
<td>36 (81.8%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperintense</td>
<td>84 (88.4%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Diagnostic utility of best cut-off values of ADC and ADC ratio in discriminating hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic liver.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ADC (%, 95%CI)</th>
<th>ADC ratio (%, 95%CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROC analysis</td>
<td>AUC 0.806 (0.730-0.869)</td>
<td>0.825 (0.75-0.884)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut off value</td>
<td>≤ 1.316x10⁻³ mm²/s</td>
<td>≤ 0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>74.2 (64.1-82.7)</td>
<td>78.49 (68.8-86.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>75 (59.7-86.8)</td>
<td>70.45 (54.8-83.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>74.8 (64.9-82.7)</td>
<td>72.6 (63.1-80.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>74.4 (64.6-2.4)</td>
<td>76.6 (66.4-84.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLR</td>
<td>2.97 (1.8-5)</td>
<td>2.66 (1.7-4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLR</td>
<td>0.34 (0.2-0.5)</td>
<td>0.31 (0.2-0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odds ratio</td>
<td>8.88 (4.67-16.85)</td>
<td>8.96 (4.69-17.13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ADC; apparent diffusion coefficient, CI; confidence interval, ROC; receiver operating curve, AUC; area under curve, PPV; positive predicting value, NPV; negative predicting value, PLR; positive likelihood ratio, NLR; negative likelihood ratio.
A. Aslan et al., Utility of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in diagnosing hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic liver

In order to provide a sensitivity of 99% and a specificity of 9.09%, a value of ≤ 0.55 mm²/s can yield a specificity of 99% and a sensitivity of 1.08%. An ADC ratio ≤ 1.77 had a sensitivity of 99% and a specificity of 2.27%, while a ratio of ≤ 0.515 had a specificity of 99% and a sensitivity of 0%.

**DISCUSSION**

Histopathological diagnosis of HCC is the gold standard method, but the location of the nodule, the presence of ascites, bleeding problems or seeding of the tumor through the needle track limit the use of invasive diagnostic methods. For these reasons, characterizing a nodule in a patient with chronic liver disease by imaging methods has gained importance and is now used for the diagnosis of HCC without histopathological confirmation according to the AASLD EASL practice guidelines, and LI-RADS. MRI is the preferred imaging method owing to lack of ionizing radiation, lower allergic reaction risk of the contrast material comparing to the CT, and especially high accuracy in determining HCC in cirrhotic liver. However, longevity of image acquisition which can be a problem for elderly or claustrophobic patients, the sensitivity of DCE-MRI to motion of the patient, intestinal peristaltism or cardiac activity, the high-cost contrast materials and their side effects can limit the utilization of DCE-MRI in cases with cirrhotic liver. Therefore, additional MRI criteria have come forward which have provided higher rates of diagnosis without biopsy. In our study, we evaluated the use of DWI for differentiating HCC from DN and showed that DWI might have limited utility.

Several studies on liver MRI investigated the value of additional unenhanced MRI sequences for diagnosing HCC. High signal intensity on T2-weighted images was used to evaluate liver masses before DCE-MRI was introduced, but the usefulness of signal intensity patterns on T2-weighted images is relatively low in the detection of HCC in cirrhotic liver.

DWI, a fast and unenhanced MRI sequence, was recently proposed for evaluating and detecting HCC in cirrhotic livers. In contrast to the surrounding liver parenchyma, the microstructure of the HCC is different because of the increased density of the cells, thickened cellular walls and arterial vascular supply. Thus, movement of water molecules is progressively restricted and results in a high signal intensity on DWI. The sensitivity of hyperintensity on DWI for HCC varied between 67.5% and 95.2% according to recent studies. In agreement with previously published reports, we obtained a sensitivity of 88.4 percent. In recent studies on DWI to evaluate hyperintensity of HCCs compared to the surrounding hepatic parenchyma, different b values were used. Piana et al. found a sensitivity value of 72% or 82% depending on the reader and Xu et al. found a value of 67.5% with a b factor of 500 s/mm², while Vandecaveye found a value of 95.2% with a b factor of 600 s/mm² on DWI. A consensus conference on DWI in cancer imaging stated that higher b values are needed in high vascular tissues. In the current study, we used a b factor of 800 s/mm² on DWI. Ichikawa et al. recommended using a b factor of > 400 s/mm² to achieve good and assessable DWI in abdominal MRI. Similarly, Vandecaveye et al. compared b values of 0 s/mm², 100 s/mm², 600 s/mm² and 1000 s/mm², and stated that a b value of 600 s/mm² on DWI improved the detection of HCC, especially for tumors smaller than 2 cm.

The signal intensity on DWI is affected by the T2 shine-through effect while the ADC value is not. Therefore, the exact value of restriction in diffusion can be assessed by ADC measurements of the lesions. ADC and ADC ratio values of HCCs were significantly lower than DN in our study but lower than previously published reports. We found an ADC value of ≤ 1.316x10⁻³ mm²/s and an ADC ratio of ≤ 0.94 as the...
best cut-off points. ADC is a measure of signal loss related to the value of a b factor. Thus, this difference may be related to the high value of b factor we used\(^2\). Also, we measured the ADC values from the darkest parts of the lesions, avoiding hemorrhage and necrosis, which may be related to our low ADC and ADC ratios.

Recently, the American College of Radiology (ACR) proposed and updated a new reporting system for focal liver lesions detected in patients with chronic liver disease, called LI-RADS (Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System) and stated that mild and moderate hyperintensity on T2-weighted images and restricted diffusion are considered to be ancillary findings for HCC\(^5\).12,18 In the medical literature, there are limited studies comparing the efficacy of DWI in focal liver masses in cirrhotic liver. ADC and ADC ratios were significantly different in our study with a high odds ratio. However, as mentioned before, DWI parameters in cirrhotic liver with various degrees of fibrosis have not been well established. Also, iron, copper, fat, protein and glycogen content of HCC is variable and related to its grade of maturity, size, vascular supply, and the presence of necrosis, which could alter the signal intensity pattern on DWI\(^8\). We found significant differences between ADC and ADC ratios of HCC and DN in our study in which we used DCE-MRI findings as a reference test, but our sensitivity and specificity values were moderately high, and this may restrict DWI’s utility in clinical practice. We think that DWI should be used as a problem solver sequence with ancillary findings in monitoring cirrhotic liver, as stated in LI-RADS.

This study had some limitations. First, though the study had a was retrospective design, we evaluated the DCE-MRI and DWI of the patients in a prospective manner. We did not include the patients who had undergone any intervention before application of DCE-MRI and used the imaging criteria we decided upon to make a diagnosis. The presence of typical DCE-MRI findings was used as a reference test, and we did not have histopathological reports for all patients, which might have overestimated our findings.

This was primarily due to the design of our center, but we strictly obeyed AASLD and EASL practice guidelines, and the criteria for LI-RADS 1, 2, and 5 lesions, which are used to diagnose HCC or DN without a biopsy. We think that these imaging criteria are reliable to diagnose the HCC and DN. Also, contraindications for tru-cut needle biopsies in patients with cirrhosis (bleeding disorders, ascites, tumor seeding) and sampling errors kept us from obtaining tru-cut needle biopsies for HCC and DN. Additionally, Child-Pugh classification could be assessed for the patients enrolled in the study. The degree of fibrosis might affect imaging characteristics of the nodules and liver parenchyma on DWI, and unknown homogeneity of the study group could lead to bias.

Qualitative and quantitative measurements on DWI have limited capacity to detect HCC in cirrhotic liver if used alone. However, DWI can be used as a problem solver imaging method with ancillary imaging findings for patients where contrast material cannot be administered or for claustrophobic patients where there is only a short time available for MRI.
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