Laparoscopic splenectomy for an intra-parenchymal epithelial cyst

Ulaş Aday,1 Ahmet Kılıçarslan,2 Abdullah Böyük1

1Department of General Surgery, Health Sciences University Elazığ Training and Research Hospital, Elazığ, Turkey
2Department of Pathology, Health Sciences University Elazığ Training and Research Hospital, Elazığ, Turkey

ABSTRACT

An epithelial splenic cyst is a rare clinical entity. Symptomatic, larger cysts of 5 cm in diameter should be treated to relieve symptoms and prevent complications that may develop. Laparoscopic treatment has become common in surgical practice and is used as a standard method in surgical procedures of the spleen. A 28-year-old male patient underwent a laparoscopic splenectomy due to the presence of a symptomatic splenic cyst of about 8 cm in size with an intraparenchymal localization. Pathological evaluation diagnosed an epithelial cyst. Two months of follow-up were uneventful. A laparoscopic splenectomy is the standard method of surgical treatment for epithelial splenic cysts if the localization of the cyst is not suitable for spleen-preserving surgery.
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Introduction

Splenic cysts are rare and often diagnosed incidentally. There is an epithelial layer in true primary splenic cysts and they are frequently caused by parasitic infections.[1] Non-parasitic cysts are divided into two groups as congenital and neoplastic; epithelial cysts are regarded as congenital and are also diagnosed rarely.[2] It has been recommended that symptomatic splenic cysts larger than 5 cm should be treated for their rupture, bleeding, and infection potential.[3,4] The optimal surgical treatment modality of non-parasitic splenic cysts still remains to be a controversial issue. Today improved surgical techniques and instruments have resulted in the standard utilization of laparoscopic surgery in diseases of the spleen.[5,6] This study presents the case of a patient who received laparoscopic splenectomy because of an epithelial cyst with intraparenchymal localization.

Case Report

The 28-year-old male patient was referred to our clinic because of an incidentally diagnosed splenic cystic mass about 3 months before. The patient’s evaluation revealed no known chronic diseases, medication administration, and history of trauma. No special condition was seen during his physical examination as well. The patient’s body mass index (BMI) was 30.4 kg/m² and his laboratory parameters were within normal range. Ultrasonic evaluation showed that the size of the spleen was 20 cm and an anechoic cystic structure of about 71x48 mm on the upper pole within the parenchyma was seen. Contrast-
enhanced computer tomography (CT) demonstrated hypo-
dense cystic mass of 75x55 mm with +10 HU density
and smooth borders, localized in the superior-poste-
rior area of the spleen, showing a growth pattern in the
parenchyma (Fig. 1). *Echinococcus-* antibody titer was
negative. Serum carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9) and
carcinoma-embryonic antigen (CEA) levels were within
normal ranges. Although the patient was informed of
the fact that there was the risk of rupture and bleeding
in spite of his asymptomatic mass and percutaneous
drainage and surgical options were recommended, he
opted for remaining in follow-up and refused invasive
procedures. The patient presented to our outpatient
clinic again with a complaint of left upper quadrant pain
3 months after diagnosis. In control ultrasonography; the
cyst size was 82x54 mm and the cyst content was seen to
be intense. An interventional radiology consultation was
performed. However, localization of the percutaneous
drainage was not appropriate. Surgical treatment was
decided to perform and patient’s informed consent was
obtained. The patient was vaccinated against encapsu-
lated bacteria. Laparoscopic splenectomy was performed
under general anesthesia, in the right semilateral decu-
bitis position, with 4 trochars placed in the left subcostal
area. Spleen-preserving surgery was not performed as
the cyst was localized in the parenchyma and could not
be visualized from the outside (Fig. 2). The patient was
discharged uneventfully on the 3rd postoperative day.
The result of the pathological evaluation was reported
to be primary epithelial splenic cyst (Fig. 3). The patient
is now in his second follow-up month without any com-
plaints.

**Discussion**

Splenic cysts are rare and their incidence was ascertained
to be 0.007 % by a review covering 42,327 autopsy cases. 
Percentage eighty of the cysts are pseudo-cysts with no
true cellular lining. True spleen cysts contain a covering
cellular inner layer. Parasitic cysts mostly formed by *E.
Granulosus* are seen thrice more in this group than the
other true cyst group, the congenital group. Epithelial
splenic cysts are regarded as congenital and although vari-
ous theories have been claimed for their formation, the
issue has not been clarified yet. Epithelial splenic cysts
are often diagnosed in the second and third decades. It
is relatively more frequent in the female sex. Its clinical
is mostly asymptomatic and the most common symptom is
pain in the upper left quadrant. Life-threatening bleeding
brought about by rupture can rarely be seen and clinical
picture proves to be noisier in case of a bleeding within the
cyst and infection. Ultrasoundography (US) and CT are
often used in diagnosis, while magnetic resonance (MR)
helps less frequently. Epithelial splenic cysts are charac-
teristically unilocular anechoic lesions with smooth, well-
deﬁned margins. Splenomegaly can accompany in larger...
cysts. They appear as smooth bordered, spherical, thin-walled, and with water-like attenuation in CTs. Follow-up proves to be the appropriate option for asymptomatic cysts smaller than 5 cm. Treatment is recommended for symptomatic cysts larger than 5 cm. Although it has been stated that cysts larger than 5 cm could be followed-up if they were asymptomatic, the general tendency is to perform procedures for treatment. Treatment modalities pertaining to percutaneous drainage and sclerotherapy have not become standardized because of recurrence. In a recent study by Akhan et al., the authors have reported 29.2% recurrence rate. Laparoscopic procedures have such advantages as less postoperative pain, shorter hospitalization, faster recovery, better cosmetic results, and lower morbidity rates. Laparoscopy has thus become the standard method for the treatment of splenic diseases. Spleen protective surgery has been recommended in suitable cases because of the significant immune functions of the spleen. Spleen protective surgery should be preferred for epithelial cysts on the lower and upper poles with lesser parenchymal depth, and not localized in the hilus. Total splenectomy is a safe method if the cyst is encircled by spleen parenchyma, dens adherence to surrounding tissues, localization of the hilus, and multiple cysts. We had planned spleen protective laparoscopic surgery for our patient but the cyst could not be visualized as it was intraoperatively surrounded by the parenchyma of the spleen. Therefore, laparoscopic complete splenectomy was performed as the parenchymal depth was close to the hilus referring to the fact that the resection plane could not be formed safely. Consequently, epithelial splenic cysts are rarely seen in surgical practice. Laparoscopic treatment has become the standard treatment in pathologies of the spleen because of its lower morbidity rates and shorter hospitalization. Surgeons should make an effort to perform spleen protective laparoscopic surgery but if it is not possible splenectomy will be suitable for safe surgery.
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