Abdominal effects of laparoscopic surgery
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ABSTRACT

Pneumoperitoneum (PNP) is very commonly performed in surgical practice due to the extensive use of laparoscopic surgery. In minimally invasive surgery, there is an effort to convert all eligible surgical procedures to a laparoscopic technique, as it has fewer systemic and local surgical effects on the patient. During PNP, intraperitoneal pressure (IPP) is increased to well above normal intra-abdominal pressure in order to create an opening for visualization of the abdominal contents. The duration of these procedures can be prolonged as a result of the difficulties and complexities of these techniques. IPP has side effects on the physiology of patients, as is seen in abdominal compartment syndrome.
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Introduction

Pneumoperitoneum (pneuma: air; peri: around; teinein: to stretch) is a Greek word defining the presence of gas within the peritoneal cavity. The physiology of pneumoperitoneum (PNP) has been considered synonymously with the physiology of laparoscopy & refers to the physiological changes associated with the intraperitoneal carbon dioxide (CO2) insufflations that has both local and systemic effects. The laparoscopic approach to surgery may involve limited abdominal access but causes less systemic stress and a better acute-phase response.[1,2]

Laparoscopic surgery (LS) has become a valuable tool in general surgery following the success of laparoscopic cholecystectomy which has many advantages over the conventional cholecystectomy.[3] The use of minimally invasive techniques have been shown to decrease the postoperative pain, causing less sympathetic response leading to less postoperative ileus, decreased respiratory distress, diminished hospital stay and more rapid return to preoperative physical activities.[3,4] Studies have shown that LS minimizes the surgical trauma, as demonstrated by the diminished release of acute inflammatory markers, fast return of cytokine levels to normal values and lower cortisol levels. The immune function is also better preserved in LS.[5–9] Possibly the most important factor is reduced surgical trauma due to the use of small incisions and minimally traumatic operative techniques.[10]

Tissue injury induced by LS is qualitatively different from the open surgery.[11,12] In the open surgery most important components of injury are the amount of injured tissue (wound size), visceral traction, desication and temperature changes. During LS the injury related to the wound is reduced, but other components may develop either as a consequence of abdominal wall and peritoneal distension or in relation to the type of the gas used and the dura-
tion of the procedure. Animal studies show that intestinal recovery is faster after laparoscopic procedures than the open procedures. Decreased bowel manipulation and associated inflammation are likely the explanations for these findings, although other considerations may include the minimizing exposure of bowel to the hostile, nonphysiologic environment accompanying laparotomy, which may later alter the motility.

Peritoneum;

The peritoneum is a single continuous layer of mesothelial cells 2.5 to 3 μm thick which is covered with microvilli. These cells, joined by tight junctions and desmosomes, rest on a basement membrane, below which is a layer of fibrous connective tissue. The normal peritoneal cavity contains less than 100 ml of serous fluid, which is essentially an ultrafiltrate of plasma with a protein concentration less than 3 g/dl. The peritoneum usually contains fewer than 300 cells/mm², mostly macrophages, some desquamated mesothelial cells and lymphocytes. Peritoneal macrophages are integral to the primary inflammatory response generated in the abdominal cavity in response to infection and cancer. Macrophages also are implicated in the regulation of the acute phase response and the release of the monokines interleukin-1 (IL-1), IL-6, TNF-alfa, and arachidonic acid metabolites. The creation of a CO2 PNP induces morphologic changes in the peritoneal mesothelial surface layer, which are visible microscopically.

CO2 induces marked tissue acidification and reduces cell pH of cells in the peritoneum, such as macrophages and mesothelial cells. This could be attributable to the use of CO2 or it might be attributable to the desiccation of the peritoneal surface by cold insufflation of the gas.

Carbon Dioxide (CO2)

In the LS abdominal wall is distended via PNP to provide room for the instrument insertion and tissue dissection. CO2 insufflation is commonly used for the creation of PNP which requires impurity of less than 200 parts per million, including water vapor. Zöllikofener, in 1926 first proposed the use of CO2 as an insufflating agent, but its use became firmly established only after the development of the automated peritoneal insufflator by Kurt Semm in the 1960s. CO2 is supplied in liquid form from cylinders with a release temperature of approximately 90°C. The passage of CO2 through the insufflator and tubing apparatus raises this temperature to approximately room temperature (19°C–21°C) at the point of delivery to the peritoneal cavity with a relative humidity approaching 0% at the point of entry into the peritoneal cavity. However, this still is significantly lower than the normal intraperitoneal or core temperature. Large volumes of gas may be required for a single patient (up to 500 litres), owing to the imperfect seal of the laparoscopic ports and peritoneal CO2 absorption. Prolonged insufflation with CO2 is associated with a reduction in body temperature as well as the intraperitoneal humidity. The disadvantages of peri-operative hypothermia are well known and includes increased rates of postoperative infection, increased cardiac output and prolonged postoperative recovery.

With respect to the desiccation and temperature factors, there are potential added benefits of using warmed and humidified CO2. Several advantages of CO2, such as its rapid absorption and elimination, have contributed to its frequent use as the insufflating gas for exposure in LS. CO2 is inexpensive, noncombustible, colorless, odorless, tasteless, and nontoxic. It is a natural component of the atmosphere (0.035%) and it is part of the basic cycle of life (the product of breathing, fermentation, and combustion). CO2 also is recognized for its wide physicochemical properties (it is nonexplosive, noncorrosive, nonconductive, and nonpolluting) and for being an inert gas. It is excreted by the lungs during normal respiration and is highly soluble in water, which reduces the risk of gas embolism impairing cardiac function.

Recent evidence suggests that the use of continuous flow of cool, dry CO2 to create a PNP during laparoscopy does not simply create a working space for surgery, but can also lead to adverse structural, metabolic, and immune rearrangements within the peritoneal mesothelial layer and that these can be dependent on the specific insufflation of the gas used. How much of this effect relates to intrinsic properties of CO2, and how much is due to the desiccative effect of the gas is not clear. Another possible component of injury is the impact on microcirculation due to the increased intraperitoneal pressure (IPP) at both the parietal (subperitoneal) and the visceral level. These effects could be due to the metabolic complications from the transperitoneal absorption of CO2 and/or elevated IPP.
There are four major stressors on the physiologic status of the patient during the LS:
(a) hypercarbia and acidemia from absorbed CO2
(b) elevated IPPs,
(c) exaggerated positional placements of the patient, and
(d) general surgical stress.

When advanced and lengthy laparoscopic procedures are performed in older patients, long-term cardiac, pulmonary and renal diseases may also contribute to the compromise of physiologic functions, further lowering thresholds for major complications under surgical stress. Minor physiologic changes in a healthy patient may become major significant changes in patients who present with compromised functions.[46,47]

Pneumoperitoneum;
The CO2 PNP exerts its physiologic effects via two different mechanisms,[48]
1. Mechanical effects relating to increased IPP,
2. Chemical effect of the gas used for insufflation, which is mostly CO2.

These two phenomena coupled with the position of patient (head-up or head-down), affects the body physiology in multiple aspects including hemodynamic, metabolic and respiratory changes.[49]

Intraperitoneal Pressure (IPP)
Due to the insufflation of the peritoneal cavity to obtain the endoscopic exposure, these patients are being subjected to an artificially elevated IPP for several hours.[50] Although working PNP pressures vary gas insufflations causes an increase in the IPP. Most procedures are performed at a pressure of 10 to 15 mmHg. This pressure range, although greater than the normal portal systemic pressure (7–10 mmHg), maintains the balance between establishment of a working space and the unwanted effects of increased IPP.[51]

Laplace’s law well describes the effect of PNP on pressure across the abdominal wall which explains that the higher the tension on the abdominal wall, the higher the intra-abdominal pressure for a given fixed radius at maximal abdominal expansion.[52] This pressure reduces peritoneal microcirculation during laparoscopy, in addition to the systemic haemodynamic effect.[53,54]

The term intraabdominal hypertension is usually defined as an IPP of 12 mmHg or more.[55] The adverse effects of this elevated IPP on the systemic circulation and cardiac, pulmonary, and renal functions have been well documented in clinical studies.[56,57] Studies have illustrated that an increase in IPP affects the perfusion of many abdominal organs. This is a pressure-related phenomenon.[58]

Reduced venous return and the reduction in cardiac output and accompanying mesenteric vasoconstriction and increased systemic vascular resistance has been reported to cause a significant reduction in organ perfusion and portal venous flow during the increase in IPP.[50,58–61] The vasoconstrictive effect of CO2 also causes reduced visceral blood flow.[60]

The normal mean IPP is zero or less and a clinically significant elevation of IPP up to 10 to 15 mmHg significantly decreases the abdominal splanchnic blood flow. For example blood flow in abdominal hypertension decreases in the stomach by 40 per cent, duodenum by 11 per cent, jejunum by 32 per cent, colon by 44 per cent, liver by 39 per cent and the parietal peritoneum by 60 per cent.[50,58,59] In an animal model, increased IPPs of 10 and 20 mmHg caused a respective decrease of 20% and 40% in mucosal blood flow in the small intestine.[62] Splanchnic perfusion changes are the result of a complex interaction between anaesthesia, the surgical insult, patient position and the nature of the gas used. Splanchnic blood flow also decreases significantly with operating time at a constant IPP.[59] Changes in the splanchnic circulation such as decreased mucosal blood flow have been reported in animal experiments.[60] PNP also decreases the hepatic blood flow, but effects of an elevated IPP on the flow to the liver in the human is uncertain.[48,63] However, it appears that intestinal blood flow, when measured with the microsphere technique, displays minimal change during PNP of 15 mmHg.[55] In addition, there was a significant reduction in gastric mucosal pH in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy when an insufflation pressure of 12–15 mmHg was used compared with 4–8 mmHg.[64] There are several reports of mesenteric ischaemia and bowel infarction after routine laparoscopic procedures.[65,66] Incision or breaching of the peritoneum is considered as a significant inducer of operative stress. Together with exposure of the bowel or peritoneum to atmospheric air during the open procedures, it may be sufficient to have an impact on the gut mucosal immune response and subsequent postoperative recovery. Most of the laparoscopic procedures that
Increased IPP is an important cause of gastrointestinal ischemia.\cite{58,67} Impaired perfusion of splanchnic organs secondary to increased IPP and their reperfusion after the procedure represent ischemia and reperfusion (I/R) respectively. I/R injury is responsible for most of the damage complicating reversible arterial insufficiency of the intestine, provided that there is no venous congestion.\cite{62,68} I/R injury impairs anastomotic healing in the bowel and is the most likely mechanism of the detrimental effect of the high IPPs on colonic anastomoses reported.\cite{69,70} Intestinal I/R injury leads to oxidative stress, which propagates further injury. Pressure-induced I/R injury in the intestine is reflected by the increased oxidative enzyme activity and oxidant generation of intestinal tissue after pressure.\cite{71,72} Intestinal oxidative stress associated with pressure was described initially by Eleftheriadis et al.\cite{73} Nitric oxide (NO) is a reactive compound produced via the activity of different NO synthase (NOS) isoenzymes that can be synthesized in the intestine.\cite{74} It is both an indicator and an effector of oxidative stress. However, many beneficial roles have also been attributed to NO particularly within the context of vascular homeostasis.\cite{75} Ischemia of splanchnic organs, including the intestine during pressure and their subsequent and sometimes paradoxically increased reperfusion after pressure can cause I/R injury.\cite{68,73} Pressure-associated intestinal I/R injury has important implications, as reflected by clinical reports of lethal intestinal ischemia after laparoscopy and with the reports demonstrating the decreased strength and healing of colocolonic anastomoses in rats after a high-pressure procedure.\cite{69,70} The relation between intestinal I/R injury and oxidative stress was further characterized by Takada et al., who reported that intestine subjected to mesenteric artery occlusion followed by reperfusion, developed mucosal damage that is correlated with increased local production of NO and metabolites, nonselective inhibitor of NOS, L-NAME.\cite{72} The intestine acts as a barrier to the luminal contents, which include bacteria and the endotoxins. The gut barrier is altered in certain pathologic conditions such as shock, trauma or surgical stress; resulting in bacterial or endotoxin translocation from the gut lumen into the systemic circulation.\cite{76} This has been implicated in postoperative complications, such as systemic inflammatory response syndrome and multiorgan failure.\cite{77} LS presents reduced postoperative infections.\cite{78,79} In the laparotomy technique, the peritoneum is manipulated, the small intestine is handled and the mesentery is placed under traction; while in the laparoscopic approach these are minimized. These factors, which are integral parts of the laparotomic approach, may lead to the production of vasoactive agents that can cause both local and systemic hemodynamic changes.\cite{12,80}

The mucosa of the intestine and the endothelium of blood vessels contain enzymes capable of synthesizing prostaglandins and the production of these may be initiated by neural, ischemic, toxic or mechanical stimuli.\cite{81} The generation of these vasoactive prostaglandins can therefore induce splanchnic ischemia with subsequent disruption of mucosal integrity and leading to increased intestinal permeability. Therefore, intestinal manipulation may lead to the endotoxemia that can be detected in the systemic circulation of patients undergoing cholecystectomy. This agrees with the hypothesis that intestinal manipulation may impair intestinal mucosal barrier function and leading to the systemic inflammatory response seen in open cholecystectomies.\cite{76} Most experimental evidence suggests that the changes in the intraperitoneal environment are CO2-specific effects. CO2–induced acidification at the peritoneal surface may mediate suppression of peritoneal macrophage function.\cite{20} Also the local acidity caused by the CO2 being converted to carbonic acid in the peritoneal fluid results in microscopically visible histological damage to the mesothelial ultrastructure which is more pronounced when the peritoneum is exposed to room air in laparotomies.\cite{13,41} The underlying philosophy of LS is not only to create a minimal access\textsuperscript{a} surgical environment, but also to be minimally disruptive\textsuperscript{b} to the patient.\cite{25} In order to reduce these haemodynamic changes it is recommended that the lowest possible inflation pressure is used, with insufflation started at a standard pressure (12–15 mmHg) and then the pressure is gradually lowered down as much as possible without compromising the visibility as in the dial-down\textsuperscript{c} approach.\cite{70,82} Some have also advocated releasing gas intermittently during the procedure.\cite{83}
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