Metabolic effects of bariatric surgery on type 2 diabetes mellitus

M. Mahir Özmen

Department of General Surgery, Hacettepe University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D) develops in adulthood, and its exact etiology is still unknown. Obesity is major independent risk factor for T2D, as it is closely associated with insulin resistance. Bariatric surgery was initially used to induce weight loss in obese patients. However, it was observed that it also results in improvement in many comorbidities, including T2D. Curing diabetes cannot yet be considered a goal of bariatric surgery, but it is a serendipitous benefit. Mechanism of the effects of bariatric surgery on T2D was discussed in this review.
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Introduction

Obesity is a result of deranged energy homeostasis which is often the consequence of dysfunction in multiple neuro-enteric systems.[1] According to WHO, >1.6 billion adults are overweight and >400 million are obese, and it is estimated that the numbers will have increased to >2.3 billion overweight and >500 million obese by 2015.[2]

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D) develops in adulthood, and its exact etiology is still unknown. T2D rates are also increasing alongside obesity with currently over 312 million people affected by diabetes worldwide. Therefore, it is known as twin pandemics. T2D is associated with markedly increased risk of heart disease and stroke, micro- and macrovascular consequences, retinopathy, and kidney failure.[4] Long-term anti-diabetic diet compliance is poor even when supported by pharmacotherapy, and 50–90% of the patients are unable to achieve adequate control. Only 50% of diabetic patients are able to achieve glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) <7% with medical therapy as recommended by the American Diabetic Association.[1]

Mechanism of ‘Diabesity’

Obesity is also found to be a major independent risk factor for T2D as it is closely associated with insulin resistance, which, in connection, is associated with loss of function of β-cells resulting in T2D.[4] Obesity will eventually lead to glucose intolerance due to stress failure of the beta cells in predisposed individuals.[4] Studies have revealed that 60 genes are associated with the increased risk of T2D.[5] The term diabesity defines the effects of excess fat on many organs involved in glucose homeostasis. Adipose dysfunction will eventually result in cardiovascular disease and mortality due to metabolic disease state caused by
pathogenic mediators and lipotoxicity. T2D is a lifetime disease, and currently no medical cure exists. Bariatric surgery was initially used to induce weight loss in morbidly obese patients. However, it turned out that it also results in improving many obesity related comorbidities including T2D.

Therefore, the metabolic effects of bariatric surgery cannot be explained by weight loss only as reduction of metabolically active adipose tissue will improve the metabolic diseases. Today, although curing diabetes cannot yet be considered a goal of bariatric surgery, it may be considered a serendipitous benefit.

**Mechanism of Effects of Bariatric Surgery**

The glycemic outcome of bariatric surgery was defined long before and summarized in Table 1 below. Remission is defined as achieving glycaemia below the diabetic range in the absence of active pharmacologic (anti-hyperglycemic medications, immunosuppressive medications) or surgical (ongoing procedures like repeated replacements of endo-luminal devices) therapy. Remission can be characterized as partial or complete.

Since the description of remission after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) in morbidly obese patients with diabetes by Pories et al., other groups have verified the benefits of commonly performed laparoscopic bariatric procedures on T2D. The emergence of a large body of literature supporting surgical treatment of diabetes has led the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) and American Diabetes Association (ADA) to recognize bariatric surgery as an effective treatment option for obese patients with T2D.

Bariatric surgery is a form of gastrointestinal surgery that aims at reducing the amount of food intake and/or the absorption of nutrients at the intestinal level. Bariatric surgery procedures fall into the following categories:

(i) Restrictive procedures: the aim is to limit the amount of food intake by reducing the size of the stomach.

(ii) Malabsorptive procedures: the aim is to limit the absorption of food in the intestinal tract by bypassing a portion of the small intestine to varying degrees.

(iii) Combination of both restriction and malabsorption.

The most commonly used standard bariatric surgery procedures are (1) Adjustable gastric banding (AGB), which is solely restrictive; (2) Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB), which has both restrictive and malabsorptive components; (3) Single anastomosis gastric bypass (SAGB), which is mainly malabsorptive; (4) Sleeve gastrectomy, which is another solely restrictive procedure; and (5) Biliopancreatic diversion with duodenal switch (BPDDS), which is a more radical restrictive and malabsorptive procedure. Metabolic effects of bariatric surgery might be classified as:

a. Weight loss (WL) effect,

b. Weight loss (WL) independent effects (improvements in glycaemia, insulin resistance and β-cell dysfunction)

c. Other metabolic effects (on lipid profile, blood pressure and inflammation)

**Table 1. Definitions for the glycemic outcome of bariatric surgery.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete remission</td>
<td>Normal measures of glucose metabolism (A1C &lt;6%, FBG &lt;100 mg/dL) for 1 yr in the absence of anti-diabetic medications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial remission</td>
<td>Sub-diabetic hyperglycemia (A1C 6%-6.4%, FBG 100-125 mg/dL) for 1 yr in the absence of anti-diabetic medications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td>Significant reduction in A1C (by &gt;1%) or FBG (by &gt;25 mg/dL) OR reduction in A1C and FBG accompanied by a decrease in anti-diabetic medication requirement (by discontinuing insulin or 1 oral agent, or 1/2 reduction in dose) for at least 1 yr duration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>The absence of remission or improvement as described earlier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>FBG or A1C in the diabetic range (≥126 mg/dL and ≥6.5%, respectively) OR need for antidiabetic medication after initial complete or partial remission.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to IDF statements, efficacy of the treatment should be evaluated by checking glycated hemoglobin level, C-peptide, fasting glycaemia, insulin levels, lipid profile, monitoring arterial blood pressure, and weight loss.[20]

Metabolic effects of restrictive procedures are usually based on WL and caloric restriction (except sleeve gastrectomy in which ghrelin secretion is also decreased); whereas, any procedure including gastrointestinal bypass exhibits significant hormonal changes after surgery where the glycemic control is acute and immediate via an anti-diabetic weight-independent mechanism even before weight loss after surgery.[22] Interestingly, restrictive techniques result in lower rates of diabetes remission than mixed procedures, suggesting that gastrointestinal tract changes after malabsorptive procedures are involved in diabetes control (48% for gastric banding vs 84% for RYGB and 98% for BPD.[23,24] Therefore, diabetes resolution is not a result of weight loss alone.

The main suggested hypotheses of the possible related mechanisms are listed below.[25]

- Caloric restriction hypothesis
- Ghrelin hypothesis
- Upper intestinal (foregut) hypothesis
- Lower intestinal (hindgut) hypothesis
- Gut microbiota hypothesis
- Branched chain amino acids hypothesis

**Caloric Restriction Hypothesis**

According to this hypothesis, the remission of T2D after metabolic surgery is due to postoperative caloric restriction resulting in improved hepatic insulin sensitivity with reduced hepatic gluconeogenesis; however, there is no effect on muscle insulin sensitivity unless greater weight loss is achieved in obese subjects. The most prominent glycemic effects are the decrease in insulin resistance and the increase in early phase insulin release. Nevertheless, the interaction of multiple organ-related pathways involving the brain, gut, liver, pancreas, muscle, adipose tissues, and other undiscovered tissues may result in dramatic resolution of the diabetic state.[31,26,27] It was shown that patients with RYGB had higher rates of diabetes remission than LAGB (72% vs 17%) in 2 years despite similar weight losses of 30%.[28] This hypothesis fails to explain why the remission of T2D is better and faster after bypass than AGB. It also does not explain the superiority of glycemic control achieved after RYGB vs equivalent weight loss from dieting.[29]

**Ghrelin Hypothesis**

Ghrelin is a circulating orexigenic gut hormone produced predominantly (90%) by the fundus of the stomach, which has stimulatory effects on growth hormone release and also stimulates appetite and food intake.[30] It is also produced in small amounts from the pancreas, intestine, placenta, kidney, pituitary gland, and hypothalamus. Thus, ghrelin levels increase before meals to signal hunger to the brain, specifically to the areas of the hypothalamic feeding centers. The regulation of ghrelin may be altered by bariatric surgery. It is undoubtedly decreased after sleeve gastrectomy.[31] However, ghrelin levels are inconsistent, and the levels can increase, decrease or do not change after gastric bypass surgery.[31,32]

In addition to its effects on feeding behavior, ghrelin has a role in the regulation of glucose homeostasis; however, mechanisms by which ghrelin suppresses insulin secretion are not well understood yet.[33]

**Upper Intestinal Hypothesis**

It is called as the foregut hypothesis and based on an animal study by Rubino.[34] In his study, it was shown that the exclusion of a short segment of proximal small intestine (primarily the duodenum) produces direct anti-diabetes effects, probably via one or more unidentified duodenal factors that influence glucose homoeostasis. This suggestion is supported by the results of the duodenal-jejunal bypass (DJB) procedure which maintains the gastric volume intact while bypassing the entire duodenum and the proximal jejunum.[35]

Additional support for this hypothesis comes from the endoluminal duodenal sleeve procedure which markedly improves glucose tolerance independently of weight loss in animals and humans.[36,37] It has also been shown very recently that a duodenal bypass procedure without gastric restriction does not resolve T2D, in conflict with the foregut hypothesis.[38]

**Lower Intestinal Hypothesis**

It is also called the hindgut hypothesis based on the rapid delivery of nutrients to the distal bowel, thus producing
a physiologic signal that improves glucose homeostasis. The potential mediators are glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1), GIP (incretin effect) and peptide YY (non-incretin).[39] This hypothesis is proposed to explain the rapid T2D remission after RYGB and BPD. It has attracted huge interest because it involves active glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) which potentiates insulin secretion.[40] Increased GLP-1 and P-YY levels after bypass surgery result in decreased appetite and increase in satiety, which is supported by an inhibition of these hormones by using octreotide after RYGB resulting in increased appetite.[41] Loss of appetite and increase in satiety result in long term success of WL if supported by a diet including lower glycemic index food.

**Gut Microbiota Hypothesis**

Gut microbiota refers to billions of microorganisms inhabiting the mammalian gastrointestinal tract. Microbiota of the intestine can affect the control of energy metabolism by increasing the energy harvested from the diet; thus, changes in the gut microbiota might contribute to the epidemics of obesity and T2D in humans.[42] The mechanisms through which the microbiota exerts its beneficial or detrimental influences remain largely undefined.

**Branched-Chain Amino-Acids (BCAAs) Hypothesis and Bile Acids**

The concentrations of branched-chain amino acids (leucine, isoleucine, and valine) have been long known to be increased in obese individuals, and the increase has been directly correlated with the fasting insulin concentration, a marker of insulin resistance.[43]

The re-route of nutrients due to altered physio-anatomy after gastric bypass may also affect the entero-hepatic recirculation of bile acids and contribute to improved glycemic control.[44] It has also been shown that 24 h basal energy expenditure is increased in patients with RYGB as compared to patients with vertical bad gastroplasty, who have lost the same amount of weight.[45] It was thought that this was due to the presence of bile in the ileum.[44] Therefore, it seems that the manipulation of bile acid homeostasis might be an attractive approach for T2D.

**Review of the Studies**

As Allen RE et al. have pointed out there might be another possible mechanism that should be added to the current list of potential explanations for immediate glycemic control after surgery: enhanced production in the lower intestine of a substance which opens an alternative insulin-independent pathway for glucose transport.[25]

Carlsson LMS, et al. have found in Swedish obese subjects study that bariatric surgery promotes remission of established T2D in 48–95%.[46] It has also been shown that diabetes related death risk is reduced by 92%, and during the 15 years of follow up, risk of development of new T2D is also decreased by 87%.[46] Subgroup analyses by Sjöström L et al. have revealed that after surgery, remission rate of diabetes is better than controls in 2 years (72% vs. 21%) and in 10 years (36% vs. 13%).[47] They have also found that the rate of new onset diabetes is better in surgery group than in normal populations both in 2 and 10 years (1% vs. 8% and 8% vs. 24%, respectively). They have also evaluated the effect of the duration of diabetes on remission results and found that the shorter the duration of diabetes, the better the results.

In their meta-analyses covering the studies between 2003–2012, Chang SH, et al. included 161.756 patients in 161 studies (37RCTs and 127 observational studies) and found better remission rates after gastric bypass surgery as compared to gastric band, sleeve gastrectomy, and controls (95% vs. 73% vs. 85% vs. 18%, respectively).[48]

Schauer PR, et al. have compared the effects of bariatric surgery vs intensive medical therapy (MT) in obese patients with diabetes in a RCT and published the 1st and 3rd year follow up results.[49,50] They have included 50 patients in each group of GB, SG and MT. They have assessed the glycemic control by fasting glucose and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels. Results are shown in Table 2. Low remission rates in these studies might be due to the inclusion criteria of the patients (longer duration of diabetes (>8 years), higher use of insulin injections among patients (58%), and patients with more severe diseases (HbA1c=8.9–9.5). However, it seems that surgery is still the better means of control of diabetes as compared to medical therapy.

| Table 2. Comparison of the effects of gastric bypass (GB), sleeve gastrectomy (SG) and medical therapy (MT) on T2D in the 1st and 3rd year after surgery[49,50] |
|---|---|---|---|
| **GB (50)** | **SG (50)** | **MT (50)** |
| PO 1st year | 42% | 37% | 12% |
| PO 3rd year | 38% | 24% | 5% |
In another meta-analysis by Ribaric G, et al., 16 studies (5RCTs) with 3076 patients with bariatric surgery and 3055 patients with conventional or no weight loss therapy were analyzed. The overall T2D remission rate for surgery vs conventional group was 63.5% vs. 15.6% with the mean follow-up of 17.3 months. They concluded that bariatric surgery was more effective than conventional therapy in achieving weight loss, HbA1c and blood glucose reduction and diabetes remission.[51]

In his study, Lee WJ compared the effects of single anastomosis gastric bypass (SAGB) to sleeve gastrectomy on diabetes.[52] Although the sample size was too small, they were able to reach the significance level and found that SAGB was better in controlling diabetes in 5 years with better incretin effects. In another multi institutional study, they found that patients with a diabetes duration of <5 years had a better diabetes remission rate than patients with duration of diabetes >5 years (90.3% vs. 57.1%), and patients with BMI >30 kg/m² had a better diabetes remission rate than those with BMI <30 kg/m² (78.7% vs. 62.5%).[53]

Our Results[54]

244 morbidly obese patients operated on at Hacettepe University by the same surgical team between June 2013 and December 2014 were included into the study. 17 patients had revision surgery. Rest of the patients were primary cases on whom either laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG, n=111) or laparoscopic single anastomosis gastric bypass (LSAGB, n=116) were performed. These groups were evaluated in terms of metabolic parameters and resolution of the concomitant disease.

Among the LSG group, 83 patients were diabetic (12M) and 86 were non-diabetic (6M). Mean body weight of the diabetic patients was 120.7 (90–160) kg. Mean duration of follow up was 10.3 (1–18) months. At the end of the follow up period, mean body weight dropped to 95.1 (68–118) kg. Mean HBA1c dropped from 7.5% (6.2–8.9) to 5.5% (4.8–7.3).
Furthermore, preoperative serum insulin and C-peptide which were 29.4 (15.4–40.9) IU/mL and 4.2 (2.3–5.3) IU/mL dropped to 18.4 (9.7 –20.3) IU/mL and 2.6 (1.4–3.9) IU/mL. Results are shown in Table 3 and Figures 1–3.

LSAGB is superior to LSG in terms of body weight control and control of T2D. Metabolic effects of LSAGB start in early postoperative period; therefore, there must be factors other than weight loss involved in the efficacy.

**Conclusion**

Since the first attempt of obesity surgery by Victor Henriksson, new operations with large number of modifications have been described. After over 60 years of research, bariatric surgery has proven to be the most effective mode of treatment for morbid obesity with increased safety and reduced mortality as well as a decreased risk of developing new health-related comorbidities.

It has also been proven to be the most effective means of metabolic surgery for T2D; however, there are many questions yet to be answered: What is the proper timing of sur-

![Figure 1. Changes in fasting blood glucose and HbA1c levels [LMGB > LSG (month 3) p<0.05].](image1)

![Figure 2. Insulin and C-peptide levels before and after LSG vs. LSAGB.](image2)
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surgery relative to other type 2 diabetes therapies? As long diabetes duration is the strongest predictor of postoperative non-remission surgery, could it be considered earlier in the disease rather than only as salvage therapy? How do various operations compare with one another? What is the full risk: benefit ratio of classical operations in less obese patients with type 2 diabetes, and how low a BMI might we contemplate? What about the long term effects? What are the exact mechanisms of metabolic effects?

We need to work hard, publish studies and wait for others to publish their results to see and learn more about the future of bariatric surgery.
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