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Abstract

Introduction: Epicardial adipose tissue (EAT) is a visceral adipose tissue surrounding heart and coronary arteries. It is shown to be associated with increased cardiovascular disease risk and mortality. Here, we aimed to search for the relationship between Framingham-10-year-coronary heart disease risk and epicardial fat thickness (EFT) in metabolic syndrome (MetS) patients.

Methods: A total of 35 patients, 25 female and 10 male, with MetS were included in the study. Cardiac risk factors (age, gender, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein [HDL] cholesterol, smoking, systolic blood pressure [BP], and medication) determined by Framingham Heart Study were screened to use Framingham-10-year-coronary heart disease risk tool to assess the cardiovascular risk. EFT was measured with two-dimensional (2D) echocardiography. Results were evaluated using SPSS.

Results: BP was normal in 62.9%, in upper normal range in 28.6% and high in 8.6% of the patients. There was positive correlation between EAT and waist circumference (WC) and no correlation between age, fasting blood glucose (FBG), triglyceride (TG), HDL, total cholesterol, N/L ratio, Framingham risk score (FRS), and EAT. There was statistically significant difference in BP groups for TG, WC, and FRS but no difference for other parameters evaluated. Mean WC, N/L ratio, and FRS were statistically significant higher in men compared to women.

Discussion and Conclusion: Relation of EFT and MetS is shown in literature. Although EFT is not found to predict the cardiovascular risk in MetS in our study; smoking, HT and male gender are related to increased cardiovascular risk and this finding might help to determine the treatment priorities to prevent further cardiac damage in MetS patients.
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pressure (BP), impaired lipid, and glucose metabolism. It is related with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality \[5\]. By the increase in awareness of cardiovascular diseases, different modeling systems have been developed recently; these models help to predict cardiovascular event risks using different risk factors. One of the frequently used models is Framingham 10-year-cardiovascular disease risk calculator. Although the relationship between EFT and MetS and its components have been well described in studies, its importance about the long-term prediction of cardiovascular disease risk is still unclear.

In our study, we evaluated cardiovascular risk using Framingham 10-year-cardiovascular disease risk calculator and investigated the relationship between these risk factors and EFT and its prediction of future cardiovascular disease possibility.

**Materials and Methods**

A total of 35 patients, 25 female and 10 male, with MetS diagnosis were included in the study. Their medical histories, smoking habits, and clinical findings were recorded.

BP was measured after 10 min rest, at sitting position. The mean value of at least three measurements from different clinic visits was taken.

MetS diagnosis was made according to the International Diabetes Foundation - 2005 criteria:

- Abdominal obesity (WC: 94 cm in Caucasian men, 80 cm in Caucasian women).
- And at least 2 of the following criteria
  - Triglyceride (TG) 150 mg/dl.
  - High-density lipoprotein (HDL)-chol: <40 mg/dl in men, <50 mg/dl in women.
  - BP 130/85 mmHg.
  - Glucose 100 mg/dl or Type 2 Diabetes mellitus (DM).

Cardiovascular risk was calculated using Framingham 10-year-cardiovascular disease risk calculator. Six risk factors were used for the calculation (gender, T-cholesterol, HDL-C, systolic or diastolic BP [under treatment or not], and smoking) and the 10-year risk was calculated as a percentage \[6\]. EAT was measured by transthoracic 2D-echocardiography. Results were evaluated using SPSS.

**Laboratory Measurements**

Fasting blood samples were obtained, and plasma glucose, uric acid, TG, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were measured. LDL cholesterol was evaluated with directly homogenous enzymatic calorimetric method (Roche Diagnostics, GMBH, Mannheim, Germany) using PP modular kits and CRP measured by the immunoturbidimetric method (Roche Diagnostics, GMBH, Mannheim, Germany).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 15.0 for Windows program. Descriptive statistics were reported as number and percentage for categorical variables and as mean and standard deviation for numerical variables. When the numerical variables were distributing normally, in comparison of >2 independent groups one-way analysis of variance, if those groups were not distributing normally, Kruskal-Wallis tests were performed. In non-parametric tests, subgroup analysis was performed with the Mann–Whitney U-test and commented with Bonferroni correction. The ratios of categorical variables between groups were tested with Chi-square test. When parametric test criteria could not be achieved, the associations of numerical variables were investigated with Spearman correlation analysis. The discriminating factors were tested with linear regression analysis. The statistical alpha significance level was regarded as \(p<0.05\).

Informed consent was obtained from all patients, and the study was approved by our Local Ethical Committee (Istanbul Training and Research Hospital Ethical Committee).

**Results**

A total of 35 patients, 25 female and 10 male, with MetS diagnosis were included in the study. Their mean age was 52.29±10.21 years, and the smoking rate was 31.4%. Their BP was found to be: 62.9% normal, 28.6% upper normal, and 8.6% high. The demographic, clinical and biochemical characteristics of patients are summarized in Table 1.

The smoking rate of men was statistically significant higher than women (\(p<0.001\)). There was no statistically significant difference between two gender groups for BP (\(p=0.254\)). The mean value of HDL-C was statistically significant higher in women when compared to men (\(p=0.001\)). WC and mean cardiac risk score (CRS) was statistically significant higher in men than women (\(p=0.008, p=0.029, p=0.039, p=0.029\) in order). There was no statistically significant difference between two gender groups for mean values of age, fasting
blood glucose (FBG), uric acid, total reflection grating, LDL, T. cholesterol, insulin, EFT, and N/L ratio (p=0.117, p=0.283, p=0.343, p=0.268, p=0.119, p=0.406, p=0.162, p=0.408, p=0.693 in order). The relationship between EFT and other variables is shown in Table 1.

There was statistically significant difference in BP groups for mean TG, WC, and CRS values (p=0.024, p=0.024, p=0.015 in order). There was no statistically significant difference between other variables.

In a single variable analysis made to determine the factors that effect EFT, among factors with p<0.05 in the model created with age, gender, and mean CRS, the most important factors effecting EFT were found to be WC and LDL-C (p=0.020, p=0.036) Table 2.

**Discussion**

In this study, where we investigated the relationship between EFT and Mets components and its possible use for long-term prediction of cardiovascular disease risk; we found a close relationship between EFT and HT and WC, but it was insufficient to predict the future cardiovascular risk alone.

EFT plays an active role in coronary artery disease origination [7]. Increase in EFT leads to endocrine and paracrine effects that cause cardiovascular structural changes and added to low-grade inflammation seen in Mets; it increases cardiovascular disease risk. In literature, the relationship of increased EFT and cardiovascular diseases such as impaired microvascular coronary flow [8], atrial fibrillation [9], prolonged QT time [10], left ventricular diastolic disfunction [11], subclinical atherosclerosis [12], and increased coronary atherosclerotic load [13] is shown.

In previous studies, a relationship between EFT and Mets components is shown. In a meta-analysis made by Rabkin et al. [14], EFT was increased significantly in the metabolic syndrome group and was correlated with TG, WC, and systolic BP. Yorgun et al. [15] also found a significant correlation between EAT and MetS components.

WC is the major criteria of MetS and used widely to assess visceral adiposity. It is found to be a stronger cardiovascular risk predictor than body mass index (BMI) [16]. Our study similarly showed a strong correlation between EAT and WC. In hypertensive patient group, WC was found significantly higher than the normotensive group, and that contributed to the increased cardiovascular risk score.

Impaired glucose tolerance and diabetes mellitus are a part of MetS and show a close relationship with EFT. Lacobellis et al. [17] showed a significant correlation between EFT and MetS components (insulin sensitivity, glucose intolerance, insulin, EFT, and N/L ratio (p=0.117, p=0.283, p=0.343, p=0.268, p=0.119, p=0.406, p=0.162, p=0.408, p=0.693 in order). The relationship between EFT and other variables is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Demographic, clinical and biochemical characteristics of subjects**

| Age (year) | 52.29±10.21/54 |
| Gender n (%) |  |  |
| Female | 25 (71.4) |
| Male | 10 (28.6) |
| Smoking n (%) |  |  |
| (+) | 11 (31.4) |
| (-) | 24 (68.6) |
| BP n (%) |  |  |
| Normal | 22 (62.9) |
| Upper Normal | 10 (28.6) |
| High | 3 (8.6) |
| FBG mean±SD/median | 118.71±58.08/103 |
| Uric acid mean±SD/median | 5.64±1.35/5.4 |
| TG mean±SD/median | 221.06±158.54/183 |
| LDL (mg/dl) mean±SD/median | 133.37±44.67/135 |
| HDL (mg/dl) mean±SD/median | 44.54±11.13/43 |
| T. cholesterol (mg/dl) mean±SD/median | 221.11±47.88/223 |
| WC Mean±SD/median | 99.60±9.51/98 |
| Insulin mean±SD/median | 14.51±15.36/10.78 |
| Neutrophil mean±SD/median | 2.08±0.71/1.99 |
| Neutrophil/Lymphocyte mean±SD/median | 4561.14±1208.31/4470 |
| Lymphocyte mean±SD/median | 229.43±595.70/2080 |
| Neutrophil/Lymphocyte mean±SD/median | 2.08±0.71/1.99 |
| EFT percentage (mm) | 0.49±0.16/0.47 |
| CRS mean±SD/median | 6.80±9.23/2 |

CRS: Cardiac risk score; WC: Waist circumference; LDL: Low-density lipoprotein; HDL: High-density lipoprotein; TG: Triglyceride; FBG: Fasting blood glucose; WC: Waist circumference; LDL: Low-density lipoprotein; HDL: High-density lipoprotein; BP: Blood pressure.
Hypertension is an important risk factor for coronary artery disease and atherosclerosis and is also a component of MetS. The hemodynamic load and increase in pressure in hypertension, cause myocyte hyperplasia and capillary proliferation leading to an increase in ventricular wall thickness. As a result, myocardium's energy need is enhanced, and the increase in EFT is thought to be a maladaptive process that happens to provide myocardium's energy need through FFA. There are several studies supporting the increase in EFT in HT \cite{20}. It is also shown that EFT is increased even in prehypertensive patients when compared to normal people \cite{21}. Although EFT is increased in hypertensive patient group in our study when compared to the normotensive group, it is not statistically significant. This might be due to a small number of patients, multidrug use, few number of Grade 2–3 hypertensive patients, common use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blocker which decrease cardiac remodeling and has anti-inflammatory and anti-proliferative effects \cite{22, 23}. The presence of hypertrygliseridemic waist in the hypertensive group also supports the EFT increase. Although EFT is shown to be related to cardiovascular disease risk \cite{13}, we did not get the same result for long-term cardiac risk calculation using Framingham risk score calculator. This might be caused by the presence of several risk factors that can be modified. There is no other study in literature explaining such results. Only Nelson et al. showed a weak relationship in a similar study \cite{24}. In our study, we found increased cardiac risk in hypertensive patients and male patients.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the golden standard for EAT measurement, but echocardiography is a non-invasive and cheap method for EAT measurement. Iobellis et al. \cite{7} showed results with similar sensitivity for EAT measurement with ECHO when compared to MRI and with CT in another study \cite{25}.

The cutoff value of EFT is not clear and is different in studies. In MetS, Iobellis et al.\cite{26} declared it as 9.5 mm in male and 7.5 mm in female, Natale et al. as 7 mm both in male and female in subclinical atherosclerosis, and Eroğlu et al. \cite{27} as 5.2 for coronary artery disease. Plerdominica et al. \cite{28} found it as 2.5 and 7.1 mm in normal population, Faghihi et al. \cite{29} 2.95 mm for early atherosclerosis. We found mean EAT as 4.7 mm in females and 5.3 mm in males in MetS. It was 4.8 mm in normotensive while 5.3 mm in hypertensive patients, the difference was not statistically significant.

Conclusions
We showed that the most important factor that predicted EAT is visceral adiposity and as its reflection, WC but it was not a tool to predict the cardiovascular disease risk alone. Moreover, hypertension is an important risk factor for long-term cardiovascular risk scoring, independent of MetS and EFT.

Limitations of Our Study
Studies with a greater number of subjects who would be followed for a longer term could be more beneficial. The effect of weight loss and treatment on EFT should be investigated. Number of patients, short follow-up time and being cross-sectional are limiting our study. Left ventricular mass index and BMI and their relationship with EFT would add to the significance of the study.
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