The Effects of Dexmedetomidine on Hemodynamic Parameters and Intubation Conditions
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Abstract

Introduction: In this study, we aim to investigate the effects of two different preanesthetic doses of dexmedetomidine on the hemodynamic response to endotracheal intubation, intubation conditions and neuromuscular block.

Methods: Seventy-five ASAI-II patients, aged 18-70 years, who required tracheal intubation for elective surgery were allocated for this study. Before induction, patients were randomly divided into three groups to receive either saline as placebo (20cc) (Group C), dexmedetomidine 0.5 μg/kg (Group D0.5) or dexmedetomidine 1 μg/kg (Group D1) in a total volume of 20 cc in 10 min. Heart rate (HR) and mean arterial pressure (MAP) were recorded according to the study’s measurement time. Time to achieve 90% and 100% neuromuscular block, time to recovery from neuromuscular block 25% (T25), 50% (T50), 75% (T75) and recovery index values were noted.

Results: The increases in HR after laryngoscopy and immediately intubation were lower in both groups D than Group C. Values of MAP during laryngoscopy were lower in both Groups D than Group C. Times to achieve 90% and 100% neuromuscular block were found significantly lower in dexmedetomidine groups than Group C. Values of T75 and recovery index in Group D 0.5, T25, T50, T75 and recovery index in Group D1 were longer than Group C. Neuromuscular block properties were similar between dexmedetomidine groups.

Discussion and Conclusion: We conclude that dexmedetomidine decreased hemodynamic response to endotracheal intubation, especially heart rate dose-dependently, whereas it decreased time to achieve neuromuscular block and increased time to recovery from the neuromuscular block as independent from dose.
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healthy individuals, they may have negative consequences in risky cases [1]. In anesthesia practice, to suppress hemodynamic responses to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation; in addition to pharmacological approach, glossopharyngeal and superior laryngeal nerve blocks can be applied with various drugs [4-6]. Bolus and infusion applications of dexmedetomidine suppress sympathetic activation in endotracheal intubation and extubation [7-9]. Tissue perfusion decreases due to decrease in cardiac output and blood pressure secondary to sympatholytic activation of central and peripheral pathways following infusion of its loading dose with resultant alterations in distribution kinetics of rocuronium, prolongation of recovery from neuromuscular block, and decrease in the requirement for musculolaxant drugs [10]. Dexmedetomidine has found widespread use in many areas of daily anesthesia practice because of its inhibition of sympathetic activity, and reduction in hemodynamic response with its effects on sympathetic nerve endings, induction of sedation, anxiolysis and analgesia with its anesthetic and opioid protective effect without any impact on spontaneous breathing [11,12]. In our prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study, we aimed to evaluate the effects of two different doses of dexmedetomidine (0.5 µg/kg and 1 µg/kg) before induction on hemodynamic responses related to intubation, intubation conditions, and neuromuscular block.

**Materials and Methods**

Our study was planned as a randomized placebo-controlled and double-blind trial upon the decision of Uludağ University Faculty of Medicine Health Application and Research Center (B.30.2.ULU.0.01.00.01.02.020/8292) and after approval of the Ethics Committee was obtained. Seventy-five ASA I-II patients aged 18-70 years who would undergo endotracheal intubation under general anesthesia and elective conditions were included in the study. For this study, patients who could be placed in a prone position on the operation table with one arm left outside the operation area for neuromuscular monitoring. Before this study, each patient was informed about the study, and written consent was obtained from the volunteers who agreed to participate.

Patients with heart, liver, renal failure, morbid obesity, a history of allergy to drugs used in this study and suspect pregnancy, patients who were using drugs known to affect neuromuscular transmission and who were expected to have intubation difficulties were excluded from this study. The cases were divided into three groups before induction according to the closed envelope method as follows:

- dexmedetomidine 0.5 µg/kg (Group D 0.5, n=25)
- dexmedetomidine 1 µg/kg (Group D 1, n=25)
- saline (SF) (Group C, n=25)

Standard D-II derivation electrocardiography, noninvasive blood pressure, end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration (ETCO₂) and peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂) monitoring were performed using Datex-Ohmeda Cardiocap™/5 (GE, Finland) device. A 20 G iv cannula was inserted into the back of the hand, and 0.9% NaCl infusion was started at a rate of 7mg/kg/h. Before administration, the Datex-Ohmeda Cardiocap™/5 (GE, Finland) device was placed between the thumbs and index fingers of the hands to be monitored to assess neuromuscular conduction. The ulnar nerve was preferred for neuromuscular monitoring. The ulnar artery was palpated, and the location of the ulnar nerve was determined. This area was cleaned with alcohol, and after drying, two electrodes (active electrode on the distal, and inactive electrode on the proximal part) were attached along the ulnar nerve tracing at the level of wrist fold (Fig. 1).

The drugs used in this study were prepared by a physician who did not participate in this study and diluted with saline to a total amount of 20 cc. Also, 20 cc SF was prepared to be applied in Group C. Study medications were administered within ten minutes as an infusion before induction. After induction of anesthesia, 2 mg/kg propofol and 1 µg/kg fentanyl were administered, and the patient was made unconscious. Then, supramaximal stimulation was administered to obtain a 100% control value by neuromuscular

![Figure 1. Neuromuscular monitorization.](image)
monitoring of Datex-Ohmeda Cardiocap™/5 (GE, Finland) device. Subsequently, rocuronium at an iv dose of 0.6 mg/kg was administered slowly at one attempt. Endotracheal intubation was performed when 90% of the neuromuscular conduction was blocked. Intubation conditions were evaluated using the intubation scoring system (Table 1) [13], and the following parameters were recorded: (times to achievement of 90% and 100% inhibition of neuromuscular conduction by the muscle relaxant compared to baseline (90% and 100% [maximum] block formation times, respectively), time to the onset of the effect (the interval between the end of muscle relaxant injection, and maximum neuromuscular block (TOF ratio 0), the duration of clinical effect (from the end of muscle relaxant injection to the return of neuromuscular conduction at 25%, 50% and 75% of baseline value; T25, T50 and T75) and recovery index (the time interval elapsed between 25% to 75% recovery from a neuromuscular block).

Mechanical ventilation was performed for all cases to achieve ETCO₂ values of 35-40 mmHg. Anesthesia was maintained with a mixture of 1-2% sevoflurane and 50% O₂ + N₂O. When the peroperative TOF value was 2, an additional dose of 0.15 mg/kg rocuronium was administered. Heart rate (HR), mean arterial blood pressure (MAP), SpO₂ and ETCO₂ values of the subjects were recorded before (Z1), after administration (Z2), before induction (Z3), after induction (Z4), during laryngoscopy (Z5), immediately after intubation (Z6), 1, 3, 5, 10 and 15 minutes after intubation (Z7, Z8, Z9, Z10 and Z11, respectively). Complications (e.g. bradycardia, hypotension, sore throat, nausea and vomiting) were observed during the operation, and at postoperative 24 hour were recorded.

Hypotension was defined as a decrease in MAP of more than 25% for 60 secs compared to the baseline value, and it was treated with 5-10 mg iv ephedrine.

Bradycardia was defined as HR of <45 bpm for 60 secs and treated with 0.5 mg iv atropine. In the presence of nausea and vomiting, administration of 20 mg iv metoclopramide was planned.

### Table 1. Intubation scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluated variables</th>
<th>Clinically acceptable</th>
<th>Clinically unacceptable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laryngoscopy</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position of the vocal cord</td>
<td>Easy</td>
<td>Sufficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction to tracheal tube placement and inflation</td>
<td>Vocal cords are far</td>
<td>At the midline/mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of the tube cuff (upon excursion of diaphragm/coughing)</td>
<td>away from each other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Haftt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Movable/hardly maintained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Demographic characteristics of the cases, duration of anesthesia, and surgery (n, Mean±SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group D 0.5 (n=25)</th>
<th>Group D 1 (n=25)</th>
<th>Group C (n=25)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>36.2±12.0</td>
<td>35.8±13.9</td>
<td>33.0±9.6</td>
<td>10.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>67.5±11.0</td>
<td>66.3±12.1</td>
<td>70.5±12.1</td>
<td>10.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>166.2±10.1</td>
<td>163.5±7.5</td>
<td>168.6±8.8</td>
<td>10.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender F/M (n)</td>
<td>15/10</td>
<td>15/10</td>
<td>14/11</td>
<td>20.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA (I/II) (n)</td>
<td>19/6</td>
<td>20/5</td>
<td>23/2</td>
<td>20.298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of anesthesia (min)</td>
<td>171.8±66.6</td>
<td>196.4±55.5</td>
<td>164.1±90.9</td>
<td>10.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (min)</td>
<td>148.6±62.1</td>
<td>168.0±54.6</td>
<td>139.9±85.4</td>
<td>10.339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation; 1Oneway ANOVA test; 2Chi-square test.

Statistical analysis of the data was performed using SPSS 13.0 statistical package program. Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine whether the data showed normal distribution. Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparison of two groups and Kruskal-Wallis test was employed for comparison of more than two groups.

The relationships between the variables were analyzed with Pearson correlation and Spearman correlation coefficients. Pearson chi-square test and Fisher’s exact chi-square test were used to analyze the categorical data. Statistical analyzes were considered significant when p<0.05.

## Results

None of the 75 patients included in this study were excluded. Age, body weight, height, gender, ASA group, duration of anesthesia and surgery were similar (Table 2). There was no difference between total rocuronium doses used. When HRs were compared between groups, the changes in HRs in the Z2, Z3, Z6 and Z7 periods in the Group D1 were significantly higher than in the Group D 0.5, and HRs in the Z2, Z3, Z4, Z5 and Z6 periods in the Group D 0.5 were lower when compared with the Group C. When Group D 1 and Group C were compared, HR values in Group D1 were lower in Z2, Z3, Z4, Z5, Z6 and Z7 periods when compared with those of Group C (Fig. 2).
When the intra-group MAP values were compared, Z2 period values were higher in Groups D 0.5 and D 1 than in Group C (p<0.01, p<0.001, respectively). In addition, the values in Groups D 0.5 and D 1 were lower in the Z5 period when compared with Group C (p<0.01, p<0.01, respectively) (Fig. 3). There was no significant difference in SpO₂ and ETCO₂ values of the cases.

Intraoperative and postoperative complications requiring treatment are shown in Table 3.

The intraoperative bradycardia was significantly higher in Group D 1 than in Group C (p<0.05). In the postoperative period, the incidence of nausea and vomiting was significantly lower in Group D than Group C (p<0.01). When the 90% and 100% block formation times of the cases were compared, these periods were significantly shorter in Groups D 0.5 and D 1 compared to Group C (for all comparisons; p<0.01). In addition, there was no significant difference in dexmedetomidine groups (Table 4).

When 25% (T25), 50% (T50), 75% (T75) recovery times and recovery indexes (T75-T25) of the block were compared, T75 and recovery indexes were found to be longer in Group D 0.5 than Group C (respectively; <0.01, p<0.01). In Group D 1, T25, T50, T75 and recovery index were longer than Group C (p<0.05, p<0.01, p<0.001, p<0.001, respectively). There was no difference between dexmedetomidine groups in terms of these values (Table 4). Intubation scores were similar in all three groups (Fig. 4).

Discussion

As a selective α2 receptor agonist, dexmedetomidine is frequently used in today’s anesthesia practice because it has dose-dependent sedative, anxiolytic and analgesic ef-
Effects without inducing respiratory depression. Also, it reduces anesthetic requirement during intraoperative period and provides hemodynamic stability. The alpha-2 receptors localized in the blood vessels mediate vasoconstriction and those located at sympathetic terminals play a role in the regulation of the autonomic and cardiovascular system by inhibiting norepinephrine release.

Jaakola et al. reported that administration of 0.6 μg/kg iv bolus doses of dexmedetomidine ten min before anesthesia induction suppressed increases in heart rates and arterial pressure due to endotracheal intubation and reduced noradrenaline concentration. Yavaşçaoğlu et al. reported that single administration of 0.5 μg/kg iv dose of dexmedetomidine two min before anesthesia induction also prevented hemodynamic stress responses.

Kordan et al. administered three different doses of dexmedetomidine (0.6 μg/kg, 1μg/kg and 2μg/kg) with three different administrations (1 min, 5 min and 10 min loading, followed by constant infusion of 0.6 μg/kg/h in all 3 groups), and reported a decrease in heart rate depending on the dose, whereas a significant decrease was observed in in MAP at four minutes of intubation in the group receiving only 2 μg/kg dexmedetomidine. In our study, we observed that dexmedetomidine suppressed dose-related heart rate increases during laryngoscopy and intubation periods. During these periods, HR and MAP values were below the continuous control values in dexmedetomidine groups. In addition, during laryngoscopy where hemodynamic responses were most prominent, increases in MAP were similarly prevented by two different doses of dexmedetomidine.

Researchers reported in the literature that doses of 1-4 μg/kg of dexmedetomidine may cause a temporary increase in blood pressure and reflex bradycardia. Peripheral vasoconstriction due to α2β receptor activation in peripheral arterial smooth muscles and subsequent sympatholytic effect due to activation of α2C receptors are responsible for suppressing noradrenaline release by “negative feedback” mechanism. Bloor et al. reported a 2-minute infusion of dexmedetomidine at a dose of 1 μg/kg and a significant increase in MAP compared to baseline values in the subsequent first minute.

Although it has been reported that slow infusion and low-dose administration of dexmedetomidine may prevent this effect, Hall et al. reported that 7% increase in blood pressure and 16-18% decrease in HRs were observed within the first ten minutes even in low-dose infusion applications. In our study, although doses of dexmedetomidine (0.5 μg/kg and 1 μg/kg) were administered slowly, a dose-dependent biphasic effect was observed after administration. Increases in MAP after treatment were similar in both groups, but decreases in HRs were higher in the high dose group.

We observed bradycardia requiring treatment mostly in intraoperative period and in a dose-dependent fashion in dexmedetomidine groups. The incidence of bradycardia was significantly higher in the group where dexmedetomidine was administered at a dose of 1 μg/kg. In studies performed, the reason for postoperative bradycardia was thought to be suppressed sympathetic flow and increased vagal tone after the central effect of dexmedetomidine, but in our study, bradycardia extending to the postoperative period was found in one patient in Group D 1. In addition, complications, such as headache and dry mouth associated with dexmedetomidine administration in the postoperative period, were not observed in any of our cases.

The sedative, anxiolytic, analgesic and sympatholytic properties of dexmedetomidine are thought to play a role in the antiemetic effect. Considering that psychological and emotional factors are also effective in dysfunction of the gut-brain axis, it is possible that the sedative and anxiolytic effects of dexmedetomidine may play a role in the antiemetic effect. Although the effects of intubation were evaluated in our study, the decrease in intraoperative anesthetic and opioid and postoperative analgesic requirements by dexmedetomidine may contribute to antiemetic effect as shown in other studies.

Yıldız et al. emphasized that nausea and vomiting were significantly reduced in patients receiving dexmedetomidine 1 μg/kg 30 minutes before surgery. Taghinia et al. reported that the need for midazolam and fentanyl decreased as well as the antiemetic requirement during sedation with dexmedetomidine infusion. Tobias benefited from the antiemetic properties of dexmedetomidine in three
children with cyclic vomiting syndrome [27]. In our study, the frequency of nausea and vomiting was lower in dexmedetomidine groups than in the control group. While this antiemetic effect was not statistically significant in the group given 0.5 μg/kg dexmedetomidine, it was statistically significant in the group given dexmedetomidine at a dose of 1 μg/kg. Nausea and vomiting were not observed in this group. There are studies showing the effects of dexmedetomidine on the neuromuscular block [10,28,29].

In pilot studies performed in rats, dexmedetomidine has been reported to induce muscle relaxation via central α2 adrenergic mechanisms, to reduce electromyographic activity in the hind limbs and to prevent alfentanil-induced muscle rigidity [30]. Weinger et al. investigated the effects of dexmedetomidine (10, 30 and 100 μg/kg) on a single episode of depression induced by vecuronium infusion in anesthetized rats. They reported that it did not affect T1 height in the first 30 minutes and that it had minor effects in later periods.

They suggested that neuromuscular blocking properties may be independent of the neuromuscular junction and may be due to secondary mechanisms such as cardiovascular depression [28]. In contrast, Narimatsu et al. studied the in vitro effects of clinical and experimental doses of dexmedetomidine and clonidine on diaphragm isolated from rats under rocuronium-induced neuromuscular blockade. In their study, they reported that high experimental doses instead of clinical doses affect neuromuscular block due to rocuronium [29]. In contrast to Weinger et al., they suggested that these effects were shown to block nicotinic acetylcholine receptors after the neuromuscular junction, independent of the α2 adrenergic agonist effect [28].

In their study, Talke et al. produced a constant plasma concentration with a target-controlled infusion of dexmedetomidine in volunteers who were anesthetized with propofol and alfentanil and reported that plasma concentrations of rocuronium increased, T1 response decreased, systolic blood pressure decreased and finger blood flow decreased. They suggested that vasoconstriction due to dexmedetomidine may be effective in these changes [31]. In their clinical studies, Memis et al. performed endotracheal intubation without the use of muscle relaxants after anesthesia induction with propofol and alfentanil, however they used dexmedetomidine (loading dose, 1 μg/kg, infusion rate, 0.2 μg/kg/hr), rocuronium, N₂O, and sevoflurane for the maintenance of anesthesia.

They reported that after 15 minutes of intubation, HR and MAP decreased, T25 increased, recovery time did not change, the time required to return 90% of the first stimulus was prolonged, and the total intraoperative requirement of rocuronium decreased [10]. They thought that dexmedetomidine administration diminished tissue perfusion as a result of decreases in cardiac output and blood pressure due to central and peripheral sympatholytic activation, altering the distribution kinetics of rocuronium and reducing dose requirements.

In our study, the findings showed that two different doses of dexmedetomidine administered as a single dose before induction of anesthesia shortened 90% and 100% block formation times and prolonged T75 and recovery index times. In addition, a dose of 1 μg/kg prolonged the T25 and T50 recovery times from nerve block. We think that these results may be related to the sympatholytic effects of dexmedetomidine, which is effective in suppressing hemodynamic responses to intubation. However, the relaxation of the smooth muscle tone of propofol used in the induction of anesthesia may also contribute to this effect.

In their study, Yıldız et al. used the Goldberg intubation scale to evaluate the intubation status and observed that dexmedetomidine had positive effects on intubation conditions [25]. In our study, no statistical difference was found between the groups in terms of intubation scores. We conclude that endotracheal intubation performed after 90% block in TOF response in each patient have yielded this result.

In the light of the findings in our study, single-dose administration of dexmedetomidine before rocuronium administration may be advantageous in cases where serial induction and intubation is preferred. Dexmedetomidine may also reduce the need for muscle relaxants in the intraoperative period. In addition, when the infusion of dexmedetomidine is preferred, it should be kept in mind that residual block may develop and neuromuscular blockade should be monitored with neuromuscular monitoring.

As a result, we observed that dexmedetomidine administered in two different doses before induction of anesthesia suppressed hemodynamic responses due to laryngoscopy and intubation, shortened neuromuscular block formation time and prolonged block recovery time. We suggest that a single dose of dexmedetomidine before anesthesia induction may be beneficial in cases where serial induction and intubation is required in risky cases where hemodynamic responses need to be suppressed.
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