

DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473

Manuscript Type: Original Article.

Title: Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel

Running Title: Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel

Authors: 1Çiğdem Eda Balkan Bozlak, 2Hayrunnisa Bekis Bozkurt

Institutions: 1Kafkas University Medical Faculty Medical Microbiology Department.Kars/TURKEY.

2Kafkas University Medical Faculty Pediatric Department.Kars/TURKEY.

Address for Correspondence: Çiğdem Eda Balkan Bozlak. Kafkas University Medical Faculty, Health Research and Practice Hospital, Microbiology Department, 36000, Kars/Turkey

E-mail: cigdemedabalkan@gmail.com

Cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. Erciyes Med J 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. Erciyes Med J 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:

www.erciyesmedj.com

Summary

Objective: The Zika virus is an important viral agent that presents the risk of pandemic disease. Infection with the virus can cause microcephaly in infants, as well as other potential effects. The aim of this study was to evaluate the level of knowledge about the Zika virus among healthcare personnel in Turkey.

Methods: A total of 290 healthcare personnel from a secondary and a tertiary health institution were included in this study. The data were collected using a survey form prepared by the researchers and statistically analyzed.

Results: Among the respondents, there was awareness of the Zika virus in 68.7%, 75.0%, 75.9%, and 77.0% of women, individuals over 35 years of age, doctors, and those with a healthcare career of at least 10 years, respectively. The results revealed that 58.9% knew the true means of transmission, 36.0% were aware of prevention efforts, 41.1% were familiar with potential complications, .5% reported knowledge of treatment, and 16.8% responded correctly regarding the availability of a vaccine. The primary source of information about the virus was TV and radio for 68.5% of the participants, and 3.6% cited scientific papers.

Conclusion: The Zika virus is a potential pandemic agent. The knowledge level of the healthcare personnel studied was insufficient to meet such a challenge. The ministry of health and universities should provide the appropriate training to healthcare personnel.

Keywords: Health personnel, knowledge, Zika virus

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. Erciyes Med J 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:

www.erciyesmedj.com

INTRODUCTION

The Zika virus is a member of the genus *Flavivirus* and the family *Flaviviridae*. It is transmitted to humans primarily through the bite of an infected *Aedes* mosquito (1,2). The virus also has the ability to spread from person to person through body secretions (3,5,6). Outbreaks have been reported in Africa, Southeast Asia, the Americas, and the Pacific. The most feared feature of this virus is that infection during pregnancy can be a cause of microcephaly and other congenital abnormalities in the developing fetus and newborn, as well as pregnancy complications. There is currently no specific treatment for Zika virus infection and there is no vaccine (4,7). Prevention efforts include personal measures to protect against mosquito bites and larger initiatives to control the mosquito population (8-12). In the study done by Kafkas University researchers, their knowledge on the geographical spread of these species, they have performed field work in September 2015 to collect data on the distribution of invasive *Aedes* mosquitoes in Georgia and north-eastern Turkey. Significant findings of these studies have been (13) the presence of both *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* over extended areas of Georgia including *Ae. aegypti* in the capital city Tbilisi, and (14) the spread of both species into north-eastern Turkey. (15)

Although the outbreaks have thus far been relatively limited, the appearance of the disease in Turkey and the potential for it to spread prompted an assessment of the knowledge of healthcare personnel about this virus.

Aim of our study is measure the knowledge level of healthcare personnel about zika virus and point out the danger is not far away and healthcare personnel should be prepared for a possible outbreak of the virus in our region.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. *Erciyes Med J* 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:
www.erciyesmedj.com

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The universe of the present study was the doctors and midwives/nurses of the Kafkas University Faculty of Medicine and Kars State Hospital. The Kafkas University Medical Faculty Ethical Committee granted approval for this study on 2/12/2018(no:80576354-050-99/12) . The total population of the universe was 676 individuals: In all there were 224 physicians and 452 midwives/nurses. Because of the study questionnaire was conducted by volunteers most of personel don't want to answer the questions. Since the duration of the study is long, with the same participants it is thought that the situation will not change. While all of the potential respondents were targeted, the study data consisted of replies from 290 (42.9%) members of the universe.

Data collection

Physicians and midwives/nurses at both institutions were informed about the research and that participation was optional. The data collection form was distributed and a total of 290 were completed and collected between January 7, 2019 and January 11, 2019.

Preparation of the data form

The data form was prepared based on a literature search performed by the researchers. It consisted of 2 parts. The first requested age, gender, occupation, and years in their profession, as well as simple awareness of the Zika virus. The second part consisted of questions designed to elicit more specific knowledge about the virus: the means of transmission, prevention, potential complications, treatment, and vaccination.

Knowledge of the path of transmission was determined based on responses to the following question:

The Zika virus is transmitted to humans by ticks

A- True

B-False (It is transmitted by.....)

C-I don't know

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. Erciyes Med J 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:

www.erciyesmedj.com

Those who selected A or C were counted as not knowing the correct means of transmission of the virus, as well as those who responded incorrectly in choice B.

Prevention asked in a different question:

Is there any protection against Zika virus?

A-Yes

B-No not yet

C-I don't know.

Those who selected B were counted as not knowing the correct means of protection of the virus, as well as those who responded incorrectly in choice A and C. And other questions like that.

The data were analyzed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess normality and a chi-square test was used in categorical analyses. Descriptive statistics were also formulated. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.005$.

RESULTS

The mean age of the healthcare personnel surveyed was 31.3 years (± 0.5 years) and the median age was 30 years (range: 18-60 years). Of the study participants, 68.3% were female, 27.2% were physicians, 23.4% were under the age of 24, 46.2% were 25-34 years old, 30.3% were over the age of 35, 68.6% had worked less than 10 years in the profession, and 30% had more than 10 years of professional experience.

As demonstrated in **Table 1**, 68.1% of all of the respondents knew of the Zika virus and associated disease: 68.7% of women, 75.0% of those over the age of 35, 75.9% of physicians, and 77.0% of those who had been working for 10 years or more.

A statistically significant difference was found regarding age and the length of occupational experience and knowledge of the disease ($p = 0.033$ and $p = 0.041$). There was no statistically

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. Erciyes Med J 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:

www.erciyesmedj.com

significant difference between gender and occupation type and awareness of the virus ($p=0.733$ and $p=0.073$, respectively).

Table 2 shows the level of knowledge about some of the features of virus. In all, 58.9% knew the correct transmission route, 36.0% were familiar with methods of prevention, 41.1% were aware of potential complications, 0.5% of treatment, and 16.8% knew of the status of a vaccine. Total means the number of personnels answering each questions like correct, incorrect and I'don't know.

Table 3 illustrates the information sources of healthcare personnel who participated in the study. TV and radio were the sources for 68.5%, while 3.6% replied that they had learned about the virus from scientific papers.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. Erciyes Med J 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:

www.erciyesmedj.com

Table 1. The distribution of the level of knowledge of Zika virus disease according to demographic characteristics

Demographic features	Awareness of Zika virus disease				Total		P
	Yes		No		Number	(%)*	
	Number	(%)*	Number	(%)*			
Gender***							
Female	136	68,7	62	31,3	198	100	0,733
Male	60	66,7	30	33,3	90	100	
Age (years)							
<24	40	58,8	28	41,2	68	100	0,033#
25-34	91	67,9	43	32,1	134	100	
>35	66	75,0	22	25,0	88	100	
Profession							
Medical doctor	60	75,9	19	24,1	79	100	0,073
Midwife/nurse	137	64,9	74	35,1	211	100	
Professional experience (years)							
<10	129	64,8	70	35,2	199	100	0,041
>10	67	77,0	20	23,0	87	100	

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. Erciyes Med J 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:

www.erciyesmedj.com

Total **	197	68,1	92	31,9	290	100	
-----------------	-----	------	----	------	-----	-----	--

* Row percentage, ** Column percentage, *** Two data points missing, # Slope chi-square, ## Four data points missing.

Table: 2. Specific knowledge related to the Zika virus

	Knowledge						Total	
	Correct		Incorrect		Don't know			
	Number	(%)	Number	(%)	Number	(%)	Number	(%)
Transmission	116	58,9	15	7,6	66	33,5	197	100
Prevention	71	36,0	11	5,6	115	58,4	197	100
Complications	81	41,1	49	24,9	67	34	197	100
Treatment	1	0,5	24	12,2	172	87,3	197	100
Vaccine status	33	16,8	6	3	158	80,2	197	100
Total	116	58,9	15	7,6	66	33,5	197	100

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. Erciyes Med J 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:

www.erciyesmedj.com

Table 3. Sources of information about the Zika virus

Resource	Number	(%)
Television/radio news	135	68,5
Internet	42	21,3
Newspaper/magazine	6	3,0
Scientific papers	7	3,6
Friends, social groups	7	3,6
Total	197	100

DISCUSSION

A statistically significant difference was determined with respect to age and the length of professional experience and knowledge of the Zika virus. These variables are often related; it is not unexpected that those who were over the age of 35 knew more about the virus. Almost 70% of those who knew of the Zika virus cited receiving information from the news on television/radio, which may suggest that they are greater consumers of media about current political, economic, and social events. Similar findings were obtained in a study with dentists in India. It was reported in India, most of the knowledge of the practitioners came from television (37.8%) while journals only represented 4.7% of the total information gained (11).

Approximately 40 of every 100 healthcare personnel surveyed were unaware of the means of transmission of the Zika virus, 65% did not know of precautionary measures, 60% did not

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. Erciyes Med J 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:

www.erciyesmedj.com

know of the primary potential complications of infection, and only 0.5% (n=1) demonstrated correct knowledge of treatment. It is important to note that 80% of respondents did not know if there is a vaccine or not. In addition, only some 4 out of 100 healthcare personnel had learned about the virus from scientific academic articles. These results indicate that the Zika virus-related knowledge of health professionals in the population studied was both generally and scientifically insufficient.

The reporting on babies born with Zika virus-related central nervous system anomalies that emerged in 2015-2016 changed the approach to this important public health problem (16). Vaccination studies have gained great importance and phase I studies are in progress (17). According to a report published by the World Health Organization in June 2016, this virus had been reported in 67 countries. As of 2019, microcephaly and central nervous system anomalies associated with congenital Zika syndrome had been reported in over 100 countries (18-20).

The Zika virus was first identified in Turkey in October 2017 in a couple who had recently visited Cuba (21). Individuals who travel to places where the virus is active are at risk. The detection and evaluation of the virus is very important, especially in pregnant women.

Conclusion

Although the virus was previously only perceived as a regional threat, the risk of a pandemic gives it great significance (1,2,3). Studies point out that the danger is not far away and healthcare personnel should be prepared for a possible outbreak of the virus in our region (6,7,13-15). The appropriate education and training programs should be coordinated by the ministry of health and universities and initiated without delay.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. Erciyes Med J 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:

www.erciyesmedj.com

References

1. Ministry of Health Public Health Agency of Turkey: Vector and Zoonotic diseases department of documents 2016 Available from:
http://www.thsk.gov.tr/dosya/birimler/zoonotik_hastaliklar_db/dokumanlar/zika_virus/ZVH_Rehber_23_subat_2016_Versiyon_1.pdf

2-European centre for disease prevention and control: rapid risk assessment: Zika virus infection outbreak, Brazil and the Pacific region 25 May 2015. Stockholm: ECDC: Available from: http://ecdc.europa.eu/en/healthtopics/zika_virus_infection/pages/index.aspx

3-J. Erin Staples; Eric J. Dziuban; Marc Fischer; Janet D. Cragan; Sonja A. Rasmussen; Michael J. Cannon; et al. Moore: Interim Guidelines for the Evaluation and Testing of Infants with Possible Congenital Zika Virus Infection — United States. *Weekly / January 2016*; 65(3);63–67

4-Edward B. Hayes : Zika Virus Outside Africa: Emerging infectious disease. 2009;15(9). Available from: http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/15/9/09-0442_article

5-Mark R. Duffy, D.V.M., M.P.H., et al. Zika Virus Outbreak on Yap Island, Federated States of Micronesia: *N Engl J Med* 2009; 360:2536-2543.

6-Jesse J. Waggoner and Benjamin A. Pinsky : Zika Virus: Diagnostics for an Emerging Pandemic Threat: *American Society for Microbiology. J. Clin. Microbiol.*: 17 February 2016. Available from: <http://jcm.asm.org/content/early/2016/02/11/JCM.00279-16.long>

7-Brian D. Foy, Kevin C. Kobylinski, Joy L. Chilson Foy, Bradley J. Blitvich, Amelia Travassos da Rosa, Andrew D. Haddock, Robert S. Lanciotti, and Robert B. Tesh:

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. *Erciyes Med J* 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:

www.erciyesmedj.com

Probable Non-Vector-borne Transmission of Zika Virus, Colorado, USA. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2011; 17(5): 880–882.

8-Sagar Aryal: [Zika Virus- Structure, Genome, Symptoms, Transmission, Pathogenesis, Diagnosis](#): *Virology*: november 5, 2015: Available from: <http://www.microbiologyinfo.com/zika-virus-structure-genome-symptoms-transmission-pathogenesis-diagnosis/>

9- Joob B, Wiwanitkit V. Zika virus infection and dengue: A new problem in diagnosis in a dengue-endemic area. *Ann Trop Med Public Health* 2015; 8:145-6.

10- Zanluca C, dos Santos CND, Zika virus e An overview, *Microbes and Infection.* 2016 May; 18(5):295-301

11-Gupta N, [Randhawa RK](#), [Thakar S](#), [Bansal M](#), Gupta P, [Arora V.](#): [Knowledge regarding Zika virus infection among dental practitioners of tricity area \(Chandigarh, Panchkula and Mohali\), India](#): *Niger Postgrad Med. J.* 2016; 23(1):33-37.

12-Sahiner F, Zika Virus Salgınının Küresel Yayılımı: Güncel Bilgiler ve Belirsizlikler: *Mikrobiyoloji Bülteni*, Nisan 2016; 50(2) :333-351

13-Schaffner F, Mathis A. Dengue and dengue vectors in the WHO European Region: past, present, and scenarios for the future. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2014; 14(12):1271–80.

pmid:25172160

14-European Environment Agency. Biogeographical regions in Europe. Available from: <http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/biogeographical-regions-europe>

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. *Erciyes Med J* 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:

www.erciyesmedj.com

15-Akiner MM, Demirci B, Babuadze G, Robert V, Schaffner F. Spread of the invasive mosquitoes *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* in the Black Sea region increases risk of Chikungunya, dengue, and Zika outbreaks in Europe. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016; 10(4): e0004664.

16- Honein MA. Recognizing the Global Impact of Zika Virus Infection during Pregnancy *N Engl J Med*. 2018; 378(11): 1055–1056.

17- Abbink P, Stephenson KE, Barouch DH. Zika virus vaccines.. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* volume 2018; 16: 594–600

18- Zika Situation Report: Zika Virus, Microcephaly and GuillainBarré Syndrome (İnternet). Geneva: World Health Organization . <http://www.who.int/emergencies/zika-virus/situation-report/10-march-2017/en/>.

19-Sarı T. Zika Virus Disease: A Review of the status of the review and the World Wide Epidemic in Turkey. *Klimik Journal*. 2017; 30(1): 2-8.

20- Zika Virüs reports. World Health Organization . <https://www.who.int/emergencies/zika-virus/situation-report/28-july-2016/en/>.

21- Sezen Aİ , Yıldırım M , Kültür MN , Pehlivanoğlu F , Menemenlioğlu D. Cases of Zika virus infection in Turkey: newly married couple returning from Cuba. *Mikrobiyol Bul* 01 Jul 2018, 52(3):308-31.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as: Balkan Bozlak ÇE, Bekis Bozkurt H. Microcephaly Agent of Zika Virus And Unknowns by Healthcare Personnel. *Erciyes Med J* 2019; DOI: 10.14744/etd.2019.72473.

©Copyright 2019 by Erciyes University Faculty of Medicine - Available online at:

www.erciyesmedj.com