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Abstract

Objectives: The purpose of this study was to analyze the surgical outcome of conjunctivodacryocystorhinostomy (CDCR) operations with permanent silicone tube implantation (Métaireau tube) with the aid of nasal endoscopy and to obtain subjective measure of patient satisfaction.

Methods: Charts of 13 eyes of 13 patients (five males and eight females) with proximal canalicular obstruction who had undergone CDCR with permanent silicone tube insertion were reviewed retrospectively. Diagnosis of the upper lacrimal system obstruction was confirmed by lacrimal system irrigation. During operation, the length of the tube was adjusted with the aid of nasal endoscopy using the endoscopic scissors to prevent contact of the distal end of the tube to the nasal septum in the nasal cavity. The patients were asked to subjectively rate their epiphora according to Munk Epiphora Grading System before surgery and at 1st, 3rd, and 6th months, and 1 year following surgery.

Results: Improvement of epiphora was statistically significant at all visits when compared with values before injection (p<0.001). Tube dislocation was seen in 4 patients (30.7%), proximal obstruction due to conjunctival granuloma formation was seen in 3 patients (23%). Two patients who had tube dislocation intranasally were revised for 2 times with endoscopy and proximal ends of tubes were resutured. Repositioning of the tube by pulling out from caruncle area with fine forceps was performed only once in two patients.

Conclusion: CDCR with permanent silicone tube insertion and adjustment of the length of the tube with assistance of endoscopy provides easier and efficient approach in patients with proximal lacrimal system obstruction.
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Introduction

Conjunctivodacryocystorhinostomy (CDCR) was first described by Von Hoffman in 1904, Kraupa and Goar described the technique in the following years also (1, 2). Stallard (3) described a procedure in which they opened the fundus of lacrimal sac and sutured to the conjunctiva without a permanent stent. To overcome lacrimal system obstruction, several other techniques such as the use of buccal mucosa, vein grafts, and hydrophilic tube prepared from sclera, silicone rubber tubes were also described (2, 4, 5). CDCR and a Pyrex tube (Weiss Scientific Glass Blowing Company, Portland, OR, U.S.A) implantation were first described by Jones in 1965 (6). Since that time, CDCR with permanent tube implantation for the treatment of epiphora due to non-reconstructable upper lacrimal system obstruction has become the conventional approach (7).

Lacrimal canalicular obstruction can be caused by congenital agenesis, surgical removal of canalculus or punctum, herpetic infection, trauma, tumors, inflammation, lichen
planus, Steven–Johnson’s syndrome, systemic chemotherapy (usually docetaxel treatment), radiation therapy, and canicular dysfunction in facial nerve palsy or as a complication of unsuccessful DCR (2, 7, 8). However, the cause of obstruction is mostly unknown. In this article, our aim was to analyze the surgical outcome of CDCR operation with permanent silicone tube implantation coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) (Métaireau tube, France Chirurgie Instrumentation (FCI) S.A.S. - France Chirurgie Instrumentation 20–22 rue Louis Armand 75015 PARIS - France) with aid of nasal endoscopy and to obtain subjective measure of patient satisfaction.

Methods

A total of 13 eyes of 13 patients who had epiphora due to upper lacrimal system obstruction which was described as <8 mm of healthy proximal canaliculus and undergone CDCR with Métaireau tube insertion between 2014 and 2017 were enrolled in this study and charts of patients were reviewed retrospectively. An informed consent was obtained from all patients in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and the study was approved by local Ethics Committee. All patients underwent clinical examination including visual acuity, slit lamp microscopy, eyelid position, and lacrimal syringing. Consultation from otorhinolaryngologist was obtained for every patient to exclude nasal cavity problem. All patients underwent routine ophthalmological examination. Diagnosis of upper lacrimal system obstruction was based on lacrimal system irrigation to confirm complete obstruction or insufficient tissue to perform canalicular reconstruction. During lacrimal system irrigation, the place of canalicular obstruction and measurement of healthy canaliculus from punctum in mm were noted. The patients were asked to subjectively rate their epiphora according to Munk Epiphora Grading System (9) (Table 1) before surgery and on the 10th day, 1st, 3rd, and 6th months.

CDCR with Métaireau tube insertion was performed by using classical Dupuy-Dutemps-Bourguet technique. Skin incision was incised beginning from the level of inferior margin of medial canthal ligament and incision was made parallel to the orbital rim approximately 10–15 mm long. After blunt dissection and cleavage of peristeum, nasolacrimal sac was reflected laterally. Anterior lacrimal crest and wall of lacrimal fossa were then removed. Attention was paid to create the bony ostium most inferiorly to enable easy drainage of tears down the tube. “H”-shaped incisions were made in the medial wall of lacrimal sac and nasal mucosa followed by anastomosis of posterior flaps where possible. After excision of caruncle, a bypass was created from conjunctiva to nasal cavity. The silicone tube was inserted into the newly created ostium with guidance of lacrimal probe and the length of the tube was adjusted with the aid of nasal endoscopy using the endoscopic scissors to prevent contact of the distal end of the tube to the nasal septum in the nasal cavity. Silicone tubes were fixated to the conjunctiva by passing 6/0 polyglactin suture through the flange. After anastomosis of anterior lacrimal sac flap and nasal mucosal flap, subcutaneous tissue, and skin were closed.

Patients were prescribed systemic and topical antibiotics, nasal decongestant sprays, and artificial tear drops for 1 week and were given instructions such as regular sniffing, but not blowing nose without a finger held over proximal end of tube, wiping the tube with a cotton swab to remove mucus, and forceful aspiration of water or saline to maintain patency. All patients were asked to return if a problem arose, otherwise controlled at 10th day, 1 month, 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year.

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS for Windows Ver. 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Of the 13 patients, five were male and eight were female with a mean age of 47.38±10.6 years (29–69 years). The mean length of follow-up was 23.9±8.3 months, ranging from 12 to 40 months. The most common etiology was canalicular obstruction as a complication of unsuccessful DCR (6 eyes, 46.1%) where four of them had transcanalicular laser-assisted DCR, which was followed by trauma (3 eyes, 23%), conjunctivitis (2 eyes, 15.3%). In two patients, the etiology was unknown (15.3%) (Table 2).

The mean Munk score was 4 in all patients before surgery where improvement of epiphora was statistically significant at 1st, 3rd, and 6th months, and 1 year (1.62, 1.25, 1.4, and 1.82, respectively) (P<0.0001 for all of the controls, Chi-square test).

Tube dislocation was seen in 4 patients (30.7%) and proximal obstruction due to conjunctival granuloma formation was seen in 3 patients (23%). Tube dislocations were seen in the first 3 months. However, granuloma formation was seen at the 6th month in one patient, after 1 year in two patients. All patients who had post-operative complications were re-advised for their problem. Two patients who had tube disloca-

Table 1. Epiphora grading scale according to Munk (10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No epiphora</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occasional epiphora requiring drying or dabbing less than twice daily (&lt;2 times)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epiphora requiring dabbing 2–4 times daily (2–4 times)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epiphora requiring dabbing 5–10 times daily (5–10 times)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epiphora requiring dabbing &gt;10 times daily or constant tearing (&gt;10 times)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
tion intranasally were revised for 2 times with endoscopy and proximal ends of tubes were resutured. Repositioning of the tube by pulling out from caruncle area with fine forceps was performed only once in two patients. The granulomas were excised and sent to pathology, which revealed chronic inflammatory reaction with foreign body giant cell accumulation.

None of the patients had irritation, pain, and diplopia.

**Discussion**

It has been suggested that <8 mm of healthy proximal canaliculus or failure of orbicularis oculi muscle and tear pump needs intervention (2, 8, 10). Epiphora secondary to proximal canalicular obstruction was the main indication for C-DCR operation in this study. The causes of the obstruction of lacrimal system in our study were consistent with previous studies (2, 8, 10−13). Lim et al. (8) reported that the cause of obstruction was mostly idiopathic and trauma was the most common cause where the etiology was known. In the study of Zilelioğlu and Gündüz and Rosen et al., idiopathic and traumatic obstructions were the most common causes also (12, 13). Unsuccessful lacrimal surgery and trauma were the most common causes in our study.

The Méeaireau tube is a flexible silicone tube coated with PVP to have a slick surface to enhance drainage and to prevent occlusion with debris (14, 15). It has a round collar with 4 mm diameter where any suture can be passed easily through its silicone material. The tube length is 40 mm, which can be adjustable by trimming with endoscopy assistance using endonasal scissors during surgery. In this study, we also preferred endoscopy-assisted implantation of Méeaireau tube and we adjusted the tube length in the nasal cavity after insertion without touching the septum just anterior and slightly over the middle turbinate. Chang et al. (15) reported that repositioning of the Méeaireau tube was simple when performed early. In case of subluxation through the nasal cavity tube can be easily pulled out with fine forceps and if dislocation exists, the tube can be taken out from nasal cavity with endoscope and easily inserted from caruncular area.

Extrusion and dislocation rate, which had been reported as 18–51% (2, 7, 8, 11, 12), was found to be 30% in this study. Putterman-Gladstone tube with additional flange (2, 8, 16), frosted Jones Pyrex tube with textured outer surface (7, 8, 17), and porous-polyethylene-coated tube (8, 18) were introduced to increase stability in the surgical fistula to overcome dislocation problem. Rose and Welham suggested that surgical tube replacement should perhaps be regarded as a part of expected maintenance, rather than as a complication due to a step-wise increase in Jones tube replacement or repositioning over time and concluded that 100% of cases would require these procedures if observed over 20 years (10). Malposition was the main factor for our patients to become dissatisfied. In this study, dislocations of the tubes were seen in the first 3 months. This might indicate that instability of the tube position during healing process until the ostium closed around the tube and also increased fibrotic activity in the early post-operative period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>Follow-up (mo)</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Pre-operative Munk</th>
<th>Post-operative Munk (last follow-up)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Tube dislocation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Transcanalicular laser DCR</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Transcanalicular laser DCR</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Granuloma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Conjunctivitis</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Tube dislocation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Unsuccessful DCR</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Conjunctivitis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Tube dislocation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Granuloma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Transcanalicular laser DCR</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Unsuccessful DCR</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Tube dislocation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Granuloma</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Transcanalicular laser DCR</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DCR: Dacryocystorhinostomy.

Table 2. Patient characteristics, etiology, and surgical results
Obstruction was another complication in our study (22.2%). To overcome this problem, all patients were advised regular sniffing, cleaning entrance of the tube with cotton swab to remove mucus, and forceful aspiration of water or saline to maintain tube patency as described in previous reports (2, 8, 10). The most common cause of obstruction was soft tissue hypertrophy or granuloma formation in this study (22.2%) despite excision of caruncle or redundant conjunctiva in the primary operation. Lim et al. (8) defined an intermediate group with patent, well-positioned, and well-draining tubes but had an intermittent epiphora subjectively and classified them as moderate success due to reversible mucus plugging, movement of the tube resulting in variable drainage, upper respiratory tract infections or allergies resulting in nasal mucosal swelling, and windy weather or air-conditioning precipitating reflex tear hypersecretion. A total of 37 cases were asked about the level of satisfaction in the study of Lim et al. and 11 of them were dissatisfied due to the cost of a repeat procedure, trouble of maintaining tube, daily cleaning, and eye baths to remove mucus plugs (8). Rosen et al. (13) reported reasons for patient dissatisfaction in 11.6% of successfully treated patients as the number of follow-up examinations, tube maintenance, tearing in recumbent position, fogging and spraying spectacles, and esthetics. In our study, granuloma formation was seen after 1 year, which might be due to irritation of conjunctiva and late tissue reaction to tube material.

Conclusion
Performing C-DCR with Métaireau tube and adjusting the tube position and length with endoscopy assistance is a practical approach in patients with proximal lacrimal system obstruction. Further studies with larger number of participants are necessary to establish the efficacy of implantation of this tube with endoscopy assistance.
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