Introduction
The corneal alkaline burn is still a significant cause of visual disruption. The proper healing of cornea after an alkaline injury is vital for the restoration of vision and ocular comfort (1). An inflammatory reaction begins shortly after an alkaline insult to the cornea. The release of tissue remodeling enzymes, such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), and pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), were observed in the early periods of inflammation (2, 3). The function and structure of epithelial and stromal cells change so that they secrete several intracytoplasmic and cell membrane proteins, including smooth muscle antigen (SMA) and integrins, to interact with the environment, especially the basement membrane (BM) (4). The balance in tissue response against alkaline damage results in an excellent transparent three-dimensional integrity.

Several treatment strategies, including early irrigation with buffered solutions and vigorous artificial tear drops in-
storage, used to limit the tissue degradation with some degree of success (5, 6). The artificial tear drops containing sodium hyaluronate (Na-HA) help corneal reepithelization with the restoration of pH stability of the ocular surface and improve patient comfort (7, 8). Despite shown beneficial effects of the Na-HA on corneal healing, better treatment options are required to restore the corneal structure.

The ophthalmologic usage of different types of honey and royal jelly (RJ) was tried in the treatment of several experimental animal models of ocular surface diseases, including corneal burns, with variable degrees of improvement (9–14). The chestnut honey (CH) is endemic in Turkey and is used widely as complementary medicine for several conditions. However, a gap exists in current knowledge about the potential effects of RJ, CH, and RJ-CH combination on the corneal healing process after an alkaline insult. In this study, we investigated the potential role of topical RJ, CH, and RJ-CH combination drops for the treatment of experimentally induced rat model of corneal alkaline burn.

Methods

General Information

The Animal Experiments Local Ethics Committee of Bagcilar Teaching and Research Hospital (HADYEK project number: 2017-10) approved this experiment. This study was conducted between 11-03-2017 and 11-17-2017 by the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and carried out by considering animal rights. Our study included four groups of Wistar rats. Each group consisted of six animals. We created an alkaline burn on the center of the corneas by applying a 3.5 mm in diameter round filter paper soaked with 1 N NaOH for 30 seconds. We irrigated the ocular surface of each eye with 10 ml of sterile 0.09% NaCl as a first-line standard treatment. A gamma irradiation process with 25 kGy doses was done to sterilize RJ and CH. We prepared a solution containing 0.05% RJ and 0.05% CH for the RJ-CH treatment group. The animals were treated four times a day only with RJ, CH, RJ-CH combination, and 0.15% Na-HA (Eyestil ™, SIFI S.p.A.) eye drop.

Interpretation of Inflammation and Corneal Epithelium Healing

The description of the measurement of inflammation and corneal epithelium healing process is present in previous studies with detail (15, 16). We have used a slightly modified approach for the evaluation of inflammation and corneal healing. Serial slit lamp biomicroscopic photographs were taken at first, 7th and 14th days of examination. The direct images were gained at first, later for taking a second picture the cornea stained with one drop of 0.1% fluorescein sodium solution to measure the size of the epithelial defect. We calculated the corneal healing score with the use of three markers. The first marker was ciliary hyperemia (absent=0; present but less than 1 mm=1; present between 1 and 2 mm=2; present and more than 2 mm=3). The second marker was central corneal edema (absent=0; present with visible iris details=1; present without visible iris details=2; present without visible pupil=3); peripheral corneal edema (absent=0; present with visible iris details=1; present without visible iris details=2; present with no visible iris=3). The third marker was the corneal epithelial staining (no staining=0; slight punctate staining=0.5; diffuse punctate staining=1; diffuse staining covering less than one-third of the cornea=2; diffuse staining covering more than one-third of the cornea=3; and staining covering more than two-thirds of the cornea=4). A total score for each examination date was used for the overall physiologic healing response.

Evaluation of Histological Sections

A single pathologist (AC) handled the histopathologic examination of specimens. After enucleation and removal of corneas, the samples fixed in a 10% formaldehyde solution of 20 ml for 24 hours, samples from each group were macroscopically taken into cassettes and then dehydrated in a tissue tracking device by being passed through alcohol, acetone, xylene, and paraffin phases. The paraffin blocking was conducted thereafter. The hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining was done to each block after taking cuts of 4µm. The covering solution was dripped on the painted lamels, and they were closed with lamellas. After the necessary examination with HE, an immunohistochemical examination for SMA and α4β1 integrin were applied to each block with 4 nm sections. In the evaluation of the SMA, the cells that stained from 100 cells in the stroma were counted at X400 magnification. The value is given in percentage. The cells that were painted from 100 cells in the stroma counted at X400 magnification were counted in the evaluation of α4β1 integrin. The amount is given in percentage. We used a light microscope (BX51TF ™, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) to evaluate tissue sections.

Statistical Methods

We used open-source software, namely PSPP (a GNU project), for statistics. We expressed all continuous data as a mean±standard deviation where applicable. Categorical variables were analyzed with the chi-square test (X2). Repeated measures were analyzed with the Friedman test. The Mann-Whitney U test was used for comparing two groups. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to test more than two groups. The values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant for the measured P-values.
**Results**

**Corneal Healing Scores**

We measured the corneal healing scores on the first, 7th, and 14th days after the corneal alkaline burn induction. Figure 1 shows the fluorescein staining patterns of groups. We observed a decrease in total healing scores in all groups emphasizing a stable healing activity. Table 1 shows the corneal healing scores of all groups. There was no statistically significant difference between groups on the first, 7th, and 14th days concerning the corneal healing scores (p=0.88, p=0.06, p=0.80, respectively). However, there were significantly better scores in repeated measures of CH (p=0.012) and RJ-CH (p=0.000). RJ and Na-HA did not show a significant difference in repeated measures (p=0.19 and p=0.10, respectively).

**Histopathologic Examination Results**

The HE staining of all groups shows complete reepithelialization without any sign of inflammation. Anti-SMA immunohistochemical staining at 14th day showed no staining with SMA antigen in any of the groups (0%). However, there was a significant difference between the groups for the αβ1 integrin staining of stromal cells on the 14th day (p=0.002) (Table 2), which was due to the significantly different αβ1 integrin staining levels between the RJ and the CH groups (p=0.019) and the RJ and the RJ-CH groups (p=0.003). When the RJ and the Na-HA groups compared for the αβ1 integrin staining, no significant difference was analyzed (p=0.335). The staining for the αβ1 integrin was significantly different between the CH and the RJ-CH groups (p=0.027). When we compared the CH and the Na-HA groups, we found no significant difference for the αβ1 integrin staining (p=0.18).

**Discussion**

A transparent cornea, which is necessary for a good vision, can be harmed with an alkaline burn. This type of injury has been tried to treat with several medications with limited success. Honey and RJ are two remedies successfully used for some ocular diseases. Here, we investigated the potential role of CH, RJ and CH-RJ combination for the treatment of alkaline burn of the cornea and found better treatment results in CH-RJ treated group concerning improved corneal healings scores in repeated measures and αβ1 integrin staining characteristics.

Corneal epithelial healing is a complex process with the propagation of corneal epithelial cell in three-dimensional x, y, and z-axis. The failure of this healing process for any reason results in an ulcerated corneal surface. The inflam-

---

**Table 1. Corneal healing score**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>1st day</th>
<th>7th day</th>
<th>14th day</th>
<th>1st day</th>
<th>7th day</th>
<th>14th day</th>
<th>1st day</th>
<th>7th day</th>
<th>14th day</th>
<th>1st day</th>
<th>7th day</th>
<th>14th day</th>
<th>1st day</th>
<th>7th day</th>
<th>14th day</th>
<th>1st day</th>
<th>7th day</th>
<th>14th day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RJ*</td>
<td>5.16±0.40</td>
<td>3.66±2.02</td>
<td>2.12±1.10</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH*</td>
<td>5.33±0.51</td>
<td>2.50±0.63</td>
<td>2.50±0.61</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RJ-CH*</td>
<td>5.66±1.03</td>
<td>3.58±0.73</td>
<td>2.66±0.68</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaHA*</td>
<td>5.25±0.95</td>
<td>2.50±0.00</td>
<td>2.50±0.70</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**P1** Stands for Kruskal-Wallis test significance level; **P2** stands for the Friedman test significance level; CHS stands for the corneal healing score; RJ: Royal Jelly; CH: Chestnut Honey; RJ-CH: Royal Jelly-Chestnut Honey; NaHA: Sodium Hyaluronate.

The RJ-CH and the Na-HA groups were significantly different in staining for the αβ1 integrin (p=0.008). Figure 2 displays some of the pathologic sections of the NaHA group and RJ-CH group for different staining techniques.
The number of α4β1 staining cells in different groups is shown in Table 2. The number of α4β1 staining cells was highest in the Na-HA group and lowest in the RJ-CH group. The P-value for the difference between the groups was 0.002.

Table 2. The number of α4β1 staining cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RJ</td>
<td>96.66±5.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>73.33±16.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RJ-CH</td>
<td>55.00±8.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na-HA</td>
<td>88.75±14.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Some of the pathologic sections of the Sodium Hyaluronate (NaHA) group and Royal Jelly (RJ-CH) group for different staining techniques. (a) NaHA group, full epithelialization in the section, moderate edema is observed, and no active and chronic inflammatory cell infiltration is observed, HE, X400; (b) RJ-CH group, SMA immunoreactive stromal cell in cross-section was not observed, SMA, X200; (c) RJ-CH group, Half of the stromal cells in the section are immunoreactive with α4β1 integrin 4, X400; (d) RJ-CH group, full epithelization in the section, moderate edema is observed, and no active and chronic inflammatory cell infiltration is observed, HE, X200.
broblasts or hepatocytes. The tissue fibroblasts secrete a subtype of fibronectin called EDA Fn after an injury. Recent reports show a mutual relation between α4β1 integrin and EDA Fn secretion during the healing process (34). Besides, α4β1 integrin is a receptor for soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) which is induced after secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) (35). Inhibition of the interaction between α4β1 integrin and soluble VCAM-1 blocks the neovascularization (3, 36). Both disorganized ECM and neovascularization impair the corneal clarity. The honey exerts different effects in wound healing employing inflammatory cytokine production. That is, some studies do not connect with pro-inflammation (14, 37, 38). On the other, RJ decreases inflammatory response through reduced production of cytokines (12, 39). In our study, in RJ (96.66±5.16) and Na-HA (88.75±14.36) groups, stromal fibroblast α4β1 integrin staining rates were higher than CH (77.33±16.02) and RJ-CH groups (55.00±8.36). We observed a significant difference in the α4β1 integrin staining levels between the groups, and this difference was due to the low level of α4β1 integrin staining of RJ-CH and CH groups. Also, in the comparison of the CH group and RJ-CH group, a low level of staining was detected in the RJ-CH group. According to our study, there was no clinical difference in corneal healing scores in RJ, CH, and RJ-CH groups compared to the NaHA group. However, concerning the amount of α4β1 integrin staining at the cellular level, better corneal healing seems to be present after installation of the RJ-CH containing eye drops, which may be due to the synergistic action of both of these materials on immunomodulation and fibroblast interaction with ECM.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we find better corneal healing after treatment with the RJ-CH containing eye drops concerning corneal healing sore and α4β1 integrin staining in an experimental animal model of corneal alkaline injury.
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