during the intervention. The ACT should be between 300 ms and 350 ms. Inferior vena cava filters are usually endothelialized after 3 weeks of device deployment. Crossing IVC filters after three or more weeks is thus relatively safe if a careful technique is utilized (9). In our case report, the filter had been placed 8 years prior to the EP study. We felt that the filter was in a stable position, and potential risk for migration or dislodgment was low. Contrast injection before crossing the filter will ensure there is no significant thrombus that might be dislodged (10). Each time the catheters are passed through the filter strict fluoroscopic monitoring must be performed. The balloon of the EnSite catheter should be fully deflated. To prevent against guidewire entrapment, the EnSite catheter and guidewire should be removed together. Straight guide wires or guide wires with soft tips should be chosen (4).

An EP study in a patient with an IVC filter system can be safely carried out from the femoral vein, even several times, without any complications if appropriate precautions are taken.
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Figure 1. EnSite catheter with deflated balloon is being negotiated across the inferior vena cava filter

Combined heterozygote factor V Leiden mutation and anticardiolipin antibody positivity in a young patient with spontaneous deep vein thrombosis

Kombine heterozigot faktör V Leiden mutasyonu ve antikardiyolipin antikor pozitifliği olan genç bir hastada derin ven trombozu

Nuri Karadurmuş, Cengiz Öztürk*, Alper Sönmez**, Teoman Doğru**, Satılmış İnal,
Cantürk Taşçı, Ahmet Öztürk**, Selahattin Erikçi**
From Departments of Internal Medicine and *Cardiology, Military Hospital, Eskişehir
**Department of Internal Medicine, Gülhane Military Academy, Ankara, Turkey

Introduction
In this report, we present an interesting case of a combined heterozygote factor V Leiden mutation and anticardiolipin antibody positivity in a young patient with spontaneous deep vein thrombosis, which emphasizes importance of thrombophilia.

Case Report
A 21-year-old male was referred to our hospital because of an acute onset pain on his lower extremities. He had no previous medical history and no precipitating condition such as trauma, surgical procedure or immobility. Also he had no history of alcohol or cigarette consumption.
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His father had a coronary artery disease and his mother had a history of two spontaneous abortions.

On physical examination, he had painful thighs and positive Homan’s signs on both lower extremities. There was no other abnormal sign. On venous Doppler ultrasound, deep venous thromboses were established, extending from the calf veins through the popliteal, superficial, and common femoral veins and into external iliac veins (Fig.1, 2). After taking venous blood samples, low molecular weight heparin was implemented to the patient.

Abnormal laboratory tests were as follows: a polymerase chain reaction revealed heterozygosity for factor V Leiden. Among other tests, lupus anticoagulant test was negative. The patient had normal antithrombin III, protein S and C levels as well. Anticardiolipin antibody (aCL) immunoglobulin M (IgM): 46.3 MPL units (normal< 9 MPL units) and aCL immunoglobulin G (IgG) 23 MPL units (normal< 9 MPL units).

His symptoms subsided in few days after the anticoagulant treatment. He was then switched to warfarin treatment and discharged on warfarin to be taken indefinitely. In the follow up period his symptoms completely resolved and he had not any further thromboembolic event during one year of follow-up.

Discussion

Thrombophilia is an either inherited or acquired tendency for venous thromboembolism. An early age (<45 years) thrombosis without any precipitating condition, especially at unusual sites are the clinical features suggesting thrombophilia.

Factor V Leiden mutation is present in the 4-6% of the general population and is the most common inherited cause of the syndrome accounting for the 40-50% of cases (1). The heterozygosity of factor V Leiden is associated with three to seven fold increased risk of venous thromboembolism (2). Other less common causes of inherited thrombophilia are the antithrombin III, protein C and S deficiencies, and rare conditions such as plasminogen and heparin cofactor-II deficiencies and dysfibrinogenemia. However, the lifetime probability of developing thrombosis and the severity of the thromboses seem to be considerably less in heterozygotes with the factor V Leiden mutation than in patients with the less common inherited thrombophilias (3). Moreover, there is an increased incidence of factor V Leiden among thrombotic patients with other thrombophilic defects, as compared to the general population. The presence of additional inherited defects increases the thrombotic risk comparing to single factor V Leiden mutation (4, 5). The acquired risk factors such as oral contraceptives, hormone replacement therapy and pregnancy also increase the risk of thrombosis when they are together with factor V Leiden mutation (6).

Although there are numerous reports regarding both inherited and acquired thrombotic risk factors combined with Factor V Leiden mutation, we have not noticed any data about the association of ACA and Factor V Leiden mutation. Our search for the Medline revealed a case report about an association of Factor V Leiden mutation and lupus anticoagulant in a young man who developed lower extremity deep vein thrombosis (7). The present report about the association of high ACA and Factor V Leiden mutation is therefore puts forward an uncommon association in the risk of thrombophilia. Yet, there is some conflicting data about the role of ACA or lupus anticoagulants on the risk of thrombophilia. Antiphospholipid antibodies can be detected in approximately 5 to 21 percent of all patients with venous thrombosis, although this does not necessarily point out a casual relationship. There are however, reports regarding the increased risk of deep venous thrombosis due to the presence of lupus anticoagulant or ACA, and a recent meta-analysis showed that the risk for antiphospholipid associated thrombosis demonstrated a higher risk in patients with the lupus anticoagulant and aCL than in other patients (8).

Because of the above data, we considered that the cause of the massive deep vein thrombosis of our case was the combination of Factor V Leiden mutation and high aCL IgG and IgM levels. As the patient had two permanent risk factors of venous thrombosis we decided to continue the anticoagulation indefinitely.

Bili et al. coworkers (9) represented the largest study to date evaluating the association between aCL and a2GPI antibodies and recurrent cardiac events in patients after myocardial infarction. Factor V Leiden is a risk factor for MI in young people, because of its high prevalence compared with other genetic mutations relevant to thrombosis, the effect of factor V Leiden in populations of other age and sex, in association with other risk factors (10). These data also revealed an inverse association of IgM aCL antibody levels with recurrent cardiac events (9). Thus, patients with elevated IgG aCL and low IgM aCL antibodies had the highest risk compared with all other aCL antibody groups.

Conclusion

We conclude that young patients with spontaneous venous thrombosis should be meticulously investigated for the presence of both hereditary and acquired thrombotic risk factors. This situation suggested these patients should be evaluated by physicians for cardiovascular events.
Early postoperative left atrial thrombosis in a biatrial orthotopic heart transplant recipient successfully treated by intravenous heparin
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Introduction

Thrombus formation and spontaneous echocontrast (SEC) within the left atrium (LA) are harmful intermediate to long term complications of the standard orthotopic heart transplantation (OHT) (1). We present an OHT recipient with extremely mobile multiple LA thrombi diagnosed early after the operation by transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) and treated with anticoagulant and antiplatelet agents. On the 10th postoperative day a mobile mass within the LA was noted on TTE. Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) confirmed the presence of multiple, homogeneous, dense, extremely mobile masses suggestive of thrombi along the LA suture line together with SEC (Fig. 1). Left atrial size was 4.0x7.0 cm. Left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction was 42% and mitral valve was normal. These LA masses were not apparent on the TTE examination the day before. On the same day, the patient suffered from severe abdominal pain that subsided quickly after vomiting. On physical examination his blood pressure was 140/90mmHg, pulse rate was 88bpm and regular, he had no fever. Abdominal examination was unremarkable. The 12-lead electrocardiogram showed normal sinus rhythm. Abdominal X-ray and computerized tomography revealed no pathology. No clinical evidence of peripheral embolization or neurological deficit was detected. Due to the unstable immediate postoperative course and relatively low LV ejection fraction, we were reluctant for surgical removal of thrombi and decided to put the patient on systemic anticoagulation with intravenous heparin upon detection of LA thrombi. A bolus heparin dose of 5000U followed by 1000U/hour was started as intravenous infusion. Subsequent heparin dose was adjusted by activated clotting time with a target of 200-250ms.

Case report

A 24-year-old man, with a history of idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy, underwent OHT by the biatrial anastomosis approach. During the operation, the patient was given 800 mg protamine and 3 units of whole blood. After that, he had no hemorrhagic complication and no need for any other coagulation factor. A large pericardial effusion developed immediately after the operation for which close clinical and echocardiographic studies. Control TEE on the 5th day of active heparinization showed a completely clear LA (Fig. 2) without any increase in the pericardial effusion and the patient did not suffer any embolic complication. Meanwhile repeat biopsies did reveal no rejection.

Discussion

Stasis within the atria due to enlarged cavities and prominent sutures, electrical discordance (2), atrial arrhythmias, LV dysfunction, increased platelet aggregation (3), acute rejection (4) and the surgical technique itself (1) are considered as predisposing factors for thrombus formation. The
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