Electrical storm in an adolescent with arrhythmogenic right ventricle cardiomyopathy treated with cardiac transplantation

To the Editor,

Arrhythmogenic right ventricle dysplasia cardiomyopathy (ARVD/C) is an inherited cardiomyopathy characterized by fibro fatty replacement of the right and less frequently left ventricle. Ventricular arrhythmias requiring implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) are common in patients with ARVD/C and electrical storm (≥3 life-threatening ventricular arrhythmia within 24-hour period) resulting in ICD discharges is a major cause of morbidity and mortality (3). Radiofrequency ablation with three-dimensional (3-D) mapping and navigation systems has been recently advocated as a preferred treatment for recurrent ventricular arrhythmias (4). We had experience of a case of a 15-year-old boy who presented with chest pain and decreased exercise capacity. He had undergone surgical closure for atrial septal defect and complicated with ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation postoperatively at 11 years-old. No history of congenital heart defect in family and syncope were found. Premature ventricular contractions were determined occasionally in 24-hour Holter monitoring. Echocardiography revealed the dilatation of the right ventricle and increased trabeculation in the right and left ventricle were shown in the cardiac angiography and magnetic resonance imaging. Non-sustained monomorphic ventricular tachycardia (VT) with the rate of 260 beats/minute was induced by programmed stimulation with a single extra-stimulus from the right ventricle. He was diagnosed as ARVD/C and ICD was implanted for primary prevention. Two years later, the episodes of ventricular tachycardia fibrillation were repeated 35 times within one month. Amiodarone and sotalol administration was initiated and the ablation treatment was planned. A single 4 mm open-irrigation ablation catheter (Medtronic, MN, USA) was advanced to right ventricle via femoral vein by using the EnSite NavX 3D mapping and navigation system. Radiofrequency ablation was applied to around the scar at the temperature 45°C with 30-35 Watt energy. Total procedure time was 280 minutes. Two months later, the electrical storm repeated again and the patient was arrested in a short time. He was immediately connected to the pump after cardiac resuscitation and underwent cardiac transplantation from an adult cadaver one day later. He has been on follow-up with no symptom for three years.

Stec et al. (4) reported a pregnant woman with an electrical storm due to ARVD/C of successful endocardial catheter ablation, by using 3-D mapping and navigation system. Although ventricular tachycardia frequency is reduced after catheter ablation, ventricular arrhythmia recurrence is still common in ARVD/C (2). It appears that ICD is currently an indispensable treatment option in ARVD/C.

Philips et al. (2) claimed that VT-free period after epicardial ablation was longer than those after endocardial ablation. They speculated that it was because of epicardial distribution of ARVD/C. In our case, recurrence of VT may be associated with endocardial ablation. Nevertheless, catheter ablation of ventricular tachycardia in ARVD/C can be considered as a beneficial method in terms of reducing the side effects of antiarrhythmic drugs and prolonging the life of ICD battery (2). The management of an electrical storm should be individualized for each patient and the treatment may indicate extracorporal membrane oxygenation and cardiac transplantation.
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A 77-year-old male patient was admitted to the hospital with chest pain and shortness of breath. His physical examination revealed only tachypnea and hypotension with blood pressure of 85/65 mm Hg. Urgent echocardiography demonstrated a large pericardial effusion with suspicious aortic dissection flap in ascending aorta. A computed tomography (CT) was immediately performed to assess the aorta. CT imaging documented aortic dissection flap in ascending aorta. Emergency surgery was planned but patient and his relatives persistently refused it. Therefore, the patient was admitted intensive care unit for close follow-up. After a while, patient’s hemodynamic status and consciousness progressively deteriorated, which required immediate pericardiocentesis. After pericardiocentesis, blood pressure increased to 110/60 mm Hg and patient’s consciousness was improved. No complication related to the dissection was noted during the hospitalization and patient was discharged with beta-blocker treatment at seventh day of hospitalization. During the next two years follow-up, there was no clinical complication related with aortic dissection. However, second CT image demonstrated that ascending aortic diameter has expanded from 57.1 mm to 61.3 mm without extension of dissection.

Patients with acute type A aortic dissection who do not receive treatment die at a rate of 1-2% per hour during the first day and almost half of them die within one week (1). Pericardial tamponade may be observed in less than 20% of patients with acute Type A aortic dissection and its presence is associated with a doubling of the mortality. Urgent aortic surgery with intraoperative pericardial drainage is the recommend treatment approach in this patient group (2). Percutaneous pericardiocentesis is contraindicated in acute aortic dissection complicated by cardiac tamponade because it can be associated with propagation of the aortic dissection and precipitating hemodynamic collapse (3). However, Cruz et al. (4) reported that controlled pericardiocentesis before the surgery could be a life-saving approach in patients with critical cardiac tamponade such as pulseless electrical activity, when cardiac surgery is not immediately available. There is scarce information about clinical follow up in patients with type A aortic dissection without surgical treatment in medical literature. Scholl et al. (5) have reported clinical results of patients who could not undergo surgical treatment Type A aortic dissection because of initial misdiagnosis or severe comorbidity. However, there is no data about long-term follow-up of patients with Type A aortic dissection complicated by cardiac tamponade, which was treated by pericardiocentesis without surgical intervention in literature.

In conclusion, to the best of our knowledge, this case is the first report that a patient with type A aortic dissection complicated by cardiac tamponade could survive only by pericardiocentesis without surgery.
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