

Impact of high on-treatment platelet reactivity on long-term clinical events in AMI patients: a fact or mirage?

Accumulating evidences have indicated the cut-offs for high on-treatment platelet reactivity (HPR) and low on-treatment platelet reactivity (LPR) that can be used in future trials for personalized antiplatelet therapy to balance clinical efficacy and safety (1, 2). However, recent prospective randomized trials using the current platelet function testing (PFT) did not demonstrate any clinical benefit (3–5). Consequently, it is unclear whether PFT-based treatment modification influences the outcomes of the therapy.

Compared with patients with stable angina, platelet activation can be more closely related with thrombotic events among those with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in the thrombogenic milieu (6). Furthermore, Jakl et al. (7) reported another evidence to show the impact of HPR on clinical events in AMI patients. This report may be of importance because it reveals the impact of HPR on longest-term (e.g., 5-year) adverse events in AMI patients using the readily available Multiplate® analyzer (8).

There would be a long and rough journey before personalized antiplatelet therapy can be regarded as a standard therapy to maximize clinical benefit (1, 2). When considering the results of Jakl et al. from a critical point of view, several issues need to be considered. First, there are limited concordances between the criteria of HPR (and LPR) and PFTs (1, 9, 10). Although point-of-care PFT systems (e.g., VerifyNow assay and Multiplate® analyzer) are much better for clinical simplicity than other PFT systems (e.g., light transmittance aggregometry and VASP assay), a few evidences to support their superiority pertaining to clinical reliability exist (1, 2). In addition, whether ADP- vs. multiple agonist-mediated PFT assay can more precisely predict the risk of ischemia and bleeding events might be another issue (11). Jakl et al. (7) suggested that HPR to arachidonic acid only was more predictive for ischemic events than HPR to both ADP and arachidonic acid. Second, the level of platelet reactivity may be variable according to the disease activity or phase. In particular, platelet reactivity can be much changeful during the early period among AMI patients (loading vs. maintenance dose and acute vs. subacute phase), which implicate the potential of change for the criteria of HPR (and LPR) over time (1, 2). Jakl et al. (7) measured Multiplate® analyzer mostly before discharge. Whether HPR (to ADP or arachidonic acid) measured during dual antiplatelet therapy can be a consistent risk factor even after discontinuation of P2Y12 receptor inhibitor (or aspi-

rin) can be another issue. Jakl et al. (7) did not show any data for adherence to the antiplatelet regimen. Third, the cut-off of HPR (and LPR) can be different according to the cohort characteristics (12) because its contribution toward thrombus formation may vary according to its level of interaction with other thrombogenic components (e.g., inflammation, coagulation activity, shear stress, and endothelial dysfunction). Compared with the western population, East Asian population has a higher level of HPR (and LPR) cutoffs among AMI patients (13, 14); East Asians may have a low tendency toward developing thrombophilia and a higher risk of bleeding. Without performing the receiver operating characteristic curve analysis, Jakl et al. (7) evaluated the clinical impact of predefined HPR cutoff on ischemic events. Finally, only platelet reactivity cannot explain the whole spectrum of the occurrence of thrombotic events. Conditions predisposing to thrombus formation may include abnormal vessel wall (vulnerable plaque), abnormal blood flow, and abnormal blood constituents (vulnerable blood). Jakl et al. (7) did not suggest detailed data regarding the lesion characteristics, stent profile, and important biochemical measurements. Risk prediction models or scoring systems, including important clinical or laboratory variables, can be more reliable for predicting clinical events and consequently help in the early introduction of personalized therapy.

Young-Hoon Jeong, Jong-Hwa Ahn, Eun-Seok Shin¹
Department of Internal Medicine, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine and Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital; Changwon-Republic of Korea
¹**Division of Cardiology, Ulsan University Hospital, University of Ulsan College of Medicine; Ulsan-Republic of Korea**

References

1. Tantry US, Bonello L, Aradi D, Price MJ, Jeong YH, Angiolillo DJ, et al.; Working Group on On-Treatment Platelet Reactivity. Consensus and update on the definition of on-treatment platelet reactivity to adenosine diphosphate associated with ischemia and bleeding. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013; 62: 2261-73.
2. Aradi D, Storey RF, Komócsi A, Trenk D, Gulba D, Kiss RG, et al.; Working Group on Thrombosis of the European Society of Cardiology. Expert position paper on the role of platelet function testing in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. *Eur Heart J* 2014; 35: 209-15.

Address for correspondence: Young-Hoon Jeong, MD, Cardiovascular Center, Gyeongsang National University Changwon Hospital, 11 Samjeongja-ro, Seongsan-gu, Changwon-si, Gyeongsangnam-do, 51472-Republic of Korea
 Phone: 82-55-214-3721 Fax: 82-55-214-3721 E-mail: goodoctor@naver.com

Accepted Date: 25.10.2016 **Available Online Date:** 16.11.2016

©Copyright 2016 by Turkish Society of Cardiology - Available online at www.anatoljcardiol.com
 DOI:10.14744/AnatolJCardiol.2016.22626



3. Price MJ, Berger PB, Teirstein PS, Tanguay JF, Angiolillo DJ, Spriggs D, et al., for the GRAVITAS Investigators. Standard- vs high-dose clopidogrel based on platelet function testing after percutaneous coronary intervention: the GRAVITAS randomized trial. *JAMA* 2011; 305: 1097-105.
4. Collet JP, Cuisset T, Rangé G, Cayla G, Elhadad S, Pouillot C, et al.; ARCTIC Investigators. Bedside monitoring to adjust antiplatelet therapy for coronary stenting. *N Engl J Med* 2012; 367: 2100-9.
5. Cayla G, Cuisset T, Silvain J, Leclercq F, Manzo-Silberman S, Saint-Etienne C, et al.; ANTARCTIC investigators. Platelet function monitoring to adjust antiplatelet therapy in elderly patients stented for an acute coronary syndrome (ANTARCTIC): an open-label, blinded-endpoint, randomised controlled superiority trial. *Lancet* 2016; 388: 2015-22.
6. Stone GW, Witzenbichler B, Weisz G, Rinaldi MJ, Neumann FJ, Metzger DC, et al.; ADAPT-DES Investigators. Platelet reactivity and clinical outcomes after coronary artery implantation of drug-eluting stents (ADAPT-DES): a prospective multicentre registry study. *Lancet* 2013; 382: 614-23.
7. Jakl M, Sevcik R, Fatorova I, Horacek JM, Pudil R. High on-treatment platelet reactivity: risk factors and 5-year outcomes in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Anatol J Cardiol* 2016. Epub ahead of print.
8. Sibbing D, Steinhubl SR, Schulz S, Schömig A, Kastrati A. Platelet aggregation and its association with stent thrombosis and bleeding in clopidogrel-treated patients: initial evidence of a therapeutic window. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010; 56: 317-8.
9. Kim IS, Jeong YH, Tantry US, Park Y, Lee DH, Bliden KP, et al. Relation between the vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein phosphorylation assay and light transmittance aggregometry in East Asian patients after high-dose clopidogrel loading. *Am Heart J* 2013; 166: 95-103.
10. Kim IS, Jeong YH, Kang MK, Koh JS, Park Y, Hwang SJ, et al. Correlation of high post-treatment platelet reactivity assessed by light transmittance aggregometry and the VerifyNow P2Y12 assay. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2010; 30: 486-95.
11. Kwon TJ, Tantry US, Park Y, Choi YM, Ahn JH, Kim KH, et al. Influence of platelet reactivity on BARC classification in East Asian patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Results of the ACCEL-BLEED study. *Thromb Haemost* 2016; 115: 979-92.
12. Gurbel PA, Jeong YH, Navarese EP, Tantry US. Platelet-Mediated Thrombosis: From Bench to Bedside. *Circ Res* 2016; 118: 1380-91.
13. Levine GN, Jeong YH, Goto S, Anderson JL, Huo Y, Mega JL, et al. Expert consensus document: World Heart Federation expert consensus statement on antiplatelet therapy in East Asian patients with ACS or undergoing PCI. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2014; 11: 597-606.
14. Jeong YH. "East asian paradox": challenge for the current antiplatelet strategy of "one-guideline-fits-all races" in acute coronary syndrome. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2014; 16: 485.