Ultrasound guided brachial plexus block can be advantageous in patients with avulsion type upper extremity injuries
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The ultrasound use for peripheral nerve blocks in regional anesthesia, since it provides synchronized view of the nerve, the syringe and the distribution of the local anesthetic, is a valuable method.[1,2] Since the avulsion type upper extremity injuries accompany brachial plexus (BP) damage, ultrasound-guided (US) method becomes more valuable.[3]

Due to a crush injury, a 25-year-old male patient applied to our hospital on the 5th hour of the injury, with subtotal amputation at the proximal aspect of the left arm. When the medical exam revealed the loss of sense and circulation in the arm, the patient was immediately received into operation. Since the case was ASA I, hemodynamically stable and showing no other trauma, a US guided regional anesthesia to supraclavicular brachial plexus block (BPB) and its catheterization was planned. In the operating room, the patient under standard monitoring received 1.5 mg midazolam, 5 mcg sufentanil, and nasal oxygen 2L/min. During the US scan for block, BP image could not be observed, and there was a hematoma. The situation was verified with the comparison of the counter-part, so the anesthesia plan was replaced with endotracheal general anesthesia (Figure 1a, b).

Surgical exploration revealed all the roots of T1, C7, C8 roots were totally avulse, C6 root had a healthy image, n. axillaris and n. thoracodorsalis were responsive to neurostimulation (Figure 2). During surgery debridement and cannulation perfusion provided extremity perfusion, the fracture was treated with plate fixation, the arterial and venous injuries were
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treated with an end-to-end anastomosis, and the patient received neurotization of n. medianus and n. ulnaris, from the proximal aspect of n.axillaris, n. radialis. Avulsion type BP damage indicates that the injury was caused by a propeller, but not being able shred the patient’s clothes wholly, the propeller movement resulted in traction of plexus.

Neurostimulation guidance (NS) and US guidance are common methods for BPB. We are using both techniques individually or together. In this case US complemented BP blockage, and protected the patient from an unnecessary surgery, since plexus was unavailable for imaging from the supraclavicular aspect. If only NS was used, there would be potential complications like the waste of precious time, failure during surgery, and an altogether failing surgery. In this case, what prevented the patient from an unnecessary surgery was our inability to image the plexus from the supraclavicular aspect. Yet, even following avulsion type injuries the plexus should have been visible from this aspect. We suggest that the presence of hematoma should remind a plexus injury, and thus surgery should be avoided.

In conclusion, there can be BP injuries in avulsion type injuries with subtotal or total amputation proximal to the upper extremity. US-guided BPB could be more beneficial in comparison with NS guided BPB.
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