A case report: indomethacin resistance hemicrania continua or a new entity?

Olgu sunumu: İndometazine dirençli hemicrania continua mı yoksa yeni bir başağrısı mı?
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Summary

Hemicrania continua (HC) is a rare primary headache disorder. It presents some autonomic features (including conjunctival injection, ptosis, eyelid edema, lacrimation, nasal congestion, and rhinorrhea). Response to indomethacin treatment is the mandatory criteria for the diagnosis of HC. However, previously reported literature indicates that there are some reported cases that did not respond to indomethacin. In this case report, we present a patient who had indomethacin resistance with an associated pregabalin response. Pregabalin may be an effective treatment for hemicrania continua in some patients with indomethacin resistance.
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Introduction

Hemicrania continua (HC) is an uncommon primary headache disorder. HC is characterized by a continuous, moderate to severe, unilateral headache with periodic exacerbations.¹ HC has also ipsilateral cranial autonomic features (conjunctival injection, ptosis, eyelid edema, lacrimation, nasal congestion, rhinorrhea). In 2004 International Headache Society described second edition of International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD II). Diagnostic criteria of HC are shown Table 1.²

Response to indomethacin treatment is the mandatory criteria for the diagnosis of HC. However, there are some reported cases who did not respond the indomethacin in the literature.³,⁴ There is still an unsolved question that there cases are really HC or a new entity.

In this case report we will present a HC patient who had a the indomethacin resistance but with pregabalin response.
Case Report

A 63-year-old female presented with a twenty year history of right or left sided, temporal, periorbital headache. Pain intensity was severe and throbbing. Photophobia, phonophobia and nausea were also associated with headache. Pain duration time were about twenty hours while she did not take any analgesics. After the menopause her pain was relatively relief. About eighth months ago, she had two different types of headache. The location of the first one was left side of the head and face (orbital, maxillary, mandibular). It was severe. The duration of headache was only a few seconds. Triggers of the headaches were touch and chewing. It could be about more times a day. The localization of the second one headache was on the left temporoparietal side. Another type headache was on the same side. It was continuous. The intensity of headache was mild-moderate. One or two times a day another severe headache was accompany with continuous headache. The duration of this type headache was about tree or four hours. There was eyelid edema, many thousand of times itching sensuous of the left side of face, flushing and nausea were also associated with this type headache.

She had hypertension and diabetes mellitus. There was no other pathology. Examination of the neurological condition was normal. Cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was also normal. Her cranial computerize venography evolution was normal. We decided to her first headache was migraine without aura, second type headache was trigeminal neuralgia and the third one was hemicrania continua. Firstly we performed indomethacin 2x25 mg/day. Treatment with indomethacin headache did not relieve so that we decided increase the dose of drug. Subsequently, the dose of indomethacin gradually increased 300 mg/day. However, we did not any affect off pain and than indomethacin was stopped. She was started on pregabalin with slow titration to 150 mg bid, with complete benefit after 5 days. There were two different situation this condition one of them pain had spontaneous remission or pregabalin effected. We reduced pregabaline dose and her pain reappeared. We thought that pregabalin was effective for her headache.

Discussion

The clinical features and indomethacin responsiveness of HC were described by Mediana and Diamond. The name of the “Hemicrania Contunia” was used by Sjaastad and Spierings. According to IHS diagnostic criteria complete or persistent response therapeutic doses of indomethacin is a must. However, many authors accepted the possibility of occurrence of indomethacin resistant HC. Our patient had all of the criteria except indomethacin response. So that we though she had indomethacin resistance HC type headache.

Pathogenesis of HC is not really understanding.

Table 1. International Headache Society Diagnostic criteria for hemicrania continua

| Description: persistent strictly unilateral headache responsive to indomethacin |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Diagnostic criteria             |                                  |
| A. Headache for >3 monhs fulfilling criteria B-D. |
| B. All of the following characteristics |
| 1. Unilateral pain without side shift |
| 2. Daily and continuous, without pain-free periods |
| 3. Moderate intensity, but with exacerbations of severe pain |
| C. At least one of the following autonomic features occurs during exacerbations and ipsilateral to the side of pain |
| 1. Conjunctival injection and/or lacrimation |
| 2. Nasal congestion and/or rhinorrhoea |
| 3. Ptosis and/or miosis |
| D. Complete response to therapeutic doses of indomethacin |
| E. Not attributed to another disorder |

Indomethacin resistance hemicrania continua or a new entity?
Some scientists believe that it is a subtype of migraine however the others believe it is more closely related to the trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias. The scans revealed activation of the contralateral posterior hypothalamus and ipsilateral dorsal rostral pons, as well as activation of the ipsilateral ventrolateral midbrain, extending over the red nucleus and substantia nigra and the bilateral pontomedullary junction. These areas have been previously demonstrated to be sites of activation migraine and trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias. Migraine and HC pathophysiology is sometimes to fit snugly into. This is why we evaluated that effect of pregabalin in this patient.

Pregabalin is recommended for the treatment of partial seizures, post herpetic neuralgia, diabetic neuropathy, as well as migraine. Pregabalin, through binding to the alpha 2 delta subunits of hyperexcited, voltage-gated calcium channels, reduces the calcium influx at neurons terminals and subsequently reduces the synaptic release of several excitatory neurotransmitters such as glutamate, noradrenaline and substance P. Pregabalin restores the hyperexcited calcium channels to a normal state.

In a recent study, pregabalin was well tolerated and could be alternative treatment for migraine patients. Migraine and HC pathogenesis may be same pathway so that some same drugs can effective for two type headaches. Pregabalin may be better preventive treatment for hemicranias contunia patients. It has also few adverse effect.

Some authors accept the occurrence of the indomethacin resistant in patients HC. However, this condition is controversy. This type headache is “a new entity?” or “indomethacin responsiveness not necessary for HC?”. We thought that this is dilemma. We thought that pregabalin is a good choice for alternative therapy in the treatment of HC. But this is just a case report so that more studies are necessary to show effectiveness of pregabalin in the treatment of HC.
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