ABSTRACT

Objective: Nitazoxanide is a 5-nitrothiazolyl derivative with broad-spectrum activity against numerous intestinal protozoa, helminths and anaerobic bacteria licensed in the United States for the treatment of Cryptosporidium spp. and Giardia duodenalis. The aim of this study was to compare the efficacy and safety of nitazoxanide (NTZ) versus secnidazole (SNZ) in the treatment of giardiasis.

Method: A randomized controlled open-label trial was carried out at the Cuban Institute of Gastroenterology in adults with confirmed Giardia duodenalis mono-infection. 125 patients were randomly assigned to receive either NTZ [500 mg two times daily for three days (n= 62)] or SNZ [2 g single dose (n= 63)]. The evaluation of the efficacy was based on parasitological response. All patients were asked to provide three faecal samples on days 3, 5, and 10 after treatment completion. Patients were considered to be cured, if no Giardia trophozoites or cysts were found in any of the three post-treatment faecal specimens evaluated by direct wet mounts and/or after Ritchie concentration techniques.

Results: The frequency of cure was a little higher for NTZ [95.2% (59/62)] than for SNZ [93.7% (59/63)] but the difference was not statistically significant (P>0.05). Bitter taste was only reported in SNZ treated group whereas yellowish coloration of the urine and rash were only reported in NTZ treated group. Nausea and headache were more common in patients treated with SNZ (P<0.05).

Conclusion: NTZ, for three days, is as efficacious as a single dose SNZ in the treatment of giardiasis in adults.
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ÖZET

Amaç: Nitazoksaniid (NTZ), çeşitli intestinal protozoalar, helmintler ve anaerob bakterilere karşı etki gösteren geniş spektroları, Amerika Birleşik Devletleri’nde Cryptosporidium spp. ve Giardia duodenalis tedavisi için ruhsatlandırılmış 5-nitrotiazol türevi bir ilaçtır. Bu çalışmada, Giardia'ların tedavisinde nitazoksaniid kullanımının güvenilirlik ve etkinlik yönünden sekindazol (SNZ) ile karşılaştırılması amaçlanmıştır.
**INTRODUCTION**

*Giardia duodenalis* an important cause of diarrheal disease all over the world, resides in the small intestinal lumen in close opposition to epithelial cells (1). The World Health Organization (WHO) has estimated that 3000 million people live in places where the rate of *giardiasis* is around 30%, and suggests that there are almost 1000 million cases of *giardiasis*; contributing to 2.5 million deaths annually from diarrheal disease (2).

For several years some drugs such as quinacrine or the 5-nitroimidazole metronidazole (MTZ) have been used for chemotherapy against this protozoan parasite, however, different pre-clinical and clinical investigations revealed that antigiardial chemotherapy may be complicated by emergence of *giardial* resistance (3-5).

Nitazoxanide (NTZ) is a 5-nitrothiazolyl derivative with broad-spectrum activity against numerous intestinal protozoa, helminths and anaerobic bacteria licensed in the United States for treatment of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis* (5). In-vitro studies have confirmed the efficacy of NTZ in the treatment of *giardiasis* demonstrating that this drug and its derivative, tizoxanide, are 2.5 and 50 times more efficacious than albendazole and MTZ against *Giardia* isolates (6).

On the other hand clinical studies also demonstrated the effectiveness of NTZ in *G. duodenalis* infections. Ortiz JJ et al., (7) in 2001 reported that NTZ was as efficacious as a standard 5-day course of metronidazole in treating *giardiasis* and controlling diarrhoeal episodes. Similar results were presented by Rossignol JF et al., (8) in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study carried out the same year.

The aim of this study was to determine the efficacy and safety of NTZ versus secnidazole (SNZ) in the treatment of *giardiasis*. This kind of study should be valuable in view of the fact that the use of NTZ is not limited to the treatment of symptomatic soil transmitted helminthic infections, but also in the large scale control and prevention of morbidity in people living in endemic areas where *Giardia* is also sometimes prevalent.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Study setting**

The study, a randomized controlled open-label trial, was carried out at the Institute of Gastroenterology, Havana City, Cuba, between January and June 2008.
Enrolment and subject selection

The subjects were adults who visited the centre, seeking treatment for symptomatic, acute G. duodenalis infection, with or without diarrhoea. A standardized questionnaire was used to record clinical signs and symptoms before starting treatment and at the end. In addition, a physical examination was carried out. The criteria for inclusion were:

(a) mono-infected with G. duodenalis (proven by microscopic examination of faecal samples, as direct wet mounts and/or after Ritchie concentration) (9),
(b) able to take oral medication,
(c) not known to have contraindications to NTZ or SNZ, with no history of disease other than giardiasis, and
(d) who had not received any anti-parasitic chemotherapy in the previous 4-weeks. Additionally, those who were not able to attend follow-up examinations were excluded from the study.

Ethics

Ethical clearance was granted by the Research and Ethics Committee of the Cuban Institute of Gastroenterology. The enrolment also required that the agreement model were signed by patients, after being fully informed about the aim of the study and the characteristics of the drugs under investigation. The doctors signed the agreement model as well as the patients. The Protocol was kept with the code (IGE-12-2008) at the Research Department of the Cuban Institute of Gastroenterology. A full copy of that protocol was also kept at the specialized library of the Institute.

Experimental design

The sample size for each treatment group (n) needed to ensure sufficient statistical power (80%) to reject the null hypothesis that NTZ and SNZ are not equally effective (in terms of a parasitological cure) with a significant level of 5%, was calculated according to Armitage and Berry (10). The following equation was used:

\[
 n = \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha} \sqrt{2\pi (1-\pi_1)} + Z_{\beta} \sqrt{\pi_1 (1-\pi_2) + \pi_2 (1-\pi_1)}}{\pi_1 - \pi_2} \right)^2
\]

Where:

- \( \pi_1 \): denotes the proportion of population cured with standard treatment.
- \( \pi_2 \): denotes the proportion of population cured with the assayed treatment.

- \( Z_{\alpha} = 1.96 \)
- \( Z_{\beta} = 0.842 \)

One hundred and twenty two patients were required. The patients enrolled were divided into two treatment groups using a computer-based randomization table to receive either: NTZ (Omniparax ®) 500 mg two times daily for three days or SNZ (Secnidazol gal ®) 2 g as a single dose. Omniparax ® and Secnidazol gal ® are trademarks of Laboratorios López, S.A. de C.V. El Salvador.

Treatment allocations were kept in envelopes, which were opened only on admission to the study, after obtaining the signed agreement model, availability for follow-up examinations, and all inclusion and exclusion criteria were checked. Each envelope was labelled beforehand. Patients and those providing the treatments were not blinded to the treatment allocation because the drugs look very different and the number of tablets to take varied. However, to overcome this weakness, the laboratory personnel who analysed the faecal samples were unaware of the treatment allocation.

Assessment of compliance

Comprehensive oral instructions regarding the study were given to all patients. All of them were investigated for compliance to treatment, and one of the following requirements was considered to indicate treatment non-compliance: (1) failure to attend the follow-up visits; (2) not taking one or more dose at the
instructed level and duration; (3) discontinued the drug without first asking the consent of the doctor.

Follow-up

Treatment efficacy was determined based on parasitological cure rate for the therapy assessed. To avoid apparent treatment failure due to re-infection, patient were asked to provide three faecal samples on days 3, 5, and 10 after treatment completion. A patient was only considered to be cured if no *Giardia* trophozoites or cysts could be found in any of the three post-treatment faecal specimens.

In case of treatment failure

All cases in which recommended medication failed were provided with rescue treatment using metronidazole at 250 mg given three times daily for 7 days.

Evaluation of safety

All data related to safety were monitored and recorded. Adverse drug reaction was defined as all noxious and unintended responses that did not exist beforehand, or those signs and symptoms that were present at the inclusion but became more serious following the commencement of the treatment. Unexpected adverse drug reaction was defined as an adverse drug reaction which was not consistent with the product information in terms of nature or severity. Serious adverse drug reaction was defined as those resulting in death or life threatening events. All adverse drug reactions were graded as mild, moderate, or severe.

Data management and statistical analysis

The data regarding the parasitological response and adverse events were noted on pre-designed record forms and subsequently analysed to determine the frequency of each response/effect using EpiInfo 6.0 software (Public Health Domain software, CDC, Atlanta, GA, USA). The statistical significance of differences between mean values was determined using the Student’s t-test. Where appropriate, Fisher exact test was used to establish the significance of differences in proportions.

RESULTS

A total of 125 patients were included on the study, 62 in the NTZ-treated group and 63 in the SNZ-treated group. Two patients were withdrawn, one on each group, because completed the treatment assigned but did not bring the three post-treatment faecal samples. All data were analysed by intention to treat in order to guarantee the external validity of the study. The two treatment groups were similar with respect to sex, race and mean age (p>0.05).

The frequency of parasitological cure after NTZ was a little higher 59 out of 62 (95.2%) than that obtained with SNZ 59 out of 63 (93.7%) but the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.7134) [odds ratio; 1.33 (I.C): 0.24-7.91]. (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treated</th>
<th>Nitazoxanide group (n= 62)</th>
<th>Secnidazole group (n= 63)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cure rate</td>
<td>59 (95.2)</td>
<td>59 (93.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any adverse event</td>
<td>26 (41.9)</td>
<td>34 (54.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>5 (8.1)</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>11 (17.5)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitter taste</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowish coloration of the urine</td>
<td>19 (30.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>6 (9.7)</td>
<td>16 (25.4)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash</td>
<td>3 (4.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* One patient could have had more than one adverse event.
† Statistically significant (P< 0.05).
Both treatments were well tolerated with only mild, transient and self-limited adverse events. Twenty six patients (41.9%) from NTZ treated-group and 34 patients (54%) from SNZ treated-group experienced at least one adverse event; none of them was considered to be serious (p=0.1781) [odds ratio; 1.62 (I.C): 0.75-3.51]. Bitter taste was only reported in SNZ treated group [27/63 (42.9%)] as yellowish coloration of the urine [19/62 (30.6%)] and rash [3/62 (4.8%)] were only reported in NTZ treated group. Nausea (p=0.0026) [odds ratio; 12.90 (I.C): 1.63-276.24] and headache (p=0.0210) [odds ratio; 3.18 (I.C): 1.05-9.97] were more common in patients treated with SNZ (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

Despite reductions in mortality worldwide, diarrhoea still accounts for more than 2 million deaths annually (11). In the United States, an estimated 211 million to 375 million episodes occur each year being responsible for more than 900,000 hospitalizations and 6000 deaths annually (12). Empirical antibiotic treatment in adults who presents with severe, community-acquired diarrhoea reduces the average duration of illness by one to two day; however, the potential benefits must be weighed against the potential harm, such as prolonged faecal shedding of certain pathogens, the increased risk of relapse, and the increased risk of complications (13).

Frequently recognized as a common cause of intestinal discomfort the flagellated enteric protozoa *G. duodenalis* can be associated with long-term consequences on growth and development and is the most commonly detected parasite in the intestinal tract of humans (1,14). Given the increasing incidence of clinical treatment failures and the demonstration of the parasite resistance at laboratory level many researchers have been evaluating different drugs alternatives (3-5,14).

Nitazoxanide, recently licensed in the United States for treatment of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *G. duodenalis*, is also a safe and effective option in the treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis C (15), viral gastroenteritis (16), and *Clostridium difficile* colitis infections (17).

Different clinical trials demonstrated the usefulness of this drug in *G. duodenalis* infections, most of them showing clinical efficacies over than 80%. The parasitological cure after NTZ In the present study was 95.2% higher than the 80.4% reported by Rodriguez-Garcia R et al., (18) in Mexican children but similar to the 94% reported by Abaza et al., (19) in 1998 in Egypt.

Other authors demonstrated the effectiveness of NTZ against *G. duodenalis* infections. Ortiz JJ et al., (7) in 2001, reported that NTZ was as efficacious as a standard 5-day course of metronidazole (85% and 80% respectively) treating giardiasis and controlling diarrhoeal episodes in children from Northern Peru similar to the 81% presented by Rossignol JF et al., (8) in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study carried out the same year. NTZ is also a useful option in patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. In that way Abboud P et al., (20) reported a case of metronidazole- and albendazole-resistant giardiasis that was successful treated with NTZ. In Cuba, a randomized controlled open-label trial, carried out at the Institute of Gastroenterology of Havana City in 2007 (21), showed an efficacy of 78.4% after using NTZ.

The parasitological cure after SNZ (93.7%) in this study was a little lower than the 98% reported by Di Prisco MC et al., (22) in an open, noncomparative study in Venezuelan children but similar to the 91.3% reported by Cimerman B et al., (23) in a randomized, open-label, clinical trial performed with Brazilian children. Both studies again demonstrated the usefulness of SNZ against this intestinal pathogen.

Adverse events notified in both treatments groups were all mild, transient, and self-limiting. No previously undescribed adverse events occurred, and none of the patient included needed to discontinue
treatment or receive additional drugs as a result of an adverse event. The adverse events notified generally occurred at frequencies similar to those observed in previous trials using the same drugs.

Two studies carried out by Rossignol JF et al., (16) and Favennec L et al., (24) demonstrated that NTZ was a safe drug. Similar to those results Ortiz JJ et al., (7) in a trial comparing the efficacy and safety of NTZ and metronidazole in the treatment of diarrhoea caused by *G. duodenalis* in children from Northern Peru evidenced that NTZ was safe with only mild and self-limited adverse events reported. In the present study yellowish coloration of the urine and rash were only notified in NTZ treated group. Others adverse events were reported but similar in frequency to those notified in the other clinical trials using the same drug (7,16,24).

SNZ, on the other hand, is considered to be a safe drug in almost all clinical trials carried out over the world. For that reason and for its high efficacy demonstrated in many trials the scientist identifies that drug as one of the golden standards to treat *G. duodenalis* infections (14). In the present study like in others bitter taste, nausea and, headache were the adverse event more frequently notified (22,23).

One possible weakness in the current study was that for practical reasons it was conducted in an open fashion. As the two drug treatments look very different and the number of tablets to take daily varied it was impossible to make the study blind. This could be a limitation and consequently, despite well-defined pre-study criteria for evaluating efficacy and safety, evaluation of the treatment response and possible cause of adverse events could have been somewhat biased; but it could not have influenced the major result (eradication of *Giardia* infection) because the efficacy analysis was done by the laboratory department where those checking post-treatment faecal samples were unaware of the treatment allocation and had no clinical involvement with the paediatric patients or their parents.

The management of *G. duodenalis* infection has been considered by many clinicians as a problem mainly in tropical and subtropical settings. The results obtained in the present work suggest that NTZ, for three days, is as efficacious as a single dose SNZ in the treatment of *giardiasis* in adult patients.
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