Long-term outcome of unreamed intramedullary nails in femur diaphyseal fractures

Femur cisim kırıklarında oymazız intramedüller çivi uygulamasının uzun dönem sonuçları
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BACKGROUND
We evaluated the results of patients with traumatic femur diaphyseal fracture who had undergone biologic fixation with unreamed intramedullary nailing.

METHODS
Twenty-five adults with 29 traumatic femur diaphyseal fractures who had undergone unreamed intramedullary nailing at Uludag University School of Medicine, Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology were included in the study between January 1997 and December 2007. Gender, age, cause of injury, fracture type, operation length, time lapse till surgery, blood loss, fluoroscopy duration, early and late complications, time until union, and functional results were noted. Functional results were evaluated with Klemm-Börner and Thoresen systems and Short Form (SF)-36 health survey questions.

RESULTS
The mean follow-up of the patients was 65.1±31.6 months (26-138). There was no statistically significant difference between operation length, blood loss and time until union of simple and complex fractures (p>0.05). Furthermore, the SF-36 questionnaire revealed no statistically significant difference between pain scores (p>0.05).

CONCLUSION
Sparing of the endosteal and periosteal circulation, low infection and high union rates, and good functional outcomes of unreamed intramedullary nailing fixation make it the treatment of choice for simple and comminuted fractures of the femur shaft, especially for multi-trauma patients and patients with cardiopulmonary comorbidities.
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AMAÇ
Travmatik femur cisim kırığı tanısı nedeniyle oymazız kilitli intramedüller çivi (İMÇ) kullananlar biyolojik tespit yapılan hastaların sonuçları değerlendirildi.

GEREÇ VE YÖNTEM

BULGULAR
Olguların ortalama takip süresi 65.1±31.6 (dağılım, 26-138) ay idi. Basit ve kompleks kırıklar arasında ameliyat süresi, kanama miktarı ve kaynama süresi açısından anlamlı fark olmadığı saptandı (p>0.05). SF-36’ya göre ağrı skorları arasında anlamlı fark saptanmadı (p>0.05).

SONUÇ
Femur cisminin basit ve çok parçalı kırıklarının oymazız İMÇ ile tespiti; endosteal ve periosteal kan dolaşımını bozmaz, dar nefes alımı riskini azaltır, yüksek kaynama oranı ve fonksiyonel sonuçlarının iyi olması nedeniyle özellikle çoklu yaralanmalar, pulmoner ve kardiyovasküler riskleri olan hastalarda tercih edilmişdir. Benson’s ve Buah’s'in yorumları da bu görüşü destekliyor. Basit ve çok parçalı kırıkların oymazız İMÇ ile tespiti bu şekilde bir araçtırma ise genel kabul görmüşdür.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Biyolojik tespit; femur cisim kırığı; oymazız kilitli intramedüller çivi.
Diaphyseal fractures of long bones have been the most common fractures in orthopedics and traumatology. Increases in motor vehicle accidents, work-related accidents and sport and gunshot injuries have also led to an increase in fractures of the femur and tibia in young individuals.[11] To date, choice of treatment in femur diaphyseal fracture has been surgical.[2-4] Conservative treatment of these fractures may disturb patient-physician relations due to serious systemic complications, decrease in range of motion in knee and hip joints, malunion, and nonunion. Furthermore, any attempt to treat these fractures conservatively can cause medico-legal problems.[4]

Recently, biologic fixation techniques for long bone fractures have gained in popularity.[5,6] External fixation, plate-screw systems and intramedullary nails (IMNs) can be used for this purpose.[7,8] However, locking IMNs have been the first choice in treatment of both open and closed femur and tibia fractures.[9,10] IMNs can be reamed or unreamed depending on the indication. However, local and systemic negative effects of reamed IMNs should be taken into consideration. Reaming has been blamed for disrupting the cortical blood flow, causes thermal necrosis of the cortical bone and results in marrow embolization, which may be a trigger for acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).[11,12] Unreamed IMNs are believed to have a less negative effect on bone blood supply. However, this topic is still controversial.

In this study, our aim was to present the outcome of femur diaphyseal fractures treated with unreamed IMNs with a minimum of two years of follow-up.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

From January 1998 through December 2007, 25 individuals who suffered 29 femur diaphyseal fractures and were treated with unreamed IMN were identified. Patients’ records and radiologic surveys were reviewed for patient demographics, fracture type, type of surgical approach, associated injuries, and any complications related to treatment. At the patients’ first presentation to the emergency room, all fractures were initially treated with long leg cast covering the ankle, knee and hip joint to the posterior superior iliac crest.

Fractures were classified according to AO/ASIF, and Gustilo-Anderson classification was used in case of open fracture.[13,14] Unreamed IMNs (reamed femoral nail, Synthes GmbH, Switzerland) were applied to each case under general or regional anesthesia. Patients were placed in the prone position. A lateral incision was carried from the trochanter major to 6-8 cm proximal. Following blunt dissection, the piriformis fossa was reached. The appropriate nail size was determined with measurements from the intact and the fractured side under fluoroscopy. Nail size was determined in bilateral fractures after closed reduction of the fracture. All nails were locked statically at the proximal and distal ends.

Open fractures were treated initially with aggressive debridement and irrigation with 4 to 5 liters sterile saline with added antibiotic. Surgical tools and drapes were then changed in order to avoid contamination. Then, unreamed IMN application was performed in the same scene. Tetanus prophylaxis was given to all patients. Pre- and postoperative antibiotic treatment was applied with first-generation cephalosporins and gentamicin for 24-48 hours.

Operation time and blood loss were measured. After follow-up radiographs suggested callus formation, full weight-bearing was allowed. Radiologic and clinical follow-ups were done at postoperative months 1, 3, 6, and 12 and then annually. Radiologic union was accepted if callus formation was visible in at least three planes in anteroposterior and lateral radiographs and/or if the fracture line was no longer visible.[15] Functional outcome was evaluated according to Klemm-Börner[16] and Thoresen[17] systems and Short Form-36 (SF-36).[18]

In our study, the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 16.0 program was used for statistical analysis. Pearson chi-square test and Fisher’s certain chi-square tests were performed for the analysis of categorical variables. Continuous variables with normal distribution were analyzed using unpaired t-test, whereas variables that did not show normal distribution were analyzed via Mann-Whitney U test.

RESULTS

Of the 25 patients, 19 (76%) were male and 6 (24%) were female. Average age of the patients was 31.4±11.1 years (range: 18-65). Mean follow-up of the patients was 65.1±31.6 months (range: 26-138). There were 29 femur diaphyseal fractures in 25 patients who were treated with unreamed IMNs. The most common etiology of the fractures was motor-vehicle accident (21; 84%), followed by fall (3; 12%), and one suicide attempt (4%). The majority of the fractures were simple 32-A type fractures in 19 (65.5%) patients, with complex 32-B or 32-C type fractures in 10 (34.5%) patients. Twelve patients suffered additional injuries (Table 1). According to Gustilo-Anderson, 1 patient had type 1, 1 patient had type 2, and 1 patient had type 3C open fracture. Close reduction and static locking were performed in all fractures. None of the patients suffered limited range of motion in knee or hip joints. The mean operation time for simple and complex fractures was 62.07±11.06 minutes (45-85) and 66.5±8.2 minutes (60-85), respectively (p>0.05). Mean blood loss during the surgery for simple and complex fractures was 104.5±41.9 and 116.8±48.3 ml, respectively (p>0.05). The average time for complete
union in simple and complex fractures was 3.7±0.9 and 4.4±2.6 months, respectively (p>0.05). There was nonunion in 1 patient with type-3C open fracture with femoral artery and vein injury. This nonunion was treated by reamed IMN and autograft from the iliac crest by minimal exposure of the fracture site. Three patients had 1 cm shortness in the lower extremity due to the fracture. Of these patients, 1 had 10° varus deformity, 1 had 10° valgus deformity and 1 had multipart fracture (Fig. 1).

Physical therapy was started on the postoperative 1st day, and patients started to mobilize with crutches the next day. Patients were allowed to mobilize with partial weight-bearing for six weeks. After follow-up radiographs suggested callus formation, full-weight bearing was allowed. Patients with additional injuries or bilateral fractures received physical therapy in their beds without weight-bearing and were allowed weight-bearing after completion of union.

Functional outcomes according to Klemm-Börner and Thoresen systems are summarized in Table 2. Average scores on the physical function scale of the SF-36 were 94 and 87.4 in simple and complex fractures, respectively (p>0.05). Average scores on the pain scale of the SF-36 were 99 and 97 in simple and complex fractures, respectively (p>0.05). Average scores on the SF-36 are given in Table 3.

**DISCUSSION**

The main goals of femur diaphyseal fracture treatment are as follows: maintenance of normal length and axis of the lower extremity, complete union, early mobilization, and maintenance of normal range of motion in knee and hip joints. Unreamed IMNs are appropriate fixation instruments for the long spiral, oblique, multi-part fractures of the femur as well as simple fractures above and below the isthmus. Operation time for fixing femur diaphyseal fractures with unreamed IMNs has been reported in the literature as between 50 and 140 minutes. Mean operation time

**Table 1.** Information about fracture types, additional injuries and follow-up of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Fracture type</th>
<th>Additional injury</th>
<th>Follow-up (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32 A3</td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32 B3</td>
<td>Spine fracture</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32 B1</td>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32 A3</td>
<td>Acetabular fracture (same side)</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32 C2</td>
<td>Fibula and spine fracture</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>32 A2</td>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32 B1</td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32 B1</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32 A2</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32 A3</td>
<td>Tibia fracture</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32 A3</td>
<td>Pelvis fracture</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>32 A3</td>
<td>Pelvis, acetabular, fibula fracture</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32 A2</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32 A3</td>
<td>Malleolar fracture (same side)</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32 A3</td>
<td>Pelvis fracture</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32 A3</td>
<td></td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32 A3</td>
<td>Humerus fracture</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32 A3</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32 B1</td>
<td>Calcaneus fracture (same side)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32 A3</td>
<td>Tibia fracture (same side)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>32 A3</td>
<td>Humerus fracture</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32 B1</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>32 A2</td>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32 C3</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32 B1</td>
<td>Tibia, malleolar metatarsal fracture (same side)</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** (a, b) A 32-year-old man who suffered open femur diaphysis fracture with accompanying femoral artery and vein injury. He underwent unreamed IMN treatment. However it was resulted as nonunion. (c, d) His unreamed IMN was replaced with reamed IMN. Union of three cortices can be seen on the radiographs obtained postoperative 1 year.
in the present study was 62 minutes. We believe that application of IMN without using a guidewire, closed reduction, and insertion of the unreamed IMN are the main reasons for the reduced operation time.

In this study, all unreamed IMNs were locked statically. Dabezies et al.\[23\] reported that micromotion and rotation are limited with static locking, and length of the fracture is maintained as well. Cortical contact is minimal or absent in segmental and multi-part fractures. In this case, static locking is mandatory in order to maintain alignment between fracture parts, and to prevent shortening, angulation and rotation.\[1,8,15\] Brumback et al.\[24,25\] reported a 10.5% rate of loss of reduction in their study. They stated that loss of reduction usually occurs within the first postoperative three weeks and that correction of the angulation and shortness is relatively easy if noticed early; otherwise, more complex revision surgery will be necessary. Winquist et al.\[8\] found in their study that 2% of cases had shortening of more than 2 cm. The authors claimed that if the contact area between the fracture parts is more than 50%, shortening is unlikely and dynamic locking will be adequate. In our study, three patients had shortness in their affected lower extremity and two of them had angulation. None of these patients was affected functionally due to the shortening. We believe that good functional outcome can be attributed to locking the IMNs statically in the beginning and to dynamization after callus formation.

Time for union with reamed IMNs has been reported in the literature as between 4.4 and 4.8 months.\[20,21,26\] This period has been reported as 3.3 months and 4.5 months in the series of Reynders and Broos and Ertürer et al.\[1,21\] In the present study, the average time for union was 4.2 months, which is compatible with the literature. The rate of nonunion has been reported as from 0%-8% and from 1%-2% with unreamed and reamed IMNs, respectively.\[22,27,28\] Drosos et al.\[29\] reported that there is a high risk of nonunion if the gap between the fracture edges is 3 mm or more. In our study, one patient with type 3C open fracture had nonunion (Fig. 2). We believe this complication is related to severe soft tissue injury and loss of fracture hematoma due to open fracture rather than the fixation technique. In our opinion, the appropriate definition of a fracture is not only the disruption of integrity of the bony structures but the disruption of integrity of the soft tissue that results with fracture. As was discussed in the results, the nonunion case in our study was treated with re-nailing with reamed IMN and autograft placement into the fracture site, which is the standard choice of treatment in our institute.

Malunion is another potential complication of unreamed IMN fixation, with a rate ranging between 21% and 22.5% in the studies of Kempf et al. and Sjoberg

![Fig. 2](a) Complex fracture of femur (AO type 32-C) in a 24-year-old male after motor vehicle accident. (b, c) Immediate anterior-posterior and lateral radiographs after unreamed IMN. (d, e) Radiographs were obtained 20 months postoperatively.
et al., respectively. In this study, two patients (8%) had malunion with 10° of angulation. We propose that the reason for this low rate of malunion compared to those two previous studies was strict adherence to the criteria of Krettek et al. to evaluate the axis of the fracture intraoperatively. There have been a limited number of studies about evaluating the functional outcomes after treatment of diaphyseal femur fractures. In our study, multiple outcome measures including Thoresen, Klemm-Börner, and SF-36 were utilized. Average scores of these instruments suggested very good or good functional results.

Although reamed IMNs have potential risks and complications and past studies have favored unreamed IMNs, these types of nails are not totally innocent. Unreamed IMNs are quick and simple to implant. However, unreamed IMNs are involved in more implant failures and require more second surgeries. Unreamed IMNs are not totally innocent.

In an experimental animal study, Högel et al. analyzed the rate of fat embolism in pulmonary arteries after both reamed and unreamed IMN application. They reported that IMN with reaming is a safe procedure and has less risk of pulmonary fat embolism. However, the size of the reamer they used was smaller than the original reamer designed by AO. They also concluded that the low rate of fat embolism may be associated with the narrow intramedullary canal of the sheep.

Our study includes the cases treated between 1998 and 2007. Unreamed IMN was the treatment of choice at that time, as reamed IMN was believed to be associated with the aforementioned risks and complications. However, in light of recent studies, reamed IMNs have also been used in our institute.

In conclusion, in addition to the selection of IMN type (reamed/unreamed), patient selection, evaluation of the fracture type, appropriate fixation technique, close follow-up of the patients, and most importantly, early rehabilitation and mobilization contribute to a good outcome.
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