Dumbbell-Shaped Neurofibroma of the Upper Cervical Spine: A Case Report
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Spinal neurofibromas are the most prevalent group of spinal tumors. They occur sporadically or in association with Neurofibromatosis type-1 (NF1, von Recklinghausen disease). A neurofibroma’s developing a “dumbbell tumor” is a situation which is quite often seen. Surgical intervention is indicated when myelopathy and motor deficits develop in the case of paraspinal neurofibromas. The goal of surgery is total removal of the tumor. However, in selected cases partial removal of the tumor with adequate spinal cord decompression can be preferred to prevent severe complications such as vertebral artery injury. We present a case of neurofibroma with neck and shoulder pain and dumbbell tumor formation at the level of C1 that was in close relation with the vertebral artery. Possible surgical interventions are discussed.
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CASE REPORT

A 61-year-old female was admitted to the hospital with pain over the neck, left shoulder and arm.
Neurological examination revealed left-sided spastic hemiparesis, more pronounced distally hypoesthesia below C2 dermatome and hyperactive deep tendon reflexes. T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the cervical spine showed a hypointense mass measuring 1.5x2x2.5 cm and occupying the left neural foramen and left half of the spinal canal. The lesion had a prominent hyperintense center with a hypointense periphery on T2-weighted images. There was a marked contrast enhancement of the periphery of the lesion following gadolinium injection. Intradural component of the mass was found to compress the left side of the spinal cord while the extradural component was close to the vertebral artery (Figures 1A and 1B). Vertebral angiography was performed to determine the course of the vertebral artery (VA) and to plan the surgical procedure. Cranial diffusion-perfusion MRI performed to depict cerebral vascularization and collateral circulation was normal.

The patient was operated via a posterior exposure with posterior arc of C1 and C2 removed. During the partial resection, foraminal and extradural components were left in situ while the intradural component of the tumor which compressed the spinal cord at the levels of C1 and C2 and contained nerve rootlets was totally excised to achieve spinal cord decompression. Since the case was considered as a neurofibroma, there were possibilities of spinal cord compression by the intradural component and adhesions of the extradural component to the vertebral artery and venous structures around it. Therefore, care was taken not to cause traction during the dissection. Histopathological diagnosis of the resected specimen was neurofibroma.

Postoperative neurological examination revealed left hemiparesis (4/5 motor strength). Physical therapy and rehabilitation was given. At 4 months followed-up, neurological examination was normal and neck pain was completely resolved. Removal of intradural component of the neurofibroma and adequate decompression of the spinal cord was seen on postoperative cervical spine MRI (Figures 2A and 2B).
DISCUSSION

Of the paraspinal neurofibromas; 72% were with intradural extramedullar localization, where as 14% were with extradural, 13% were with dumbbell formation and 1% was with intramedullary localizations (5,7,16). A neurofibroma in the spinal canal, invading the peripheral segment of the nerve by extending out of the intervertebral foramen and presenting itself with a dumbbell tumor is quite common. In the series of cases presented by Seppala et al., 21 (66%) of 32 neurofibromas demonstrated both intradural and extradural tumor components, and 17 tumors extended laterally through the foramina. In these dumbbell neurofibromas, the extraspinal part is usually larger than the intraspinal part (14). Tumor with may reach massive dimensions, be lobulated and exhibit cystic degeneration. Dumbbell formation is important due to the attachment of, especially, the extramedullary part to the surrounding tissues. Its vicinity to the VA is critical (10,13,14).

Clinical findings develop as a result of local compression of the ventral or motor nerve roots. While root symptoms develop during the early period, long-tract findings develop later. Cervical and lumbar regions are more frequently invaded. Radicular pain and disesthesia were present in 80% cases. Motor weakness that we detected in our case is seen in some 10% of the cases (5,8,11).

Direct radiographs are sufficient to establish diagnosis in 50% of the cases. Pedicle erosion and vertebral body scalloping are the most frequent findings on direct radiograph. Regular expansion of the interpedicular distance and intervertebral foramen may directly indicate the presence of the dumbbell tumor. Thanks to its sensitivity and specificity, MRI is quite important in detecting the disease, determining the accompanying pathologies and following the development of the complications in NF1 cases. Neurofibromas appear as iso- or hyperintense to the spinal cord on T1-weighted images while they give hyperintense signal on T2-weighted images. Dumbbell neurofibromas enhance regularly upon gadolinium administration (5,6,15).

No treatment is needed for asymptomatic neurofibroma cases. Symptomatic cases justify surgical treatment. Majority of the nerve fibers are entrapped within tumoral tissue in dumbbell neurofibroma cases, as in our case. It is impossible to remove the tumor without sacrificing the nerve root and aggressive surgery may result in severe neurological deficits (8,10). Thus, partial

Figure 2. Sagittal (A) and axial (B) T2-weighted MR images of the cervical spine of the patient taken 2 months after the operation clearly show that the intradural component of the tumor at the level of C1-C2 was excised and there was no spinal cord compression.
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Dumbbell tumors with significant dissemination into the paraspinal region may require complex spinal exposure. Although two-stage operations may be performed to manage the intraspinal and paraspinal components separately, a single-stage procedure is preferable. For cervical tumors, the VA is another issue to be considered. In most instances, meningiomas and nerve sheath tumors receive little blood from the spinal cord and are attached by few adhesions to the spinal cord. Most cervical dumbbell tumors can be adequately accessed through a standard laminectomy and complete unilateral facetectomy. As in our case, this allows paraspinal access up to 3 cm from the lateral dural margin. A second-stage anterior procedure may be required if further tumor extension is present.

The VA is consistently displaced anteromedially by dumbbell neurofibromas of the cervical spine. The artery is neither encased nor invaded by these tumors but is separated from the tumor capsule by a thin layer of periosteum and perivertebral veins. These tissues serve as an effective and easily developed plane of dissection that is rarely associated with VA injury. Thus, because of the low risk of either VA injury or its potential ischemic consequences, preoperative angiography and/or test occlusion or early intra-operative control and mobilization do not seem warranted.

The incidence of cervical spine instability after unilateral facetectomy and varying degrees of laminectomy is unknown. In an experimental study by Cusick et al., isolated unilateral cervical facetectomy resulted in an average loss of strength of 31.6% in response to a constant flexion/compression load, as compared with an intact motion segment. Although acute spinal instability did not occur in the clinical study by McCormick et al., the significant loss of mechanical integrity associated with unilateral facetectomy presented a continued risk of delayed instability from repetitive loading. This risk probably increases in proportion to the amount of concomitant laminar ant ligamentous disruption. Independent factors, such as patient age, spinal mobility, individualized loading patterns, and spinal level, might also be relevant. Contralateral facet fusion prevents delayed instability.

Prognosis is excellent after the surgical resection. While pain is diminished in 80% of the cases, total remission occurs in 60% of the cases. Recurrence is very rare subsequent to total excision. Recurrence after 3 years was noted in one of 66 paraspinal neurofibroma patients who were treated by Levy et al. However, upper cervical neurofibroma cases characterized by dumbbell formation, as in our case, are treated by partial resection, thus they have the risk of recurrence. It is crucial to screen cervical spine in these patients by advanced imaging modalities such as MRI to detect recurrence.

CONCLUSION

The most significant feature of dumbbell neurofibromas is the adhesion of the tumor to the environment by enlarging the foramen and projecting outward from the spinal canal. The
goal of surgery is total removal of the tumor. Although a variety of surgical approaches for these lesions is available, most cervical spine dumbbell tumors can be effectively managed with a single-stage posterior exposure with partial laminectomy and unilateral facetectomy \(^{(4,8,10,13,14)}\). However, in selected cases partial removal of the tumor with adequate spinal cord decompression can be preferred to prevent vertebral artery injury.
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