Double Right Coronary Artery: A Report of Two Cases
Çift Sağ Koroner Arter: İki Vakanın Sunumu
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Abstract
Coronary artery anomalies are uncommon and often asymptomatic. A double right coronary artery is an extremely rare coronary artery anomaly, and only a few cases of double right coronary artery have been reported. Interestingly, most of the cases about this anomaly were reported from Turkey. In this case reports, we aimed to present double right coronary artery anomaly in two patients who was admitted with typical chest pain.

Keywords: Coronary vessel anomalies, coronary angiography

Case 1
A 67-year-old woman administered to our hospital due to chest pain. She was complaining of angina and dyspnea on exertion since two weeks. Her risk factors for coronary artery disease included history of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and obesity. Blood pressure was 150/80 mm Hg and pulse rate was 90 bpm. The physical examination was completely normal. Cardiac enzymes and troponin–T levels were also found normal. An ST segment depression was noted in leads II, III, and aVF on electrocardiography (ECG). The patient underwent selective left and right coronary artery angiography. The
left coronary angiography revealed a normal origin and course of the left main artery (LM), the left circumflex artery (LCx), and the left anterior descending (LAD) arteries. The right coronary angiography revealed two different right coronary arteries arising from a common ostium in the right coronary sinus valsalva Figure 1. Both arteries were free of critical atherosclerotic lesions.

Case 2

A 50-year-old man administered to our hospital due to chest pain. He was complaining of angina and dyspnea on exertion since one week. He was a chronic smoker and had hypertension for 4 years. Blood pressure was 170/90 mm Hg and pulse rate was 90 bpm. The physical examination was completely normal. Cardiac enzymes and troponin–T were also normal. The patient underwent selective left and right coronary artery angiography. The left coronary arteries were of normal origin and distribution. The right coronary angiography revealed two separate RCAs originating from a single ostium in the right sinus of valsalva Figure 2.

Discussion

DRCA is a very rare coronary anomaly. This anomaly is seen mostly in males, as are other congenital coronary anomalies. It has been described as a right coronary system formed of two distinct branches running very closely together in the atrioventricular groove, for at least half of the entire course of the right coronary artery.

In some cases, DRCA arises from two separate ostia in the right sinus of Valsalva, whereas in some cases RCA comes out from a single ostium and after a short distance from the body is divided into two. Therefore, the definition of DRCA presents some differences. In 37 reports 44 cases of DRCA have been reported in the literature as “duplicated right coronary artery”, “dual right coronary artery”, and “split right coronary artery”. Interestingly, most cases of DRCA was reported from Turkey and was classified by Vural M Table 1. According to this classification, a DRCA arising from double ostia and goes side by side in the atroventricular groove is defined as a real DRCA. If DRCA does not supply the blood to the left ventricle, does not continue as posterior descending artery (PDA) or posterior lateral artery (PL), and gives off a large right ventricular artery at a point higher than usual, then it is defined as pseudo-DRCA. If not either of the above, then it is defined as atypical DRCA. DRCA may be associated with atherosclerosis leading to
life-threatening arrhythmia and myocardial infarction. In the absence of atherosclerotic lesions, ischemia leading to chest pain may be due to anatomical malformations, including an acute takeoff angle of the duplicated vessel, myocardial squeezing, vasospasm, and small coronary artery. Angiographic examination of our patients’ coronary arteries were free of atherosclerotic lesions.

Multislice computed tomography (MSCT) may also be used to determine the type of DRCA. However, other than the classification of patients, this technique has no additional benefits, and MSCT is not usually required. According to this classification, the first of our cases has A1 atypical DRCA and the second case has A2 atypical DRCA. Because of no expected additional benefit for our patients, MSCT was not performed following coronary angiography.

We conclude that, although controversy exists about the definition of a double RCA, it is generally considered as a benign entity. Yet it may be atherosclerotic in origin and lead to acute coronary syndrome including myocardial infarction and may be associated with other anomalies. Every operator should be familiar with coronary anomalies to perform an adequate examination.
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