Jejunal perforations in a peritoneal dialysis patient with peritonitis:  
A case report

Periton diyalizi yapan peritonitli hastada jejunal perforasyonlar: vaka sunumu
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Abstract
A 73-year-old man maintained on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis for 3 years, presented to our hospital with chief complaints of abdominal pain, fever and a cloudy dialysate. He was treated empirically with intraperitoneal cefazolin and amikacin for suspected PD-related peritonitis. On the third day, he developed jejunal perforations. A laparotomy was performed, revealing perforations of the jejunum. The perforations were sutured primarily. After the surgery, there was anastomotic leakage from the primary suture region. He died from sepsis-associated cardiovascular collapse.


Key words: jejunal perforation, peritoneal dialysis, peritonitis.

Özet

Pam Tıp Derg 2014;7(1):67-69

Anahtar sözcükler: jejunal perforasyon, peritoneal dialys, peritonit.

Introduction
Peritonitis is a major complication of peritoneal dialysis (PD) therapy and is an important reason for withdrawals in PD patients. Touch contamination, catheter-related contamination, hematogenous spread and biofilm formation are the main factors for the development of PD-related peritonitis. However, peritonitis can be induced by underlying pathology of the gastrointestinal tract such as cholecystitis, and appendicitis [1]. In these conditions, peritonitis is known as secondary peritonitis. Secondary peritonitis shows significant mortality. For efficient treatment, early diagnosis and dynamic treatment are necessary.

Here in, we report the case of a patient who developed jejunal perforation due to PD-related peritonitis which is a rare complication.

Case Presentation
A 73-year-old man, maintained on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) for 3 years, because of, presented to our hospital with complaints of abdominal pain, vomiting, fever and a cloudy dialysate fluid.
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The patient had end-stage renal disease due to hypertension. His heart rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate and axillary temperature were 100 beats/minute, 120/70mmHg, 24 breaths/minute, and 38 °C, respectively. There was a CAPD catheter in the left part of the abdomen. His exit site and tunnel were normal. On physical examination, the abdomen was distended and tender, with decreased bowel sounds. Abdominal X-Ray showed dilated loops of the small bowel with air-fluid levels and no sub diaphragmatic gas. Causes as appendicitis, cholecystitis or intraabdominal abscess were investigated by abdominal ultrasonography and computed tomography (CT) but none were found. In the abdominal ultrasonography, a dilated small intestine with fluid accumulation in the lumen and decreased intestinal peristalsis were detected. A nasogastric tube was inserted and gastric contents were aspirated. The clinical laboratory data were: white blood cell count (WBC), 18200 /mm³; WBC in the dialysate, 21900 /mm³. A gram stain of the dialysate fluid was negative. He was treated empirically with intraperitoneal cefazolin (2 gr/day) and amikacin (500 mg/once a week) for suspected PD-related peritonitis. The culture of peritoneal dialysis fluid was negative.

Three days after admission, severe abdominal pain with rebound tenderness and muscle defense developed. The peritoneal drainage fluid was cloudy with a yellow-green color. WBC and peritoneal fluid WBC increased to 23070 /mm³ and 23070 /mm³, respectively. Abdominal X-Ray showed multiple air-fluid levels and no subdiaphragmatic free air (Figure 1). Computed tomography (CT) scan revealed minimal free air pockets in the peritoneal cavity, which could be related to the CAPD itself, diffuse thickening and edema of the small intestine (Figure 2). A laparotomy was performed because of suspected intestinal perforation, revealing two isolated perforations of the jejunum on the longitudinal axis which were 0.7cm and 1.5cm length, and 25cm distal from ligament of Treitz. The perforations were sutured primarily, bilateral drainage catheters were placed in the abdomen, and the Tenckhoff catheter was removed. A non-tunneled catheter was placed into the right jugular vein for hemodialysis. The second culture of the dialysate fluid grew Enterobacter cloacae. Treatment was continued with intravenous piperacillin-tazobactam (2.25 gr/every 4 h) and metronidazole (500 mg/every 12 h). On the seventh day after the surgery, his general condition rapidly deteriorated and his blood pressure dropped to 85/40 mmHg. Bilious fluid drained from the drainage catheter. A re-laparotomy was performed. Anastomotic leakage from the primary suture region was detected and repaired. The patient was transferred to the intensive care unit. Postoperative sepsis ensued and the patient died 10 days after re-laparotomy.

Figür 1. Abdominal X-Ray showed multiple air-fluid levels

Figür 2. Computed tomography (CT) scan revealed minimal free air pockets in the peritoneal cavity, diffuse thickening and edema of the small intestine.
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Discussion

Peritonitis secondary to intra-abdominal pathology represents between 3.5% and 25% of the published series of PD-associated peritonitis; and approximately 10% of all patients treated with PD develop this complication, which carries a mortality rate of approximately 50% [2]. Intestinal perforation is a rare but very dangerous and mortal complication of PD. It is always more difficult to diagnose bowel perforation in PD patients with peritonitis and surgery is often delayed. The majority of cases have occurred upon insertion of stylet catheter for the acute dialysis [3]. The mortality rate from surgical peritonitis in PD patients was 46.3% (19/41 cases), compared to 7.5% (12/161 cases, p<0.0001) for all other peritonitis cases taken as a group [2]. In one study, a laparotomy perforated appendicitis was found to be the cause of peritonitis in three patients whereas perforated diverticulitis was present in two. There was a 16.7% patient mortality rate [4]. Wellington and Rody [5] reported seven cases of polymicrobial peritonitis with an underlying colonic source identified at laparotomy in each: five had perforated diverticulitis and two had ischemic colitis. Operative mortality in their study was 50%. These patients developed peritonitis secondary to intestinal perforations. We present a case of two isolated jejunal perforations due to PD-related peritonitis. In the literature, there are two cases of perforation in PD patient [6,7].

The jejunal perforation is exceedingly rare in non-PD population. There are several case-reports of jejunal perforation in the literature. Its causes include infections, inflammatory bowel disease, ischemia, injury from radiation therapy, blunt abdominal trauma, cancer, and swallowed foreign bodies [8,9]. Kurultak [10] et al. presented a case of intestinal perforation secondary to PD peritonitis. A laparotomy was performed and revealed adhesive ileus with cecal perforation, requiring large-bowel resection, and tube cecostomy but the patient died due to sepsis. The isolation of single or multiple enteric pathogens, particularly *Escherichia coli*, from the dialysate should alert the clinician to the possibility of an intraabdominal emergency [5].

To prevent high morbidity and mortality, early evaluation by a surgeon and early surgery is important. In previous studies, delay in diagnosis was reported to range from 3 to 21 days (average 10 days) [4,5]. In our case, we isolated *Enterobacter cloacae* on the second culture of the dialysate fluid. It was negative on the first culture of the peritoneal effluent but the cell count of dialysate effluent was reduced. The appearance of yellow-green color in the peritoneal dialysate alerted us for intestinal perforation. On the third day of the treatment, surgery was performed for bowel perforation in the early period. The second operation was performed because of surgical complications but our patient died due to sepsis associated with cardiovascular collapse.

In conclusion, we recommend that patients should be reevaluated daily and that clinicians should check the peritoneal drainage fluid for early diagnosis of intestinal perforation daily.
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