Maximizing value in opioid utilization: Is oxycodone immediate release a good option for pain management?
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Summary
The modern approach to the management of pain involves optimizing all aspects of the process. This includes utilization of pharmacologic and non-pharmacologic modalities, consideration of patient characteristics, proper matching of the physiology of the pain with the analgesic's mechanism of action (pharmacodynamics, PD), and the onset and duration of action (pharmacokinetics, PK). No single agent or formulation satisfies all of the requirements for all patients. Aspirin and other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are effective options for inflammatory pain and, as is acetaminophen, for mild pain. Specialized agents are helpful for particular pains, such as for migraine headache. Opioids remain the standard option for severe pain. Although they are generally a safe and effective option, opioids can produce dose-limiting adverse effects and have abuse potential. The goal of pain therapy is thus to achieve the maximum pain relief with the least amount of opioid exposure. Against this background of measured approach to the use of analgesics, an immediate release (IR) oral formulation of the established opioid oxycodone has been developed to provide rapid onset of action and rate of titration, both of which could maximize temporal matching of dose with pain level and reduce total exposure to drug. This article considers the option of an immediate release (IR) oral formulation for the management of pain.

Key words: Opioid; oxycodone; pain management.

Özet

Anahtar Kelimeler: Opioid; oksikodon; ağrı yönetimi.

1Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore; Department of Pharmacology, Temple University School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pa; Nema Research Inc, Bonita Springs, Fl, USA;
2Department of Algology, Medical Faculty of Istanbul, Istanbul University, Turkey;
3Nema Research Inc, Bonita Springs, Fl, USA;
4Bahcelievler State Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey;
5Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Temple University School of Pharmacy, Philadelphia, Pa, USA

Correspondence (İletişim): Dr. Robert Taylor. 3384 Woods Edge Circle, Suite 102, Bonita Springs, Fl 34134 Florida, United States.
Tel: +1-239-597 35 64 e-mail (e-posta): r.taylor@nemaresearch.com
Introduction

The selection of an appropriate analgesic product for a specific patient depends on the complex interplay of numerous factors, such as the patient’s painful condition, his or her age and health, other drugs, the setting, accessibility and legal restrictions associated with specific agents, and even the patient’s genetic background. Opioids have long been recognized as safe and effective agents to treat many painful conditions, including the World Health Organization’s now-famous “pain ladder” which recognized opioid therapy as an important way to address moderate to severe pain associated with cancer.[1] While oral morphine rapidly emerged as the “gold standard” in opioid analgesia, owing perhaps to its widespread availability and affordability, pain therapy is far from a “one size fits all” paradigm. In the past decades, numerous opioid agents have been added to the analgesic armamentarium. A new product for Turkey, an oral immediate-release (IR) oxycodone, available commercially in 5, 10, and 20 mg capsules, has been added.

Oxycodone is an established. First described clinically as early as 1917,[2] oxycodone’s mechanism of action is, like morphine’s primarily due to agonist action at mu-opioid-receptors (MOR), with perhaps some contribution involving kappa-opioid receptors pathways. Its liposolubility is similar to morphine’s and its plasma protein binding (mainly to albumin) is around 40% to 50% (morphine is 38%). On the WHO pain ladder, oxycodone would be considered a “strong opioid.”[1]

Opioid metabolism

The analgesic effect of opioids is due to their agonist action at both central and peripheral 7-transmembrane G protein-coupled receptor (7TM-GPCR) mu-opioid receptors.[3] Some opioids may also interact with other opioid receptors, namely the kappa and delta receptors. Opioid agents bind to the receptors and ion channels and 2nd messengers transduce the signal into inhibition of the ascending transmission of nociceptive information from periphery to spinal cord. At the same time, opioids also activate descending pathways (e.g., norepinephrine and serotonin), which work to modulate pain signals. These two simultaneous activities—inhibition of transmission and modulation of signals—reduce or stop pain perception. Opioids also affect the body’s limbic system which “interprets” or contextualizes pain.

The sometimes wide inter-patient variability in opioid response can be contributed to numerous factors. Opioid metabolism is governed by certain heritable factors, such that polymorphisms render individuals and sometimes certain ethnic groups more or less able to metabolize specific opioid agents.[4] The patient’s hepatic and renal status can influence opioid responsiveness because they govern how well the body can metabolize and eliminate opioids and their metabolites.[5] Opioid metabolism is also affected by age[6] and sex,[7-9] although these influences are not fully elucidated. The mental health status of the patient may also influence the agent’s effectiveness.[10]

Opioid analgesics are known to be safe and effective in the treatment of many acute and some chronic pain syndromes.[11-16] They can be titrated to effect without ceiling effect and are generally not associated with end-organ damage even at elevated doses.[17] The dose-related side effects of opioids are well known and include sedation, drowsiness, somnolence, nausea, vomiting, dizziness, headache, and constipation.[18] These adverse events may be mild and transient, but in some cases patients may find them sufficiently distressing to limit treatment.[19] Respiratory depression is the major life-threatening adverse effect.

The metabolic pathways differ for various opioid agents. Oxycodone is metabolized by cytochrome CYP4503A (CYP3A4/5) and CYP2D6, although the former is the more dominant pathway. Genetic polymorphisms in CYP2D6 have resulted in patients being described as poor, intermediate, extensive, and ultra-rapid metabolizers of oxycodone. Among Caucasians, about 8% can be categorized as an oxycodone “poor metabolizer,” while 90% or more are “extensive metabolizers” although metabolism in this group is somewhat variable.[20] However, CYP2D6 does not appear to impact the analgesic effect of oxycodone, thus these different metabolizer classifications usually do not impact dosing.

Since oxycodone is metabolized in the liver, hepatic
dysfunction may result in a higher volume of distribution and a correspondingly lower clearance rate of oxycodone. Oxycodone should be prescribed with caution in patients hepatic dysfunction; in end-stage liver failure the half-life of oxycodone can exceed 12 hours.[20]

Oxycodone produces metabolites (most notably oxymorphone and noroxymorphone), but the analgesic effect appears to reside primarily in the parent drug.[21]

Oxycodone is indicated for relief of moderate to severe pain[22] and the IR oxycodone oral formulation was designed to allow for highly individualized, patient-tailored pain therapy. Oxycodone is the most frequently prescribed opioid analgesic in the United States and some European countries,[20] although usage patterns for opioids tend to vary widely among nations.[23-25] In evaluating the appropriate role of oral oxycodone IR, it is important to consider how pain is actually treated.

**Under-treatment of pain**

The American Cancer Society’s initiative “Treat the Pain” reports that 2.4 million people worldwide died with untreated pain in 2012.[26] It is estimated that in 78 nations around the world representing more than 40% of world population, analgesic use is not sufficient to cover even one quarter of the need.[27] In 2013 in Turkey, there were 91,826 cancer deaths and 200 HIV-related deaths associated with moderate to severe pain and reportedly 80% and 50%, respectively, died without access to opioid analgesics.[28]

In a prospective, observational study of 3023 invasive cancer patients, 67% of patients reported pain at initial assessment and of that 33% had not received any prescription analgesics.[29] There was no significant change in prescribing in follow-up visits, that is, even over time, the proportion of patients with under-treated pain did not change. Under-treatment of pain may arise from a lack of clinician training in opioid use and titration,[30] concern about legal regulations and liability in prescribing opioids,[31] governmental restrictions and reimbursement issues,[32,33] and poor communication between physician and patient.[33] Besides clinician factors, patient factors may also contribute to sub-optimal analgesia. Just as clinicians are concerned about opioid abuse,[34] patients may fear their own risk of addiction and refuse opioids.[35] Patients may also be concerned about developing a tolerance to pain killers or suffering opioid-related side effects.[33] Ethnic, cultural, and familial factors may also come into play and influence how the patient perceives pain and suffering.

While some pain goes entirely untreated, clinicians need also be concerned with under-treated or sub-optimally managed pain. Barriers to optimally managed pain include: inadequate pain diagnosis or pain intensity assessments, poor choice or dosing of analgesic agent, failure to recognize the multimechanistic nature of pain (such as not treating a strong neuropathic component), patient non-compliance, analgesic gaps, tolerance, and inadequate management of side effects, which can limit treatment. Chronic pain syndromes can change over time or with the underlying disease so that optimally managed pain can abruptly transition to poorly managed pain. Patients dealing with moderate to severe pain, whether in the acute setting or over the long term, require close clinical supervision to optimize their pain control.

Paramount to optimal pain control is the choice of analgesic agent. Analgesic agents fall into two broad categories: nonopioid agents (including acetaminophen, aspirin, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or NSAIDs) and opioids. In addition to these agents, combination therapy (such as fixed-dose products combining acetaminophen and a smaller amount of opioid) and adjuvant agents (such as tricyclic antidepressants, anticonvulsants, and muscle relaxers, among others) can be considered.

Despite the known effectiveness of opioids for the relief of many moderate to severe pain syndromes, opiophobia (the fear of prescribing opioids) may contribute to under-treatment of pain.[36,37] To be sure, any opioid therapy carries a certain degree of risk for inappropriate use, misuse, abuse, addiction, diversion, and tolerance.[38-40] The inappropriate use of opioids may be thought of as a continuum of behaviors, ranging from non-compliance (such as skipping a dose or doubling up on a bad day) at one extreme to addiction and drug diversion at the other.[41,42] Between these extremes falls much of what
might be considered opioid misuse: taking the drugs recreationally (abuse), taking them to manage emotional or psychological pain, or taking them to treat real but undiagnosed and non-medically-managed pain. Oral IR oxycodone is reported to be one of the most frequently abused opioids, but these data were obtained from patients entering drug rehabilitation and not the general pain population at large. This likely reflects a preference among drug abusers for oral IR formulations rather than the fact that IR agents are inherently more addictive to patients under clinical supervision. To the best of our knowledge, the literature does not report on the abuse potential specifically for injectable IR oxycodone.

**Selecting the right opioid: when can IR oxycodone be a good choice?**

Oxycodone is indicated for the treatment of moderate to severe pain and is appropriate for postsurgical pain, acute pain syndromes, and cancer pain. Although similar in effect and tolerability to other opioids, there are unique attributes to oxycodone (like any other opioid) that may make it more or less appropriate for a given patient. In the United States, oxycodone is the most-prescribed opioid, although this usage pattern is not observed in other countries.

Oxycodone, both as monotherapy and in combination, has demonstrated effectiveness for the treatment of postsurgical pain, cancer pain, neuropathic pain, and acute pain syndromes. Although the long-term use of any opioid remains controversial for the treatment of chronic pain syndromes, the literature reports on the use of oxycodone for managing non-cancer chronic pain. While clinical caution is urged in prescribing opioids (including but not limited to oxycodone) for managing severe headache pain, they are widely prescribed in the emergency room setting for this use.

When prescribing oxycodone, the clinician must consider the other drugs and supplements the patient is taking, since pharmacokinetic drug-drug interactions can occur. Because of the metabolic pathways used by oxycodone (CYP3A4 and CYP2D6), CYP3A4 inducers, such as rifampicin, rofexocib, St. John’s wort, and others, may increase oxycodone metabolism, resulting in a decrease in its analgesic effectiveness. On the other hand, CYP3A4 inhibitors, such as grapefruit juice, erythromycin, codeine, omeprazole, quinidine, and others, can potentiate the effects of oxycodone. It appears that transporter proteins play a role in such oxycodone drug-drug interactions, but the mechanisms are not fully elucidated.

Head-to-head comparisons of specific opioid analgesic products exist in the literature but are not always informative, in that many factors can confound findings: marked inter-patient variability in opioid responsiveness, opioid dosing, and opioid potency along with the subjective nature of pain and pain relief. Some recent clinical trials and reviews/meta-analyses show that oxycodone is similar in effectiveness to morphine and hydromorphone. A recent meta-analysis of oxycodone versus other strong opioids found in 4 studies that oxycodone was significantly better than other strong opioids in reducing pain intensity in cancer patients and in seven studies there was a significant difference favoring oxycodone for effective pain relief in cancer pain.

In a study of acute pain associated with bone fracture, oxycodone combined with acetaminophen was found to be as effective as morphine monotherapy in relieving pain. Oral oxycodone was found to be effective in pain management following Cesarean section and intravenous oxycodone was shown effective in managing pain following hysterectomy. Oral oxycodone was also reported to be a safe, effective, and cost-effective alternative to patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) systems using opioids for control of postoperative pain.

Oxycodone is available in intravenous, subcutaneous, intramuscular, and oral formulations. Route of administration may be an important consideration in prescribing: intravenous drugs are more expensive and require hospitalization, while oral agents are generally more inexpensive and convenient. Oral IR formulations offer rapid time to peak concentration in a product that can be self-administered quickly and conveniently by the patient. Oral agents offer convenience as well, but may be precluded if the patient is dysphagic or if they contribute to a substantial pill burden.
Oral IR oxycodone was designed to allow for individualized patient-tailored pain therapy. IR oxycodone has an onset of action of 15 to 30 minutes. To convert equianalgesic daily doses, hydrocodone and methadone have a 1.0 conversion ratio (that is, 10 mg of hydrocodone is equianalgesic to 10 mg of oral oxycodone), while morphine has a 0.5 conversion ratio (such that oral morphine 20 mg is equianalgesic to 10 mg of oral oxycodone).

All opioids, including oxycodone, are associated with side effects, which may be severe enough to adversely impact the patient’s quality of life or even limit treatment. Side effects may in some cases be manageable, for example with a bowel regimen for constipation or antiemetic therapy for nausea. Patients should be advised about tolerability issues with opioids and encouraged to report side effects for optimal management or, if necessary, opioid rotation or discontinuation. The adverse reactions that occur with IR oxycodone are similar to those of other strong opioids.

The role of IR oxycodone
In two trials, it was found that dose titration with oral oxycodone could be accomplished as readily with the IR formulations as the controlled-release (CR), although IR formulations are often used preferentially for dose titration for patients who require around-the-clock analgesia. Among cancer pain patients, 79% achieved stable pain relief with acceptable levels of side effects using the IR formulation (versus 92% with the CR oxycodone), while among non-cancer patients, 96% could be titrated with IR oxycodone compared to 87% with CR oxycodone.

IR oxycodone may be an important agent for the control of breakthrough pain (BTP), defined as a transient and clinically significant pain that flares over a background of baseline pain that is adequately controlled on an analgesic regimen. Although BTP is more closely associated with cancer pain, it also occurs in non-cancer pain syndromes. In a recent study of 134 cancer pain patients, BTP episodes were reported by 30.5%. In a retrospective study of consecutive pain patients at a single center, 56.4% of patients overall reported severe BTP; in cancer pain patients, 70.3% reported severe BTP. These numbers may actually under-report the phenomenon, in that the definition of BTP has recently been challenged to include “BTP-like flares” in patients who do not have chronic background pain controlled by opioids. This expanded definition would increase the numbers, possibly by as much as 10%.

BTP may occur multiple times per day and its oc-
currence can be unpredictable; pain onset is typically rapid, reaching maximum severity in as few as five minutes. Although BTP episodes are typically brief, that is 30 minutes or less, they may last hours. BTP is challenging to manage and opioids are generally prescribed as rescue medication. BTP can decrease quality of life, cause suffering to the patient and distress to his family, reduce productivity, increase social isolation, and utilize healthcare resources. When assessing pain patients, clinicians should be mindful of the prevalence of BTP. Patients require education about the nature of BTP and how it can be managed, as it can cause considerable anxiety. A rapid onset of pain relief is better achieved with the i.v route of administration than it is with a slower route of administration, such as oral or controlled-release (Figure 1).

When using oral IR oxycodone for BTP, one recommends rule of thumb is to use the 24-hour oral dose of oxycodone and prescribe a BTP dose of roughly 10% to 20% of that dose. For example, if the patient takes 80 mg of oral oxycodone per day, a BTP dose of oral IR oxycodone would be around 8 to 16 mg rounded to 10 or 15 mg. BTP may worsen with disease progression, so BTP doses may require further up-titration to manage increasingly severe BTP episodes.

IR oxycodone is also an appropriate opioid for use in other pain syndromes. Its formulation allows for easy titration, such that an appropriate stable dose can be reached more easily and conveniently in 5 mg steps.

**Tolerance and abuse**

Tolerance refers to the need for escalating doses to provide equivalent levels of analgesia. Tolerance is not a natural physiological phenomenon nor does it increase the patient’s risk for addiction or abuse. Nevertheless, opioid tolerance should be accurately diagnosed and then managed with prudently increased doses of the analgesic or, in some cases, opioid rotation. Clinicians may be wary about managing tolerance, as the patient may exhibit similar behaviors as an addicted patient, making increasingly assertive demands for more opioids and complaining of unrelenting pain. For that reason, tolerance is sometimes called “pseudoaddiction.” A tolerant patient truly needs a higher dose of analgesia for pain control, but an addicted patient is seeking the drug out of a craving. The development of addiction to opioid pain relievers in properly managed pain patients is reported to be rare, but it can occur. The appropriate treatment of tolerance is to judiciously increase the drug dose to achieve optimal analgesia; the appropriate treatment of addiction is to taper the patient off the drug and treat the pain in some other way.

To mitigate the risk of abuse, clinicians prescribing opioids should do so only after a thorough patient history is taken. Patients with a history of drug or alcohol abuse, and even those with a familial (but not personal) history of substance abuse, are at elevated risk for drug abuse. The patient may develop risk factors for opioid abuse over time, so patients prescribed opioids should be supervised closely. Urine drug screening may be a useful tool to determine if the patient is taking the prescribed medication(s) and appropriately ensure he or she is not taking any illicit drugs. Aberrant drug-taking behaviors may be indicators that the patient is taking the drugs inappropriately. There is some evidence that women may exhibit different aberrant drug-seeking behaviors than men.

**Tailoring pain therapy to meet the individual patient’s unique needs**

Tailored therapy is, by definition, patient-centric, so the patient’s individual pain syndrome must first be understood. This includes identifying the pain as acute or chronic and whether it is associated with a malignancy. The underlying cause of the pain must be sought, although in some cases of chronic pain, the etiology may be elusive. The patient should be interviewed and asked to identify the location of all pain sites. Many patients will present with multiple sites of pain and it is important for the clinician to note that the pain sites may not be related; for example, a cancer patient may have sites that relate to cancer pain and other pain related to osteoarthritis for example. It is not unusual for chronic pain patients to suffer diffuse pain (difficult to pinpoint the exact site of pain) or pain that migrates from site to site.

The patient should be interviewed thoroughly but compassionately about each pain site and asked to describe the pain. Most patients have a limited ability to describe their pain, so the clinician may wish
to prompt the patient as to whether the pain starts and stops suddenly, if it comes and goes with any observed conditions (such as movement), if it is mild or severe, and if the pain could be described as dull, throbbing, sharp, electrical, stabbing, or tingling. Clinicians should also ask the patient about any pain sites or areas of the body that are numb, lack much feeling, or experience "pins and needles" sensations. The characteristics of pain will help the clinician identify whether it is, for example, nociceptive, neuropathic, or visceral; many painful conditions involve mixed mechanisms. Patients should also be asked what prescription or over-the-counter products they take or have taken for pain and how effective such treatments have been and what exacerbates or relieves their pain.

For patients considered for opioid therapy, screening tools exist to help define risk.[92,93] As stated earlier, patients are at elevated risk for opioid misuse and abuse if they have a personal or familial history of substance abuse; risk is further increased if they have mental health disorders.[94,95] Increasingly, physicians face the conundrum of treating a patient with both a legitimate painful condition and prominent risk factors for opioid abuse. It goes beyond the scope of this article to address these prescribing conditions, but the literature increasingly has tackled the challenging and increasingly relevant topic of treating high-risk pain patients.[96-100]

Pain tends to be a dynamic condition, and pain therapy must be regularly reviewed and adjusted as needed. To this end, the physician must understand the expected trajectory of the pain syndrome (for instance, postsurgical pain has a different natural history than cancer pain), the anticipated role the underlying disease and possible comorbidities might play in the pain, and ongoing treatments. Cancer patients, for example, may experience permanent or transient neuropathic pain associated with certain forms of chemotherapy.[101]

The final choice of agents for an individual patient depends on many factors, including: the type of pain syndrome, pain intensity and severity, the patient's ability to self-manage the pain (some patients make extensive and effective use of nonpharmacological options), the availability of a given drug, reimbursement, legal and regulatory issues, cost-effectiveness, prescriber preference, and patient satisfaction. Since pain changes over time, drug therapy must be reviewed frequently and adjustments made to achieve and maintain optimal analgesia.

Finally, patient education and open lines of communication are essential for effective pain control. The patient should be informed about the nature of pain and what he/she can expect—and should not expect—from pain therapy. Physicians should inform patients about the potential risks as well as benefits with different pain treatments. Patients should be advised about the likelihood and nature of possible adverse events, since many can be controlled if they are promptly reported. It is the experience of the authors that some pain patients hold one of two extreme views about pain: either that pain can be entirely controlled (ideally with one single pill) or that there is nothing that can be done about it. Managing the patient's expectations involves preparing the patient that pain control is safe and possible but that total freedom from pain may not be achievable.

The prescriber must also determine the appropriate route of administration for the drug and the desired formulation. Oxycodone IR provides an important option, in that it offers rapid onset of action and fast dose titration, important factors for certain pain patients.

**Conclusion**

Oxycodone is an established analgesic agent which has demonstrated effectiveness in a variety of pains, including chronic nonmalignant pain. Oral oxycodone IR formulation has been developed in recognition many patients dealing with moderate to severe pain require a rapid onset of action and rapid dose titration. Oxycodone is generally recognized as a very effective analgesic and has been favorably compared to morphine and hydrocodone. Oxycodone is associated with the same side effects as other strong opioids, many of which can be managed but some of which can be treatment limiting. No one single analgesic and no single opioid is right for every patient. The addition of oral IR oxycodone to the analgesic armamentarium is a useful addition to better tailor analgesic therapy for individual patients.
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